

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

> साप्ताहिक WEEKLY

सं. 191

नई दिल्ली, मई 2-मई 8, 2010, शनिवार/वैशाख 12-वैशाख 18, 1932

NEW DELHI, MAY 2-MAY 8, 2010, SATURDAY/VAISAKHA 12-VAISAKHA 18, 1932 No. 191

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह पृथक संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

> भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii) PART II-Section 3-Sub-section (ii)

भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों (रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (Other than the Ministry of Defence)

विधि और न्याय मंत्रालय (विधि कार्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2010

का.आ.1167.—केन्द्रीय सरकार दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता. 1973 (1974 का 2) की धारा 25 की उपधारा (1क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, निम्नलिखित अधिवक्ताओं, अर्थात् :---

(i) श्री अजीत इनामदार

22 अगस्त, 2009 से प्रभावी;

(ii) श्री आर.के. पाठक

22 अगस्त, 2009 से प्रभावी;

(iii) श्री राजेश देसाई

27 मई, 2009 से प्रभावी;

(iv) श्री देवदास ए, अरोसकर

12 दिसंबर, 2009 से प्रभावी;

(v) श्री एन. नटराजन

15 अप्रैल, 2009 से प्रभावी:

की वृहत्तर मुंबई में महानगर मजिस्ट्रेट न्यायालयों और विशेष न्यायालयों में भारत संघ या कंन्द्रीय सरकार के किसी विभाग या कार्यालय या अपनी पदीय हैसियत में कार्यरत केन्द्रीय सरकार के विभाग के किसी अधिकारी द्वारा या उसके विरुद्ध सभी दांडिक मामलों का संचालन करने के प्रयोजन के लिए, इस शर्त के अध्यधीन कि ऊपर उल्लिखित अधिवक्ता केन्द्रीय सरकार या केन्द्रीय सरकार के किसी अधिकारी या केन्द्रीय सरकार के किसी विभाग के विरुद्ध किसी दांडिक मामले में वृहत्तर मुंबई में किसी महानगर मजिस्ट्रेट न्यायालय और किसी विशेष न्यायालय में उपसंजात नहीं होंगे, सहायक लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियुक्ति की अवधि का तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए या अगले आदेश होने तक, इनमें से जो भी पहले हो, विस्तार करती है।

[फा. सं. 23(3)/2010-न्यायिक]

अशोक कुमार, अपर विधि सलाहकार

MINISTRY OF LAWAND JUSTICE

(Department of Legal Affairs)

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2010

S.O. 1167.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1A) of Section 25 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby extends the term of appointment of following advocates:-

(i) Shri Ajit Inamdar

With effect from 22nd day of

August, 2009;

(ii) Shri R.K. Pathak

With effect from 22nd day of

August, 2009;

(iii) Shri Rajesh Desai

With effect from 27th day of

May, 2009;

(iv) Shri Devdas A. Aroskar

With effect from 12th day of December, 2009; and

(v) Shri N. Natrajan

With effect from 15th day of

April, 2009;

as Assistant Public Prosecutors for the purpose of conducting all criminal cases by or against the Union of India or any Department of Office of the Central Government or any Officer of the Central Government Department acting in his official capacity in the Metropolitan Magistrate Courts and Special Court in Greater Mumbai for a period of three years or until further orders, whichever is earlier, subject to the condition that the above mentioned advocates shall not appear in any criminal case in any Metropolitan Magistrate Courts and Special Court in Greater Mumbai against the Central Government or any officer of the Central Government or against any Department of the Central Government.

[F. No.23(3)/2010-Judl.] ASHOK KUMAR, Addl. Legal Adviser

कार्मिक, लोक शिकायत तथा पेंशन मंत्रालय

(कार्मिक और प्रशिक्षण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 27 अप्रैल, 2010

का,आ. 1168.— केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना अधिनियम, 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम सं. 2) की धारा 24 की उपधारा (8) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए विशेष न्यायाधीश का न्यायालय, इलाहबाद(उत्तर प्रदेश) (गैंगस्टर अधिनियम मामले) में मामला सं. आरसी एसआईबी 2008 ई 0008-ईओयू-V, नई दिल्ली के संबंध में तथा विधि द्वारा स्थापित अपीलीय या पुनरीक्षण या कथित मामले में अभियोजन, अपीलों, पुनरीक्षणों या मामलों से उद्भूत अन्य मामलों का संचालन करने के लिए श्री ए.के. त्रिपाठी, एडवोकेट को विशेष लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियक्त करती है।

[सं. 225/12/2010-ए. वी. डी.-11]

मुकेश चतुर्वेदी, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIVANCES AND PENSIONS

(Department of Personnel and Training)

New Delhi, the 27th April, 2010

S.O. 1168.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri A. K. Tripathi, Advocate as Special Public Prosecutor for conducting the prosecution of case No. RC SIB2008 E 0008-EOU-V, New Delhi in the Court of Special Judge (Gangster Act Cases), Allahbad (Uttar Pradesh) and appeals/revisions or other matter arising out of these cases in revisional or appellate courts established by law.

[No. 225/12/2010-AVD-II] MUKESH CHATURVEDI, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 27 अप्रैल, 2010

का,आ. 1169 ् कंन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा अपस्थ प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम सं.2) की धारा 24 की यानधारा (8) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए राष्ट्रीय राजधानी क्षेत्र दिल्ली में दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना द्वारा संस्थापित मामान के संबंध में केन्द्रीय अन्वेषण व्यूरो द्वारा सौंपे गए कार्य के संबंध में विशेष द्वारा स्थापित पुनरीक्षण अथवा अपीलीय न्यायालयों में इन मामलों के परीक्षण न्यायालयों तथा अपील्यप्त्रियोक्षण या एवं व्यावालयों से उद्युक्त अन्य मामलों के अभियोजन का संस्थापत करने के प्रवास के प्रवास करने के प्रवास के स्थाप अभियोजन का संस्थापत करने के प्रवास के स्थाप करने के स्थापत करने के प्रवास करने के प्रवास के स्थापत करने के स्थापत के स्थापत के स्थापत करने के स्थापत करने के स्थापत करने के स्थापत के स्थापत करने के स्थापत के स्थापत के स्थापत करने के स्थापत करने स्थापत

[सं. 225/24/2000 एकीकी-म (भाग न्)]

मुक्केश पर्विते, अदा अधित

New Delhi, the 27th April, 11

S.O. 1169.—In exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints Ms. Shilpa Singh, Advocate as Special Public Prosecutor for conducting the prosecution of cases instituted by the Delhi Special Police Establishment (CBI) in the National Capital Territory of Delhi as entrusted to them by the Central Bureau of Investigation in the trail courts and appeals/revisions or other matter arising out of these cases in revisional or appellate courts established by law.

[No. 225/24/2009-AVD-II (Pt.I)]

MUKESH CHATURVEDI, Under Secy.

कार्यालय, मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त

जोधपुर, 26 अप्रैल, 2010

का.आ.1170.—आयकर अधिनियम 1961 (1961 का 43वां) की धारा 10(23ग) के खण्ड (vi) के साथ पठित आयकर नियमायली, 1962 के नियम 2ग ए द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए पुख्य आयकर आयुक्त, जोधपुर एतदद्वारा सेकोड हर्ट एल्यूक्रेशन एवड चेरिटेबल सोसायटी, श्रीगंगानगर को उक्त धारा के प्रयोजनार्थ निर्धारण वर्ष 2008-09 से आगे तक निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन अनुमोदित करते हैं :—

- कर निर्धारिती उसकी आय का प्रयोग अथवा उसकी आय का प्रयोग करने के लिए उसका संचयन पूर्णतः तथा अनन्यतः उन उद्देश्यों के लिए करेगा जिनके लिए इसकी स्थापना की गई।
- 2. कर निर्धारिती उपर्युक्त कर निर्धारण वर्षों से संगत पूर्वचर्ती वर्षों की किसी भी अवधि के दौरान धारा 11 की उपधारा (5) में विनिर्दिष्ट किसी एक अथवा एक से अधिक दंग अथवा तरीकों से भिन्न तरीकों से उसकी निधि (जेवर-जवाहिरात, फर्नीचर आदि के रूप में प्राप्त तथा अनुरक्षित स्वैच्छिक अंशदान से भिन्न) का निवंश नहीं करेगा अथवा उमे जमा नहीं करवा सकेगा।

- उ. यह आदेश किसी ऐसी आव के संबंध में लागू नहीं होगा, जो कि कारोबार से प्राप्त लाभ तथा अभिलाभ हो जब तक ऐसा कारोबार उक्त कर निर्धारिती के उद्देश्यों की प्राप्ति के लिए प्रासंगिक नहीं हो तथा ऐसे कारोबार के संबंध में अलग से लेखा पुस्तिकाएं नहीं रखी जाती हों।
- 4. कर निर्धारिती आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 के प्रावधानों के अनुसार अपनी आय विवरणी नियमित रूप से आयकर प्राधिकारी के समक्ष फाइल करेगा।
- 5. विघटन की स्थिति में इसकी अतिरिक्त रााशियां और परिसंपितयां समान उद्देश्यों वाले धर्मार्थ संगठन को दे दी जाएंगी और उसका कोई भी भाग संस्थान के किसी सदस्य को नहीं दिया जाएगा !
- यह अधिसूचना तब तक जारी रहेगी जब तक इसे वापस न लिया जाय ।

[अधिसूचना सं. 01/ 2010-2011संदर्भ सं.-मु.आ.आ./आ.अ. (तक.)/जोध/2010-11/324]

दिलीप शिवपुरी, मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF INCOME-TAX

Jodhpur, the 26th April, 2010

S.O. 1170.—in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (vi) of Section 10(23C) of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) read with rule 2CA of the Income-tax Rules, 1962, I, the Chief Commissioner of Income Tax, Jodhpur hereby approve "SACRED HEART EDUCATION & CHARITABLE SOCIETY, SRIGANGANAGAR" for the purpose of the said Section for the assessment year 2008-09 onward, Subject to the following conditions:—

- the assessee will apply its income, or accumulate for application wholly and exclusively to the objects for which it is established;
- 2. the assessee will not invest or deposit its funds (other than voluntary contribution received and maintained in the form of jewellery, furniture etc.) for any period during the previous years relevant to the assessment years mentioned above otherwise than in any one or more of the forms or modes specified in sub-section (5) of Section 11;
- this order will not apply in relation to any income being profits and gain of business, unless the business is incidental to the attainment of the objectives of the assessee and separate books of accounts are maintained in respect of such business;
- 4. the assessee will regularly file its return of income before the income-tax authority in accordance with the provisions of Income-tax Act, 1961;
- 5. that in the event of dissolution, its surplus and the assets will be given to a charitable organization with similar objectives and no part of the same will go to any of the members of the Institution.

6. This notification will remain in force until it is withdrawn.

[Notification No. 01/2010-11Ref. No. CCIT/ITO/(Tech.)/ Ju/2010-11/324]

DILEEP SHIVPURI, Chief Commissioner of IncomeTax

वित्त मंत्रालय

(राजस्व विभाग)

(केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली 27 अप्रैल, 2010

का.आ. 1171.—सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए एतद्द्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि केन्द्र सरकार द्वारा आयकर नियमावली, 1962 (उक्त नियमावली) के नियम 5ग और 5ड़ के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (उक्त नियमावली) की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रयोजनार्थ कर निर्धारण वर्ष 2009-2010 बॉन फॉउंडेशन ट्रस्ट (बॉन फाउंडेशन मेडिकल रिसर्च सेन्टर), मुम्बई को निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन आंशिक रूप से अनुसंधान कार्यकलापों में लगे 'अन्य संस्था' की श्रेणी में अनुमोदित किया गया है नामत:-

- (i) अनुमोदित संगठन को प्रदत्त राशि का उपयोग वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए किया जाएगा;
- अनुमोदित संगठन अपने संकाय सदस्यों अथवा अपने नामांकित छात्रों के माध्यम से वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान को जारी रखेगा;
- (iii) अनुमोदित संगठन वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त धनराशि के संबंध में अलग खाता बही रखेगा अनुसंधान करने के लिए प्रयुक्त राशि को उसमें दर्शाएगा तथा उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 288 की उप धारा (2) के स्पष्टीकरण में यथा परिभाषित किसी लेखाकार से ऐसी खाता-बही की लेखा परीक्षा कराएगा और उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 139 की उप धारा (1) के अंतर्गत आय विवरणी प्रस्तुत करने की नियत तिथि तक ऐसे लेखाकार द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित एवं हस्ताक्षरित लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट मामले में क्षेत्राधिकार रखने वाले आयकर आयुक्त अथवा आयकर निदेशक को प्रस्तुत करेगा;
- (iv) अनुमोदित संगठन वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त दान तथा प्रयुक्त राशि का अलग विवरण रखेगा और उपर्युक्त लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट के साथ परीक्षक द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित ऐसे विवरण की पति प्रस्तृत करेगा।
- 2. **केंद्र** सरकार यह अनुमोदन वापस ले लेगी यदि अनुमो<mark>दित</mark> संगठन:--
- (क) पैराग्राफ । उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित लेखा बही नहीं रखेगा; अथवा
- (ख) पैराग्राफ ! उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित अपनी लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा

- (ग) पैराग्राफ । के उप-पैराग्राफ (iv) में उल्लिखित वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त कर एवं प्रयुक्त राशि का अपना विवरण प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा
- (घ) अपना अनुसंधान कार्य कलाप करने चंट कर देगा अथवा इसके अनुसंधान कार्य कलाप को जायज नहीं पाया जाएगा; अथवा
- (ड.) उक्त नियमावली के नियम 5ग और 5ड. के साथ पठित उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 35 की उप-१८३ (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रावधानों के अनुरूप नहीं होगा तथा उनका पालन नहीं करेगा।

[अधिसूचनः मं ३४/2010/फा. सं. 203/147/2009-आ.क.चि.-॥]

अजय गोयल, निदेशक (आ.क.नि.-II)

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue)

(Central Board of Direct Taxes)

New Delhi, the 27th April, 2010

- S.O. 1171.— It is hereby notified for general information that the organization Baun Foundation Trust (Baun Foundation Medical Research Centre), Mumbai has been approved by the Central Government for the purpose of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Incometax Act, 1961 (said Act), read with Rules 5C and 5E of the Incometax Rules, 1962 (said Rules), from Assessment year 2009—19 mwards in the category of 6 other Institution partly engag. In research activities subject to the following conditions, namely
 - (i) The sums paid to the approved organization shall be utilized for scientific research:
 - (ii) The approved organization shall carry out scientific research through its faculty members or its enrolled students:
- (iii) The approved organization shall maintain separate books of accounts in respect of the sums received by it for scientific research, reflect therein the amounts used for carrying out research, get such Books audited by an accountant as defined in the explanation to sub-section (2) of Section 288 of the said Act and furnish the report of such audit duly signed and verified by such accountant to the Commissioner of Income-tax or the Director of Income-tax having jurisdiction over the case, by the due date of furnishing the return of income under sub-section (1) of Section 139 of the said Act;
- (iv) The approved organization shall maintain a separate statement of donations received and amounts applied for scientific research and a copy of such statement duly certified by the auditor shall accompany the report of audit referred to above.
 - 2. The Central Government shall withdraw the approval if the approved organization :—
 - (a) fails to maintain separate books of accounts referred to in paragraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or

- (b) fails to furnish its audit report to in subparagraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or
- (c) fails to furnish its statement of the donations received and sums applied for scientific research referred to in sub-paragraph (iv) of paragraph 1; or
- (d) ceases to carry on its research activities or its research activities are not found to be genuine; or
- (e) ceases to conform to and comply with the provisions of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the said Act read with rules 5C and 5E of the said Rules.

[Notification No. 31/2010/F, No. 203/147/2009/TTA-JII] AJAY GOYAL, Director (11A-8)

नर्ड दिल्ली 27 अप्रैल, 2010

का,आ, 1172 .— सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए एतर्द्वार यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि केन्द्र सरकार द्वारा आयका नियमावली, 1962 (उक्त नियमावली) के नियम 50 और 5ड. आसाथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (उक्त अधिनियम) को धाः 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (अ) के प्रयोजनार्थ कर निधारण एव 3007-2008 से आगे जैन विश्व भारती, लाडनूं, नागौर का निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन अनुसंधान कार्यकलापों में लगी 'अन्य संस्था' की श्रेणी में अनुसंदित किया गया है, अर्थात:-

- अनुमोदित संगठन को प्रदत्त राशि का उपयाग सामाजिक विज्ञान में अनुसंधान के लिए किया जाएगा:
- (म) अनुमोदित संगठन अपने संकाय सदस्यों अथवा अपने नामांकित छात्रों के भाष्यम ते सामाजिक विज्ञान में अनुसंधान अथवा सांख्यिकीय अनुसंधान करेगा;
- (iii) अनुमोदित संगठन वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए इसके द्वारा प्राप्त राशि के संबंध में अलग खाता यही रखंग जिसमें अनुसंधान करने के लिए प्रयुक्त साशि दर्शाई गई हो, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 288 की उपधारा (2) के स्पष्टीकरण में यथा परिभाषित किसी क्षेखाकार से ऐसी खाता-बही की लेखा परीक्षा कराएण और उक्त अधिनयम की धारा 139 की उप-धारा (1) के अंतर्गत आय विवरणी प्रस्तुत करने की नियत निधि तक ऐसे लेखाकार द्वारा सल्यापित एवं इस्ताक्षरित लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट मामले में क्षेत्राधिकार रखने वाले आयकर आयुक्त अथवा आयकर निदेशक को प्रस्तुत करेगा;
- (iv) अनुमोदित संगठन सामाजिक विज्ञान में अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त दान तथा प्रयुक्त राशि का अलग विवरण रखेगा और उपर्युक्त लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट के साथ लेखा परीक्षक द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित ऐसे विवरण की प्रति प्रस्तुत करेगा ।
- 2. **क्रॅड** सरकार यह अनुमोदन वापस ले नेगी थाँद उलुमादित संगठन:-
- (क) पैराग्राफ । कं उप-पैराग्राज (३३) में उल्तिरखित लेखाबही नहीं रखेगा; अथवा

- (ख) पैराग्राफ । के उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित अपनी लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा
- ा) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (j.) में उल्लिखित सामाजिक विज्ञान में अनुसंधान अथवा सांख्यिकीय अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त एवं प्रयुक्त दान का अपना विवरण प्रस्तृत नहीं करेगा; अध्य
- (घ) अपना अनुसंधान कार्य करना बंद कर देगा अथवा इसके अनुसंधान कार्य को जायक नहीं पाया जाएगा; अथवा
- (ड.) उक्त नियमावली के नियम 5ग और 5ड. के साथ पठित उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 35 की उप-धारा (1) के खंड (iii) के प्रावधानों के अनुरूप नहीं होगा तथा उनका पालन नहीं करेगा ।

[अधिसूचना सं. 30/2010/फा. सं. 203/66/2009-आ.क.नि.

अजय गोयल, निदेशक (आ.क.नि.-II)

New Delhi, the 27th April, 2010

- 1172 .- It is hereby notified for general nformation that the organization Jain Vishva Bharati, Ladnun, Nagaur has been approved by the Central Government for the purpose of clause (iii) of sub-section 1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (said Act), read with Rules 5C and 5E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 (said Rules), from Assessment year 2007-2008 onwards in the category of 'other Institution' partly engaged in research activities subject to the following conditions, namely:---
 - The sums paid to the approved organization shall () he utilized for research in social sciences;
 - The approved organization shall carry out research (ii) in social science or statistical research through its faculty members or its enrolled students;

- The approved organization shall maintain separate (iii) books of accounts in respect of the sums received by it for scientific research, reflect therein the amounts used for carring out research, get such books audited by an accountant as defined in the explanation to sub-section (2) of Section 288 of the said Act and furnish the report of such audit only signed and verified by such accountant to the Commissioner of Income-tax or the Director c. Income-tax briving jurisdiction over the case, b the due date of furnishing the return of incomunder sub-section (1) of Section 139 of the said Act:
- The approved organization shall maintain a separate (iv)statement of donations received and amounts applied for research in social and a copy of such statement duly pertified by the auditor shall accompany the report of audit referred to above.
 - The Central Government shall withdraw the approval if the approved organization .---
 - (a) fails to maintain separate books of accounts referred to in sub paragraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or
 - (b) fails to furnish its audit report to in subparagraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or
 - (c) fails to furnish its statement of the donations received and sums applied for research in social science or statistical research referred to in subparagraph (iv) of paragraph 1; or
 - (d) ceases to carry on its research activities or its research activities are not found to be genuine,
 - (e) ceases to conform to and comply with the provisions of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the said Act read with rules 5C and 5E of the said Rules.

[Notification No. 30/2010/F. No. 203/66/2009-ITA-II] AJAY GOYAL, Director (ITA-II)

(आर्थिक कार्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली 27 अप्रैल, 2010

का.आ. 1173. — वित्त मंत्रालय आर्थिक कार्य विभाग की तारीख 5 जून, 2009 की समसंख्यक अधिसूचना ने आंशिक संशोधन करते हए, वित्त मंत्रालय, आर्थिक कार्य विभाग के निम्नलिखित अधिकारी को उनके नाम के समक्ष उल्लिखित प्रभाग के केंद्रीय लोक सूचना अधिकारी के रूप में नामित किया जाता है:

क्र. सं.	नाम	पदनाम	निम्नलिखित अधिकारी के स्थान पर	संबंधित त्रिधय
1.	श्रीमती नीलम वोहरा	अवर सचिव प्रशा. 1(क)	श्री वी. रामकुमार	श्री रामकुमार की अनुपस्थिति के दौरान प्रशा. 11 (क) 11 रोकड् शाखा, लेखा एवं यजट अनुभाग और वित्त पुस्तकालय

[फा. सं. 1/1/2005 - आरटीआई]

(Department of Leonomic Affairs) New Delhi, the 27th April, 2010

S.O. 1173.—In partial modification of Ministry of Finance, Department of Economic Affair's nonficency of ever number dated 5th June, 2009, the following officer of the Ministry of Finance, Department of Economic Affairs is designated as Central Post for Information Officer in respect of Division mentioned against her name:

S.No.	Name	Designation	Vice	Masters relating to
1.	Sint. Neelam Vohra	Under Secretary Ad.I (A)	Sh. V. Ramkumar	Admir I, I (A), II, Cash. Accounts & Budget Section & Finance Library during the
	** ···································		And the second s	absonce of Shri V. Ramkumer

[F.No. 1/1/2003-97].

S. KANASALISBASAR Undu Seev

कार्यात्म्य **मुख्य** आयकर आयुक्त जयपुर, **28** अप्रैल, 2010

का. 31, 1174,—आयकर नियम, 1962 के नियम 2 सी ए के साथ पठनीय आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43वां) की धारा 10 के खण्ड(25 सीं) की उपधरा(vi) के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त, जयपुर एतद्द्वारा निध्रपण वर्ष 2005-2510 एवं आगे के लिए कथित धारा के उद्देश्य से "याकदलकेय एजुकेशण्य सोसायटी, जयपुर" को स्वीकृति देते हैं:

बशर्ते कि समिति आयकर नियम, 1962 के नियम 2 सी ए के संक प्रत्योध आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10 के उपखण्ड (२°सं) की उपधारा(vi) के प्रावधारों के अनुरूप कार्य करें।

्र अधिस्तृषणः भं. 1/2010-11/क्रमांकःमुआआ/अआआ/ (मु.)/जय/10(23सी)(vi)/10-11/402] मुकेश भान्ती, मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF INCOMETAX

Januar, the 28th April, 2010

5.6. 1174.— a exercise of the powers conferred by sub-cieuse (vi. of clause (23C) of Section 10 of the income-tax Act, 1951 (43 of 1961) read with rule 2CA of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 the Chief Commissioner of Incometax, Jaipun hereby approves "Yagyavaikya Educational Society, Jaipun" for the purpose of said section for the A Y, 2009-2010 & ouwards.

Provided that the society conforms to and comptles with the provious set of sub-clause (vi) of clause (23C) of section (that the impome-tax Act, 1961 read with rule 2CA of the Income-up, todays, 1962

INotification No. 1/2010-11/No. CCTT/JPR/ Addi. CTf(Hgrs.) (06/20/2010-11/402)

MUKESH 311 3471, Chief Commissioner of Income-tax

रवास्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय

- १६००० और **पंग्यन कल्याण विभाग)** नहीं विल्ली, 7 अप्रेल, 2010

का. आ. ११७३, - इतं चिकित्सक अधिनियम, 1948 (1948

प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्र सरकार भारताय दंत चिक्कत्सः परिवद् प्र परामर्श करने के पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम की अनुसूत्रों से प्रयोग प्र एनदद्वारा निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है अर्थातः

2. **डा.बी.**आर. अम्बेडकर यूनिवर्सिटी, आगत द्वार १८९३ इं चिकित्सा अहेताओं का मान्यता देन **कं सबध** में इंत व्यक्तिस्तर अधितियम, 1948 (1948 का 16) की अनुसूची के बाए । में अल् सं 58 के सामने स्तरूप 2 और 3 की यौजूदा प्रविधियों के जिल्हितीं के यॉक्टियां उसके अंतर्गत अन्तरस्थित की आएंगा, अप्रत्

मध्या, उत्तर प्रस्या "

एन शस्य विज्ञान स्थातक

ारी की प्रशासाल प्राप्त कर जा जा है। स्थानकारिकों जानग

(याद यह 6-6-2006 को या उसके **बाद प्रदान** की गई हो।

[फा. स. वी-12017/4×/2060 डॉई]

आर.शंकरन, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE

(Department of Health and Family Welfare)

New Dellah the 7th April 2015

S.O. 1175.— In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 10 of the Bond, is Aug, 1998 (16 of 1948), the Central Government, after consultation with the Dental Council of India, hereby, makes the following amountments in Part 1 of the Schedule to the school Act, namely.—

2 In the existing one or an advance 1. Social No. 58, in Part -I of the Schedule to the Dentists Act, 1948 (16 of 1948) pertaining as accognition of dental frage awarded up to B.R. Amburther University of part following entries shall be inscreed thereinder:

"Kanti Devi Demai Conege, Mathira,

Uttar Pradesh

Sachelor of Dental Surger-(if granted on or after 06-06-2006)

Bish to A.A. Sir solker University, Agrain

[F. No. V -12017/48/2000-DE]

नई दिल्ली, 23 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1176.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1)(क) के अनुसरण में तिमलनाडु सरकार का प्रतिनिधित्व करने हेतु डॉ. सी. वी. भीरमानंदम को दिनांक 24-03-2005 से भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् के एक सदस्य के रूप में निर्वाचित किया गया था।

जबिक भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् ने सूचना दी है कि आई एम सी अधिनियम, 1956 की धारा 3 (1) (क) के अंतर्गत तमिलनाडु सरकार का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले डॉ. सी. वी. भीरमानंदम ने केन्द्रीय सरकार का प्रतिनिधित्व करने के लिए आई एम सी अधिनियम, 1956 की धारा 3(1) (इ) के अंतर्गत भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् के सदस्य के रूप में उनके नामांकन के कारण दिनांक 28-2-2010 से भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् की सदस्यता से त्यागपत्र दे दिया था। अतः तमिलनाडु सरकार का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले डॉ. सी. वी. भीरमानंदम की भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् की सदस्यता समाप्त हो गई है।

इसलिए अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 5 की उप-धारा (2) के उपबंध के अनुसरण में तिमलनाडु सरकार का प्रतिनिधित्व करने बाले डॉ. सी. वी. भीरमानंदम की भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् की सदस्यता को दिनांक 28-2-2010 से समाप्त हुआ समझा जाएगा। [संख्या वी-11013/6/2010-एमई(नीति-1]

अनीता त्रिपाठी, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd April, 2010

S.O. 1176. — Whereas in pursuance of the provision of sub-section (1) (a) of Section 3 of the Indian Medical Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) Dr. C. V. Bhirmanandam was elected as a member of the Medical Council of India representing Government of Tamil Nadu with effect from 24-03-2005.

Whereas the Medical Council of India has informed that Dr. C.V. Bhirmanandam who is representing Government of Tamil Nadu under Section 3 (1) (a) of IMC Act, 1956 had resigned from Medical Council of India's membership with effect from 28-02-2010 due to his nomination as member, Medical Council of India under Section 3(1)(e) of IMC Act, 1956 representing the Central Government. Therefore, Dr. C.V. Bhirmanandam has ceased to be a member of Medical Council of India representing Government of Tamil Nadu.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provision of subsection (2) of Section 5 of the said Act, Dr. C.V. Bhirmanandam shall be deemed to have ceased to be member of the Medical Council of India representing Government of Tamil Nadu with effect from 28-02-2010.

[No. V-11013/6/2010-ME (Policy-I] ANITA TRIPATHI, Under Secy.

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 15 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1177.—इस मंत्रालय की दिनांक 10-11-2009 की समसंख्यक अधिसूचना के क्रम में तथा चलचित्र (प्रमाणन) नियमावली, 1983 के नियम 7 एवं 8 के साथ पठित चलचित्र अधिनियम, 1952

(1952 का 37) की धारा 5 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केंद्र सरकार श्री कसीरेड्डी बेंकट शिव रेड्डी. डी. मं. 4-5-27/5, तीसरी लेन, दूसरा क्रॉस रोड, तिद्यानगर, रिंग रोड. गूंटूर-522 007 को तत्काल प्रभाव से 2 वर्षों की अवधि के लिए अथवा अगले आदेश होने तक, इनमें से जो भी पहले घटित हो, केंद्रीय फिल्म प्रमाणन बोर्ड के हैदराबाद सलाहकार पैनल का सदस्य नियुक्त करती है।

[फा. सं. 809/3/2009-एफ (सी)] अमिताभ क्मार, निदेशक (किल्स)

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROAD CASTING

New Delhi, the 15th April, 2010

S.O. 1177 .— In continuation of Ministry's Notification of even number, dated 10-11-2009 and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (37 of 1952) read with rules 7 and 8 of the Cinematograph (Certification) Rules, 1983, the Central Government is pleased to appoint Shri Kesireddy Venkata Siva Reddy, D, No. 4-5-27/5., 3rd Lane, 2nd Cross Road, Vidyanagar, Ring Road, Guntur-522007 as a member of the Hyderabad Advisory panel of the Central Board of Film Certification with immediate effect for a period of two years or until further orders, whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 809/3/2009-F(C)]

AMITABH KUMAR, Director (Films) नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1178.— इस मंत्रालय की दिनांक 10-11-2009 की समसंख्यक अधिसूचना के क्रम में तथा चलचित्र (प्रमाणन) नियमावली, 1983 के नियम 7 एवं 8 के साथ पठित चलचित्र अधिन्त्रियम, 1952 (1952 का 37) की धारा 5 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केंद्र सरकार श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह पंवार, 212, शाहपुर जट, नई दिल्ली-110 049 को तत्काल प्रभाव से 2 वर्षों की अविध के लिए अथवा अगले आदेश होने तक, इनमें से जो भी पहले घटित हो, केंद्रीय फिल्म प्रमाणन बोर्ड के मुम्बई सलाहकार पैनल का सदस्य नियुक्त करती है।

[फा. सं. 809/7/2009-एफ (सी)] अमिताभ कुमार, निदेशक (फिल्म)

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2010

S.O. 1178.— In continuation of Ministry's Notification of even number, dated 10-11-2009 and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (37 of 1952) read with rules 7 and 8 of the Cinematograph (Certification) Rules, 1983, the Central Government is pleased to appoint Shri Surender Singh Panwar, 212, Shahpur Jat, New Delhi-110 049 as a member of the Mumbai Advisory panel of the Central Board of Film Certification with immediate effect for a period of two years or until further orders, whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 809/7/2009-F(C)]

AMITABH KUMAR, Director (Films)

उपभोक्ता मामले, खाद्य और सार्वजनिक वितरण मंत्रालय

(उपभोक्ता मामले विभाग)

भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2010

का. अ. 1179.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 के नियम 4 के उपविनियम (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक व्यूरो एतद्द्रास अधिसृचित करता है कि जिन लाइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में **दिए गए हैं,** वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए **हैं :**—

			अनुसूच	रों				
क्रम	लाइसेंस	-	लाइसेंसधारी का नाम व पता	भारतीय मानक का शीर्षक	भामासं.	भाग	अनु	वर्ष
स.	संख्या	तिथि, वर्ष/माह						
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1.	7995617	01-01-2010	मेसर्स दिपशीला इन्डस्ट्रीज प्लॉट क्र. 29 और 30 अचलपुर को-ऑपरेटिव्ह इन्डस्ट्रीअल इस्टेट, अमरावती रोड, अचलपुर, जिला अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र-444 806	पैकेजबंद पेय जल (पैकेजबंद प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा) विशिष्टी	14543		_	2004
2.	7996215	01-01-2010	मेसर्स प्रोवेस इन्डस्ट्रीज	पैकेजबंद पेय जल (पैकेजबंद प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलाव।) विशिष्टी	14543	***	-	2004

[सं. सी एम डी/13: []

सी. के. महेश्वरी, वैज्ञानिक 'जी प्रमाणन

MINIST. TOF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION

(Department of Consumer Affairs) BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2010

S.O. 1179.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of the regulation 4 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations, 1988, of the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licences particulars of which are given in the following Schedule:—

			SCHEDU	JLE				
SI.	Licences No. CM/L-	Grant Dated	Name of address of the Licensee	Title of the Standard	IS No.	Part	Sec	Year
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1.	7995617	01-01-2010	M/s. Deepsheela Industries, Plot No. 29 & 30, Achalpur Co-Operative Industrial Estate, Amrawati Road, Achalpur Distt. Amravati Maharashtra-444 806	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged Natural Mineral Water)	14543	•	-	2004
2.	7996215	01-01-2010	M/s. Prowess Industries, Plot No. 134, Amar Jyoti Nagar, Nara Road, Bhim Chowk, Nagpur, Maharashtra-440 014	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged Natural Mineral Water)	14543	-	-	2004

[No. CMD/13:11]

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1180.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 के नियम 4 के उपनियम (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्द्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन लाइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं :—

अनुसूची

क्रम सं.	लाइसेंस संख्या	स्वीकृत करने की तिथि, वर्ष/माह	लाइसेंसधारी का नाम व पता	भारतीय मानक का शीर्षक	भामासं.	भाग	अनुभा	ाग वर्ष
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1.	3602245	29-01-2010	मैसर्स नितिन ज्वैलर्स्, 230, मेन बाजार, देहू रोड, जिला पुणे-412 101 महाराष्ट्र ।	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/शिल्पकारी-शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन	1417	-		1999
2.	3602346	29-01-2010	मैसर्स नैन चंद देवचंद शाह, 805, सर्राफ बाजार, मिरज तालुका, जिला सांगली-416 416 महाराष्ट्र ।	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/शिल्पकारी-शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन	1417	-	-	1999
3.	3604148	05-02-2010	मैसर्स सहारा एग्रो प्रा. लि., गट सं. 481, एट वालुंजनगर, पीओ लोणी (धामणी), तालुका आम्बेगांव, जिला पुणे-410 510 महाराष्ट्र।	पैकेजबंद पेय जल (पैकेजबंद प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543	-	-	2004
4.	3605857	09-02-2010	मैसर्स यूनीवर्सल केबल्स एंड वायर इण्डस्ट्रीज, सं. नं. 110/1/1, राहतानी, कालेवाडी, जिला पुणे-411 017 महाराष्ट्र ।	पीवीसी इंशुलेटेड केबल्स 1100 वोल्ट तक और सहित कार्यकारिता	694	-	-	1990
5.	3606253	09-02-2010	मैसर्स सुमेधा कांक्रीट प्रोडक्ट्स, गट सं. 370, भिलारेवाडी, ए/पी नसरापुर, तालुका भोर, जिला पुणे-412 213, महाराष्ट्र ।	प्रीकास्ट कांक्रीट पाइप्स (प्रवलन सहित और रहित)	458	-	-	2003
6.	7972908	09-02-2010	मैसर्स श्रीहरि एग्रो प्रोडक्ट्स, गट सं. 39 ए/पी, लांडेवाडी, पिंगलेवाडी (नारोडी), तालुका आम्बेगांव, जिला पुणे-410 503, महाराष्ट्र ।	पैकेजबंद पेयजल (पैकेजबंद प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543	-	-	2004

====								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
7.	7980503	10-02-2010	मैसर्स हरिहर इण्डस्ट्रीज, मिलकत नं. 580, एट पोस्ट तारूख, तालुका कराड, जिला सतारा–415 103	पैकेजबंद पेयजल (पैकेजबंद प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543	_	••	2004
8.	3607962	18-02-2010	महाराष्ट्र । मैसर्स फूलचंद ज्वैलर्स, दुकान नं. 9, अशोक विजय कॉम्पलेक्स, 326, एम जी रोड, जिला पुणे-411 001 महाराष्ट्र ।	स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्रधातुएं, आभूषण/शिल्पकारी-शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन	1417	-	-	1999
9.	3608358	22-02-2010	मैसर्स सुमित ज्वेलर्स् 621, गणेश पेठ, जिला पुणे-411002 महाराष्ट्र ।	स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्रधातुएं, आभृषण/शिल्पकारी-शृदता एवं मु हरांकन	1417	-		1999
10.	3608964	22-02-2010	मैसर्स ललित पॉलिमर्स एंड इलैक्ट्रानिक्स लिमिटेड, डी-2, एमआईडीसी, इंडस्ट्रीयल एरिया, जेजुरी गांव, तालुका पुरंदर, जिला पुणे-412 303 महाराष्ट्र ।	प्रीने योग्य जल आपूर्ति के प्रक्री के लिए प्रबलित प्लास्टिक ग्लास फाइबर के (जीआरपी) पाइपन जोड़ और फिटिंग्स	12709	-	-	1994

[सं. सीएमडी 13:11]

2008

सी. को. महेश्वरी, वैज्ञानिक 'जी' (प्रमाणन)

13488 -

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2010

मैसर्स बोथरा एग्रो इक्युपमेंट्स

बी-16, एमआईडीसी, जिला अहमदनगर-414 111,

प्रा. लि.,

महाराष्ट्र ।

3609360 24-02-2010

11.

सिंचाई उपकरण-इमीटिंग

पाइप सिस्टम

S.O. 1180.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of the regulation 4 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations, 1988, of the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licences particulars of which are given in the following Schedule:—

SCHEDULE

			56112	DOLLE				
Sl. No.	Licence- No.	Grant Date	Name & address of the Party	Title of the Standard	IS No.	Part	Sec	Year
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1.	3602245	29-01-2010	M/s. Nitin Jewellers, 230, Main Bazar, Dehu Road, District Pune-412 101 Maharashtra.	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	1417	-	-	1999

()	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	3602346	29-01-2010	M/s. Nanchand Devchand Shah, 805, Saraf Bazar, Miraj (Tq), District Sangli-416 416 Maharashtra.	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	1417	•	-	1999
•	3604148	05-02-2010	M/s. Sahara Agro Pvt. Ltd., Gat No. 481, At Walunjnagar, PO Loni (Dhamni), Taluka Ambegaon, Distt Pune-410 510, Maharashtra.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged Natural Mineral Water)	14543	-	-	2004
•	3605857	09-02-2010	M/s. Universal Cables & Wire Industries, S. No. 110/1/1, Rahatani, Kalewadi, Distt Pune-411 017 Maharashtra.	PVC insulated cables for working voltages upto and including 1100 V	694	-	-	1990
	3606253	09-02-2010	M/s. Sumedha Concrete Products, Gat No. 370, Bhilarewadi, A/P Nasrapur, Taluka Bhor, Distt Pune-412 213 Maharashtra.	Precast concrete pipes (with and without reinforcement)	458	-	•	2003
5 .	7972908	09-02-2010	M/s. Shreehari Agro Products, Gat No. 39, A/P Landewadi-Pinglewadi (Narodi), Taluk Ambegaon, Distt Pune-410 503 Maharashtra.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged Natural Mineral Water)	14543	-	-	2004
•	7980503	10-02-2010	M/s. Harihar Industries, Milkat No. 580, At Post Tarukh, Taluka Karad, Distt Satara-415 103, Maharashtra.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged Natural Mineral Water)	14543	-	-	2004
	3607962	18-02-2010	M/s. Fulchand Jewellers, Shop No. 9, Ashok Vijay Complex, 326, M.G. Road, Pune-411 001, Maharashtra.	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	1417	-	-	1999
) .	3608358	22-02-2010	M/s. Sumeet Jewellers, 621, Ganesh Peth, Pune-411 002,	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	1417	-	-	1999

Maharashtra.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
10.	3608964	22-02-2010	M/s. Lalit Polymers & Electronics Ltd., D-2, MIDC Indl. Area, Jejuri Village, Taluka Purandar, District Pune-412 303 Maharashtra.	Glass fibre reinforced plastic (GRP) pipes joints and fittings for use for potable water supply	12709	•	-	1994
1 m	3609360	24-02-2010	M/s. Bothara Agro Equipments Pvt. Ltd., B-16, MIDC, District Ahmednagar-414 111 Maharashtra.	Irrigation equipment- emitting pipe system	13488	•	÷	2008

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1181 .—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 **के नियम** 4 के उपनियम (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्द्वाय वीध रूचित करता है कि जिन लाइसेंसों के विवरण वीचे अनुसूची **में दिए गए हैं**, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं :---

अनुसूची

्राम् इस	· ign fill	स्वीकत करने की	लाइसेंसधारी का नाम व पता	भारतीय मानक का शीर्षक	भामासं.	भ्याग	अन्.	वर्ष
सं.	্যভয়	तिथि, वर्ष/माह						
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1.	सोएम/एल- 6992101	01-12-2009	श्री कांताराम सेट्टी एंड संस ज्वेलर्स, नं. 940, बाजार स्ट्रीट, नंजनगूड, मैसूर, कर्नाटक-571 301	स्वर्ण तथा स्थर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/कार्यकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	भामा 1417	-	-	1999
2	सीमा ्ल- 69923():	(12+12-2009	टी.बी. रेवंकर ज्वेलर्स, रेवंकर कॉर्नर, ब्रॉडवे, हुबली, जिला-धारवाड़, कर्नाटक-580 020	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/ कार्यका री शुद्धनः एवं मार्किंग	भामा !4' <i>1</i>	-	-	} #) ¢,
3.	्यीष्ट्रम् घटनः चण्डुन्।	02-12-2009	ए. सोना ज्वेलर्स, नं. 32/1, आर. टी. स्ट्रीट, बेंगलौर अरबन, बेंगलौर, कर्नाटक-560 063	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं. आभूषण/कार्यकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	भामा 1417		-	, CXÇIC.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
4.	सीएम/एल- 6992606	02-12-2009	महावीर ज्वेलर्स, नं. 30, होन्नाप्पा कॉम्प्लेक्स, मागडी मेन रोड, जैमुनी राव सर्कल, ए दासराहल्ली, बेंगलौर अरबन, बेंगलौर, कर्नाटक-560 079	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुर्, आभूषण/कार्यकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	भामा 1417	-	-	1999
5.	'ताएम/एल 699 27 07	02-12-2009	चंदन जेवर, !45/एफ, 5-6, पहरूरी वॉजिट, रंगनाथ मैंशन, एवेन्यू रोड, वेंगलौर, कर्नाटक-560 002	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/कार्यकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	भामा 1417	-	-	1999
6.	सीएम/एल-	02-14-2005	वर्धमान ज्वेलर्स,	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं,	भामा			
	699280		तं. 3, एम. जी. एस. रोड, े.एस.आर.टी.सी. बस स्टैण्ड, नंजनगूड, असूर, कर्नाटक-571 301	आभूषण/कार्यकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	1417	**	-	1999
7.	सा्म/एल	04-12-2009	्ला सीमेंट्स (यादवाड़) लिमिटेड,	पॉर्टलेंड गाजलानः सांतर पार्ट ।	भामा			
	6994610		सर्वे नं. 251, जुदबाड् विलंज गाकाक तालुक, बेलगाम, कर्नाटक-591 136	पत्सर्हऐश	1489	1	-	1991
8.	सीएम/एल-	04-12-2009		इरिगेशन एक्यूपमेंट-पोलिथिलिन	भामा			
	6998820		बी-61, दूसरा क्रॉस, तीसरी स्टेज, पीन्या इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, बेंगलौर अरबन, बेंगलौर, कर्नाटक-560 058		12786	~		1989
9.	सीएम/एल-	09-12-2009	स्पा अक्वाटेक इंडिया प्राइवेन	पैकेजबंद परास्त (पैकेजबंद	भामा			
	6996210		लिमिटेड, नं. 1, बेगूर हाइट्स बिल्डिंग, बेगूर कोप्पा रोड, नियर नाइस रोड, बेगूर पोस्ट, बेंगलौर-560 068 बेंगलौर अरबन, कर्नाटक	मितरल जल के अलप्दा)	14543	-	-	2(;; >=

(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
-12-2009	स्पूर्ति इरिगेशन एक्यूपमेंट, बी-61, दूसरा क्रॉस, तीसरी स्टेज, पीन्या इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, बेंगलौर अरबन, बेंगलौर, कर्नाटक-560 058	इरिगेशन एक्यूपमेंट-एमिटर्स	भामा 13487	-	-	1992
I-12-2009	श्री बालाजी मार्केटिंग,	पैकेजबंद पेयजल (पैकेजबंद	भामा			
12 200)	नं. 243, फॉरेस्ट लेआऊट,	मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543	_	_	2004
	आर.वी. कॉलेज पोस्ट, भैयरवानगरा किंगेरी, बेंगलौर-560 059, कर्नाटक					
5-12-2009	बागलकोट सीमेंट एंड	पॉर्टलेंड पोजलाना सीमेंट पार्ट 1	भामा			
	इंडिस्ट्रज लिमिटेड,	फ्लाईऐश	1489	1	-	1991
. 15 2000	सिमेंट डिवीजन, बागलकोट, कर्नाटक-587 111	sa मेर ऑफिंगी वॉस्टेंट गीवॉर	भामा			
7-12-2009	रत्ना सीमेंट्स (यादवाड़) लिमिटेड,	33 ग्रंड आडिनरा पाटलंड सामट				1007
	सर्वे नं. 251, यादवाड़ विलेज, गोकाक तालुक, बेलगाम, कर्नाटक-591 136		12269	_	-	1987
7-12-2009	श्री लेब्स,	पैकेजबंद पेयजल (पैकेजबंद	भामा			
	नं. 173, चिक्का तोगूर क्रॉस, होसूर मेन रोड, बेंगलौर-560 100, बेंगलौर अरबन, कर्नाटक	मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543		-	2004
8-12-2009	सम्राट बेवरेज्स,	पैकेजबंद पेयजल (पैकेजबंद	भामा			
	सर्वे नं. 340/2, बटागेरा (बी),	मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543	-	-	2004
	सेडम-585 222, जिला-गुलबर्गा, कर्नाटक					
8-12-2009		पीवीसी इंसुलेटेड केबल्स फॉर	भामा			
. 12 2007	डी-33, गंजम इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट,		694	_	_	1990

इंक्लुडिंग 1100 वोल्ट

स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं,

आभूषण/कार्यकारी शुद्धता

एवं मार्किग

भामा

1417

1999

2354

(1)

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

(2)

सोएम/एल-

सीएम/एल-

सीएम/एल-

सीएम/एल-

सीएम/एल-

सीएम/एल-

सीएम/एल-

3301736

सीएम/एल-

3300431

3300027

6999721

3300330

3300532

6997515

3300936

11-12-2009

22-12-2009

स्वर्णाकृति आभरण,

निरंजन मैंशन.

विक्टोरिया रोड.

हबली, धारवाड

श्रीरंगपदना, मानड्या-571 438,

कर्नाटक

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
18.	सीएम/एल- 3300633	22-12-2009	जोस्को ज्वेलर्स, ए यूनिट ऑफ जोस्को गोल्ड कार्पोरेशन प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, सूरज गंगा आरकेड, 332/7, ग्राऊंड फ्लोर, 14वां क्रॉस, दूसरा ब्लॉक, जयन्वेंगलौर अरबन, बेंगलौर, कर्नाटक-560 011	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/कार्यकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	भामा 1417	-	-	1999
19.	सीएम/एल- 3300835	22-12-2009	एस.आर.एस. ज्वेल क्राफ्ट, नं. 5/5, टी.आर.एस. लेन, नरसिंहा कॉम्प्लेक्स, नागर्थपेट क्रॉस, बेंगलौर, बेंगलौर अरबन, कर्नाटक-560 002	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/कार्यकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	भामा 1417	-	-	1999
20.	सीएम/एल~ 3301635	23-12-2009	अलुक्कास ज्वेलरी, ए यूनिट ऑफ अलुक्कास एंटरप्राइजेंज प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, XIII/टी.एस. नं. 215/आई.ए. 1, 213, 215/आई.ए. 2, एक्सल माल, के.एस. राव रोड, दक्षिण कनाडा, मंगलौर, कर्नाटक-575 001	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/कार्यकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	भामा 1417	-		1999
21.	सीएम/एल- 3301938	29-12-2009	वी.वी. शिरोडकर एंड संस, दुकान नं. 1328/3, मेन रोड, कारवार, उत्तर कनाडा, कर्नाटक-581 301	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/कार्यकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	भामा 1417	-	-	1999
	सीएम/एल- 3302132	29-12-2009	शा अशोक कुमार गणेशमल राठौड़ 2528, भगवान महावीर रोड, गोकाक, बेलगाम, कर्नाटक-591 307	, स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/कार्यकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	भामा 1417	-	-	1999
	सीएम/एल- 3302738	31~12~2009	डायमंड गोल्ड पेलेस, बालगी कॉम्प्लेक्स, देवी टैम्पल रोड, येल्लापुर, उत्तर कनाडा, येल्लापुर, कर्नाटक-581 359	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/कार्यकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	भामा 1417	-	-	1999

2350	5	THE GAZE	TTE OF INDIA: MAY 8, 20	10/VAISAKHA 18, 1932		[Pari II - 5 a-	(. (a))
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	() (8)	<u>C</u>
24.	सीएम/एल- 3303033	31-12-2009	मेघा इंडस्ट्रीज दूपदकट्टे निटे विलेज, कारकाला तालुक, उडपि-574110 कर्नाटक	पैकेजबंद पेयजल (पैकेजबंद मिनरल जल के अलावा)	भाम। 14543	-	,⁴(n)a
25.	सीएम/एल- 3302637	31-12-2009	भीमा अक्वा मिनाहास नं. 84, भीमेनाहल्ली मंचनायकनाहल्ली पोस्ट, बिड्दी होब्ली, रामनगरा तालुक एंड लिला,	पैकेजबंद पेयजल (पैकेजबंद मिनगल जल के अलावा)	भामा 14543		2004

[सं. सीएमडी 13:11] सी. के. महेश्वरी, वैज्ञानिक 'जी' (प्रमाणन)

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2010

S.O. 1131.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of the regulation 4 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulation 1988, of the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licence particular of which are given in the following Schedule:

SCHEDULE

कर्नाटक-562109

			SCHEDO					
SI. No.	Licences No.	Grant Date	Name & address of the Party	Title of the Standard	IS No.	Part	Sec.	Year
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1.	CM1/L- 699/2101	01-12-2009	Sri Kantarama Setty & Sons Jewellers No. 940, Bazar Street, Nanjangud Mysore Karnataka-571301	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417	-	-	1999
2.	CM/L- 6992303	02-12-2009	T.B. Revenkar Jewellers Revankar Corner, Broadway, Hubli Dharwad Karnataka-580020	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417	•	-	1999
3.	CM/L- 6992505	02-12-2009	A. Sona Jewellers No. 32/1, R.T. Street Bangalore Urban Bangalore Karnataka-560063	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417	-	-	1999
4.	CM/L- 6992606	02-12-2009	Mahaveer Jeweller No. 30, Honnappa Complex Magadi Main Road, Jaimuni Rao Circle, A Dasarahalli Bangalore Urban Bangalore Karnataka-560079	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417	-	-	1999

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
5.	CM/L- 6992707	02-12-2009	Chandan Zaver 145/F, 5-6, Ist Floor Ranganatha Mansion, Avenue Road, Bangalore Bangalore Urban Bangalore Mamataka-560002	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417	-	-	1999
6.	CM/L- 6992808	02-12-2009	Vardhaman Jewellers No.3, M.G.S. Road, KSRTC Bus Stand, Nanjangud, Mysore Karnataka-571301	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417	•	•	1999
7.	CM/L- 6994610	04-12-2009	Ratna Cements (Yadwad) Limited Survey No.251, Yadwad Village, Gokak Taluk Belgaum Karnataka-591136	Portland Pozzolana Cement part 1 flyash	IS 1489	1	-	1991
8.	CM/L- 6998820	04-12-2009	Spoorthy Irrigation Equipments B-61, 2nd Cross, 3rd Stage, Peenya Industrial Estate, Bangalore Urban Bangalore Karnataka-560058	Irrigation equipment - polyethylene pipes for irrigation laterals	IS 12786		-	1989
9.	CM/L- 6996210	09-12-2009	SPA Aquatech India Pvt. Ltd. No. 1, Begur Heights Building, Begur Koppa Road, Near Nice Road, Begur Post, Bangalore-560068 Bangalore Urban Karnataka	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral water)	IS 14543	-	-	2004
10.	CM/L- 3200936	11-12-2009	Spoorthy Irrigation Equipments B-61, 2nd Cross, 3rd Stage, Peenya Industrial Estate, Bangalore Urban Bangalore Karnataka-560058	Irrigation equipment - emitters	IS 13487	-	-	1992
11.	CM/L- 6997515	14-12-2009	Sri Balaji Marketing No. 243, Forest Layout, R. V. College Post, Byravanagara Kengeri, Bangalore-560059, Bangalore Urban Karnataka-560059	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral water)	IS 14543	-	-	2004

PART	11-	-Sec.	3(ii)
 T VIVI	4.4		~ (*)

1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
i2.	CM/L- 3300532	16-12-2009	Bagalkot Cement & Industries Limited	Portland pozzolana cement part 1 flyash	IS 1489)		[59]
			Cement Division, Bagalkot Karnataka-587111					
3.	CM/L- 3300330	17-12-2009	Ratna Cements (Yadwad) Limited Survey No. 251, Yadwad Village, Gokak Taluk Belgaum Kamataka-591136	53 grade ordinary port ¹ and cement	IS 12269	•	-	1981
4.	CM/L- 6999721	17-12-2009	Sree Labs No.173, Chikka Thogur Cross, Hosur Main Road, Bangalore-560100. Bangalore Urban Kamataka-560100	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral water)	IS 14543			200
5.	CM/L- 3300027	18-12-2009	Samrat Bevorages Sy No. 340/2, Bajagera (B) Sedam-585222, Gulbarga District. Gulbarga Sedam Karnataka-585222	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral water)	IS 14543	-	-	200
6.	CM/L- 3301736	18-12-2009	Padmavathi Industries D-33, Ganjam Industrial Estate Srirangapatna Mandya Karnataka-5714385.	PVC insulated cables for working voltages upto and including 1100 V	IS 694	-	•	199
17.	CM/L- 3300431	22-12-2009	Nwarnakruti Abharan Niranjan Mansion, Victoria Road, Hubli Dharwad Karnataka-580020	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	IS1417	-	v	199
18.	CM/L- 3300633	22-12-13 ^{ng}	losco Jewellers A Unit of Josco Gold orporation Pvt. Ltd. Guraj Ganga Arcade, 32/7, Ground Floor, 4th Cross, 2nd Block, ayangar Bangalore Urban, Bangalore Karnataka-560011	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417	-		194
19.	CM/L- 3300835	22-12-2009	SRS Jewel Craft # 5/5, TRS Lane, Narasimha Complex, Nagarthpet Cross, Bangalore Bangalore Urban Bangalore Karnataka-560002	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417	-	- -,,	194

[HI1	III—खण्ड 3(11)]	भारत का राजपत्र : मई 8, 20	10/1/0				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
20.	CM/L- 3301635	23-12-2009	Alukkas Jewellery A Unit of Alukkas Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. XIII/ T. S. No. 215/IA1, 213,215/IA2 Excell Mall K. S. Rao Road, Dakshin Kannada Mangalore Karnataka-575001	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417	-	-	1900
21.	CM/L- 3301938	29-12-2009	V.V. Shirodkar & Sons Shop No. 1328/3 Main Road, Karwar, Uttar Kannada Karnataka-581301	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417	•	-	1999
22.	CMT - 3302132	<u>.</u> 9-12-2009	Sha Ashok Kumar Ganeshmal Rathod, 2528, Bhagwan Mahavir Road, Gokak Belgaum Karnataka-591307	Gold and gold alloys, jewelle-y/artefacts-fineness and marking	ìS 1417	-	-	1999
23.	CM/L- 3302738	31-12-2009	Diamond Gold Palace Balagi Complex, Devi Temple Road, Yellapur, Uttar Kannada Karnataka-581359	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417	-	-	1999
24.	CM/L- 3303033	31-12-2009	Megha Industries Doopadakatte, Nitte Jillage, Karkala Taluk, Udupi-574110, Karkala Karnataka	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral water)	IS 14543	-	-	200-
25.	CM/L- 3302637	31-12-2009	Bheemaa Aqua Minerals No. 84, Bheemenahalli, Manchanaya Kanahalli Post,	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral water)	IS 14543	-	-	200

Bidadi Hobli,

Bangalore Urban Ramanagara Karnataka-562109

Kamanagara Taluk & District,

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2010

का. प. 1182.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियमन, 1988 के विनियमन-5 के उप-विनियमन (6) के तहत भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो यह अिसूचित करता है कि निम्नलिखित ब्योरे वाले लाइसेन्स उनके आगे दी गई तारीखों से रद्द कर दिया गया है।

अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	लाइसे ५ स	लाइसेंस वाले का नाम व पता	समयबाधित लाइसेन्स द्वारा आवृत वस्तुः प्रक्रिया के साथ संगत भारतीय माउक	समयवाधित तारीख
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
].	सीएम/एस 6:7:777	न्यूसीफेरा रिनण्बल एनर्जी सिस्टम रागवेन्द्रानगर, बिहण्डंड देवनुर चर्च नालंदा कोन्येंट परलाच रोड् तुमकुर-572102 कर्नाल्क	भाभा 12933-पार्ट । : 2003 सोलार फ्लैट प्लंट कॉलेक्टर-पार्ट । रिक्वःयरमेंट्स	16-12-2009

[भी सीएउडी 13:13]

सी. के. भहरवरी, वैक्.िक 'जी' (अनावल)

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2010

S.O. 1182.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (6) of regulation 5 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification Regulation 1988, the Bureau of Indian Standards, here of notifies that the licences particular of which are given below here been cancelled with effect from the date indicated again feach:

		SCH	FRILE	
Sl. No.	Licence No.	Name and Address of the Licensee	Arrest tracess on relevant k dian Standard or and by the concelled/suspension	Date of Caroniation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
1.	CN./1. 6372572	Nucifera Renewable Energy System Raghavendranagar, Benind Devanur Church Nalanda Convent Parallel Road, Tunkur-572102, Karnataka	IS: 12933 : Part 1: 2003 solar flat plate collector-: part 1 requirements	-12(x)9
	**************************************			[No CMD 13:13]

[No.CMD 13:13]

C. K. MAHESHWARI, Sci. G (Certification)

नई दिल्ली, 27 अप्रैल, 2010

काः आः ११९**३. –भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम 1988 के नियम 4 के उपनियम (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय भानक ब्यूरे एतदृद्वारा अधिमृत्तित करता है कि जिन ाइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं :—**

अनमची

			બંદુ	ત્રુબા				
क्रम ्ः	लाइसेंस संख्या	स्वीकृत करने की तिथि वर्ष/माह	लाइसेंसधारी का नाम व पता	भारतीय मानक का शीर्षक	भामा सं	भाग	अनु	वर्ष
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(5)	(7)	8/ }	(4)
1 1 -	स्रोएम. एल 3315242	1-02-2010	मेट्रो अप्लाइनसेस नं. 79/8, 8वां क्रॉस, शंकरप्पा गार्डन, मागडी रोड, बेंगलौर	डॉपेस्टिक ब्रेशा भुका	2347		-	ું(પો;

कर्नाटक-560023

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	सीएम/एल 3319553	02-02-2010	युनाइटेड इंजिनियरिंग वर्क्स नं. 235/1, होंगासंद्रा बेगुर रोड़, पहला मेन चौथा क्रॉस बेंगलौर कर्नाटक-560068	मोटार्स फॉर सबमरसिबल पम्पसेट्स	भामा 9283	-	-	199
.	सीएम/एल 3315848	03-02-2010	जे. के. सीमेंट वर्क्स मुद्दापुर विलेज, मुधोल तालुक मुधोल बागलकोट कर्नाटक-587122	पोर्टलेंड पॉजलाना सिमेंट पार्ट-1 फ्लाईएश	भामा 1489	-	~	1991
l.	सीएम, एल . ³³ 16042	03-02-2010	एसएलएन मिनेरल्स नं. 119/2 एसएलवी हे. गडट, आल्लूर विलेज, दासनापुरा होब्ली, बेंगलौर नार्थ बेंगलौर-562123, कर्नाटक	पैंकेजबंद पेयजल (पैकेजबंद मिनरल जल के अलावा)	भामा 14543	-	~	2001
5.	सीगम/य्ल ≟३२३01¢	e02-2016	आशिर्वाद पाइप्स प्राईवेट लिमिटेड 4-बी, अतिबेले इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, होसूर रोड, बेंगलोर-562107 कर्नाटक	क्लोरिनेटेड पीवीसी पाइप्स फॉर पोटेबल हॉट एंड कोल्ड वाटर डिस्ट्रिब्युशन सप्लाईज	भामा 15778	-	-	2007
۲.	सीएम/एल १२-७ <u>५</u> ४०	64-02-2016	श्री मुकाम्बिका ज्वेलर्स, नं. 503/3, सूर्य किरण कॉम्प्लेक्स 50 फिट रोड़, हनुमंतनगर बेंगलौर कर्नाटक-560050	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण भिश्र भातुएं, आभूषण/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	भामा 1417	***		1999
7.	सीएम/एल 3518551	05-02: 2010	पोटेबल मिनरल्स 78:5, 78:6, 15वां मेन जे. सी. नगर, कुरबरहल्ली महालक्ष्मीपुरम, बेंग्लौर-560086 कर्नाटक	पैंकेजबंद पेयजल (पैकेजबंद मिनरल जल के अज्ञाना)	भामा 14543	-	-	2004
8.	'प्रीएम/ एल 5318450	08-2-2010	विग्नेश ज्वेल एम्पायर 1747, 9वां क्रॉस, 18वां मेन II, फेस ज. पी. नगर बेंगलौर, क्रनांटक-560078	स्वर्ण तथा ार्ग मिश्र धनुएं, अप्राग/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	भामा 1417	-		1999
9.	सीएम/एल 3319452	τ 09-02-2010	जमीला बुड इंडस्ट्रिज, डेरंबैल कोन्चाडी, अशोका नगर पोस्ट मंगलौर, जिला रदिश्य कन्नडा, कर्नाटक-575006	प्लाईबुड फॉर जनरल परपजेस	भामा 303	-		38

2362		THE GAL	ZETTE OF INDIA: MAY 8, 201	U/VAISAKHA 18, 1932		r ARI	11	c. 3(ii)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
0.	सीएम/एल 3318854	10-02-2010	शेरवानी ज्वेर्ल्स, सीटीएस नं., 2530, रविवार पेथ,, गोकाक बेलगांव, कर्नाटक-591307	म्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएँ, आभूषण/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	भामा 1417	-	-	1999
1.	सं1एम/एल 3320134	15-02-2010	श्री गणेश ज्वेलर्स नं. 3/1, एम. एन. कॉम्प्लेक्स, ज्यानभारती मेन रोड, नागरभावी बेंगलौर, कर्नाटक-560072	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएँ, आभूषण/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	भाभा 1417	_	_	1999
2.	सीएम/५ल 3326045	15-02-2010	मैत्री इरिगेशन सिस्टम्स (इंडिया) प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, वी-3, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, के. एस. एस. आई.डी.सी. होसकोटे, बेंगलौर-562114 बेंगलौर, अरबन, कर्नाटक-562114	इरिगेशन एक्युपमेंट्स-एमिटर्स	भामा 13487	-	-	1992
13.	सीएम/एल 3326146	16-02-2010	मिल्क प्रोजेक्ट प्लान्ट (ए युनिट ऑफ केएमएफ) शोट्टीहल्ली विलेज, जनिवारा पोस्ट चन्नरायपट्टना तालुक हासन कर्नाटक-571116	स्किम्ड मिल्क पाउँडर भाग-। स्टैंटर्ड ग्रेड	भामा 13334	भाग	1 -	1998
14.	सीएम/एल 3330339	16-02-2010	जेएसडब्ल्यू स्टील लिमिटेड, तोरानगल्लु पी.का. विद्यानगर बेल्लारी-583275 कर्नाटक	कोल्ड रोल्ड लो कारबन स्टील शीट एंड स्ट्रीप्स	भामा 513	-		19 9 4
15.	सीएम/एल 3325144	19-02-2010	पी. जी. स्टेशनरी प्राइवेट लिमिटेड नं. एफ-51, राजाजीनगर इंड्रिस्ट्रेग्न एस्टेट, बेंगलोर-560044 बेंगलोर अरबन कर्नाटक	फाउनटेन पेन इंक-डाई बेस्ड	भामा 1221		-	1991
16.	सीएम/एल 3304445	i 24-02-2010	शांताराम अमृत इंडस्ट्रिज ए. टी. पोस्ट, मंगासुली, अथणी तालुक जिला : बेलगांव कर्नाटक	पैक्रेज बंट पेयजल (पैक्रंजबंद मिनरल जल के अलावा)	भामा 14543	-	_	200-

कर्नाटक

[भाग II—खण्ड 3(ii)]			भारत का राजपत्र : मई 8,	2010/वैशाख 18, 1932	0/वेशाख 18, 1932				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
17.		26-02-2010	राजसन्स भाविक इंक्लेव, आर 5, के. एस. एस. आई डी. सी डेम रोड, होसपेट-583202 बेल्लारी, कर्नाटक	पैकेजबन्द पेयजल (पैकेजबंद मिनरल जल के अलावा	भामा 14543	_	-	2004	
18.	सीएम/प्रल 3329४59	26-02-2010	जे. अक्वा सर्वे नं. 238/2बी भाइको लेआउट, बेगूर विलेज बेंगलौर-560068 बेंगलौर अरबन कर्नाटक	पैकेजबंद पेयजल (पैकेजवंद मिनरल जल के अलावा)	भामा 14543	_	_	2004	

[सं. सीएमडी 13:11]

सी. के. महेश्वरी, वैज्ञानिक 'जी' (प्रमाणन)

New Delhi, the 27th April, 2010

S.O. 1183.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of the regulation 4 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations 1988, of the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licence particular of which are given in the following Schedule:

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Licences No.	Grant Date	Name and address of the Party	Title of the Standard	IS No	. Part	Sec.	Year
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1.	CM/L- 3315242	01-02-2010	Metro Appliances No. 79/8, 8th Cross Shankar Appa. Garden Magadi Road, Bangalore, Urban Bangalore Karnataka-560023	Domestic pressure cookers	IS234	7 –	-	2006
2.	CM/L- 3319553	02-02-2010	United Engineering Works No. 235/1, Hongasandra. Begur Road, 1st Main 4th Cross Bangalore Kamataka-560068	Motors for submersible pumpsets	IS 928	33		1995
3.	CM/L- 3315848	03-02-2010	J. K. Cement Works Muddapur Village Mudhol Taluk Bangalkot, Mudhol, Karnataka-587122	Portland pozzolana cement Part I flyash	IS 148	9 Part	;-1 	1991
4.	CM/L- 3316042	03-02-2010	Sl. N. Minerals No. 119/2, Slv. Layout Allur Village Dasanapura Hobli Bangalore North, Bangalore-562123 Allur Bangalore Rural	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral water)	IS 14	4543 -		2004

Karnataka-562123

2364		THE GAZ	ETTE OF INDIA: MAY 8, 201	0. VAISAKHA 18, 1930		[Part	115	SEC 3(11)]
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
5.	UM7 3523039	04-02-2010	Ashirvad Pipes At Ltd. 4-B, Attibele dustrial Area, Hisur Road, Brigalore Urban Ban 12 ore Kamataka-5/2107	Chlorinated pvc pipes for IS potable hot and cold water distribution supplies	5778			
ઇ .	CNVL- 2317549	04-02-2010	Sri Mookambica Jewellers #503/3, Larya Kiran Complex, 50 Feet Road, Hanumanth- nagar, Bangalore Urban Banga: ore Flamataka-560050	Gold and gold alloys, jewellers, artefacts fineness and marking	' IS 141	7		Tòòd
7.	CWL- 331 8551	05-02-2010	Potabl: Minerals 78/5, 78/6, 15th Main,. J. C. Nagar, Kurubarahalli Mahal akshmiporam, Bangalore-560086 Bangalore Urban Karnataka	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral water)	IS 145	i43 –		2004
8.	CM/L- 3318450	08-02-2010	Vignesh Je wel Empire 1747, 9th Cross 18th Main II Phase J. P. Nagar, Bangalore Bangalore Urban Bangalore Karnataka-560078	Gold and gold alloys, jewellery/artefacts fineness and marking	IS 141	7-		(900
9.	CM/L- 3319452	09-02-2010	Jameela Wood Industries Derebril Konchady Ashoka Nagar Post, Mangalore Dakshin Kannada, Karnataka-575006	Plywood for general purposes	4S 303	-		1989
10.	CM/L+ 3318854	10-02-2010	Sharvani Jewellers C1S No. 2530,. Raviwarpeth Gokak Belgaum Karnataka-591307	Gold and gold alloys jewellery/artefacts fineness and marking	IS 14	7 -		<u> 1999</u>
11.	CMT a 3320134	15-02-2010	Sri Ganesh Jewellers #3/1, M. N. Complex, Jnanabharathi Main Road, Nagarbhavi Bangalore Urban Bangalore Karnataka-560072	Gold and gold alloys jewellery/artefacts fineness and marking	IS 141	7 -		fònò
12.	CM/L- 3326045	15-02-2010	Mythri Irrigation Systems (India) Pvt. Ltd., V. 3, Industrial Estate, K. S. S. I. D.C. Hoskote, Bangalore-562114 Bangalore Urban Karnataka-562114	Irrigation equipment- emitters	IS 134	487 -		1993

[भाग ====	II—खण्ड 3	(ii)]	भारत का राजपत्र : मई 8, 20)10/वैशाख 18, 1932			2365
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7) (8)	(9)
13.	CM/L- 3326146	16-02-2010	Milk Product Plant (A Unit of KMF) Shettihally Village Janivara Post Channaray apatna Taluk Hassan Karnataka-571116	Skimmed milk powder part I standard grade	13334 Pa	rtI –	1998
14.	CM/L- 3330339	16-02-2010	JSW Steel Ltd., Toranagallu P.O. Vidyanagar Bellary-583275 Karnataka	Cold-rolled low carbon steel sheets and strips	IS513 -		1994
15.	CM/L- 3325144	19-02-2010	P.G. Stationery Private Limited no. F. 51, Rajarinagar Indl. Estate, Bangalore-560044 Bangalore Urban Karnataka	Fountain pen ink-dye based	IS 1221 –	_	1991
16.	CM/L- 3324445	24-02-2010	Shantaram Amrut Industries At Post, Mangasuli, Athani Taluk Belgaum District Belgaum Karnataka	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral water)	IS 14543 –	_	2004
17.	CM/L- 3329051	26-02-2010	Rajsons Bhavik Enclave, R-5, KSSIDC, Dam Road, Hospet-583203 Bellary Karnataka	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral water)	IS 14543 —		2004
18.	CM/L- 3329859	26-02-2010	Jay Aqua SY No. 238/2B, Mico layout, Begur Village, Bangalore-560068, Bangalore Urban, Karnataka	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral water)	IS 14543		2004

[No. C.M.D./13:11]

C. K. MAHESHWARI, Sc. "G" (Certification)

नई दिल्ली, 27 अप्रैल, 2010

का.आ. 1184.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 के विनियम (4) के उप-नियम (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्द्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन लाइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं :--

अनुसूची

क्रम सं.	लाइसेंस संख्या	स्वीकृत करने की तिथि	लाइसेंसधारी का नाम व पता	भारतीय मानक का शीर्षक	भा मा सं भाग/खण्ड वर्ष
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1.	3602144	25-1-2010	हनुमान प्रसाद कोस्तुभ बेवरेजेज गट संख्या 96,99,103 पोस्ट सास्ने तोंदली फाटा के सामने मुरबाड-कर्जत रोड मुरबाड जिला : थाने-421 401	पैकेजबंद पीने का पानी (पैकेजबंद पाकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 : 2004

F 52%	** W	£4		100	,
[PART	· 6 ;,		5.5	201	17
18 2001		as dut to 1	, ,,,,	. 6 %	3

2300 		THE GAZE	TIE OF INDIA: MAI 6, 2010	7 PROCESAL DE LOS 2000	[FAD1 Thomas See See [1]
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
2.	3602952	02-02-2010	देवकी बेबरेजेज, हाउस सं. 529, मानस थाङा, कुन्देम, गोवा-403115	वैद्यालांद प्रीते का पानी (विद्यालांद प्राकृतिक मिनश्ल जल को अलावा)	14543 : 2004
3.	3604653	05-2-2010	बालाजी इन्टरप्राईजेल, हाउस संख्या 1597, मामरे कंपाडण्ड, मुकुंद होटल के पीछे, विलेज वाल, मनकेली— अंजर फाटा रोड भिवंडी, जिला थाणे	पैक्केबबंद पीने का पानी (पैक्केववंद प्राकृतिक पिनरस जरा है अल्डाका)	14543 : 2004
4.	3604754	05-2-2010	वेवर अक्या एवरफ्लो, गला सं. 1 और 2 तल मंजिल टीका हाउस 84, विजयकर वाड़ी, एस.वी. चेंट, मलाड (पश्चिम) मुंबई-400064	पैक्रेजबंद पीने का पानी (पैक्रेजवंद प्राकृतिक वित्तरल बस के संस्थाय)	18640 : 2004
5.	3605655	08-2-2010	जयपॉलीतार इण्डस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट संख्या 15, सर्वे संख्या 46/1-ची, दमन गंगा इण्डांस्ट्रयल इस्टेट, अथल सिलवासा-नरोली रोड, सिलवासा-396230	यस्तादि-कंपल अस्तर के लिए लेपिनित उच्च घनत्व पॉलीथिलीन (एवडीपीई) अपडा	[535] : 2003
6.	7 99 4817	22-2-2010	पिंच बोटलिंग कंपनी, एन-57, एमआईडीसी जलगांव-425003	पैकेजबंद भीने का पानी (भेकीजनंद प्राकृतिक जिनसल जल के अल्लामा)	14343 : 2004
++				Annual of the second se	

[सं. सोएमडी/।3 : 11]

सी. के. महेश्थरी, वैज्ञानिक 'जी' (प्रमाणन)

New Delhi, the 27th April, 2010

S.O. 1184.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of regulation 4 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations 1988, of the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licences particulars of which are given in the following Schedule:

SCHEDULE

SI. Licenc	e Grant Date	Name and address	Product	IS No./Part/Sec
No. No.		(factory) of the Party		Year
(1) (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1. 360214	4 25-1-2010	Hanuman Prasad Kaustubh Beverages, Gut. No.96, 99, 103 at Post Sasne, Opp. Tondali Phata Murbad-Karjat Road, Murbad Distt. Thane-42 1401	(other than packaged	14543 : 2004

1 ...

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
2.	3602952	2-2-2010	Devki Beverages, H. No. 529, Manaswada, Kundaim Goa-403115	Packaged Drinking Water (other than packaged natural mineral water)	14543 : 2004
3.	3604653	5-2-2010	Balaji Enterprises, H. No. 1597, Bhamare Compound, Behind Mukund Hotel, Village Val, Mankoli-Anjur Phata Road, Bhiwindi, Distt. Thane	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged natural mineral Water)	14543 : 2004
Gr.	3604754	5-2-2010	Weaver Aqua Everflo, Gala No. I and 2, Ground Floor, Teeka House 84 Vijaykar Wadi, S.V. Road, Malad (W) Mumbai-400064	Packaged Drinking Water (other than packaged natural mineral water)	14543 : 2004
5.	3605655	8-2-2010	Jay Polytarp industries, Plot No. 15, Survey	Taxtiles—Laminated High Density Polyethylene (HDPE)	15351: 2003
			No. 46/1-P, Damanganga Indl. Estate, Athal Silvassa Naroli Road, Silvassa-396230	Fabric for Canal Lining	
6.	7994817	22-2-2010	Pinch Bottling Co., N-57, MIDC,	Packaged Drinking Water (other than packaged	14543:2004
			Jalgaon-425003	natural mineral water)	

[No. C.M.D./13:11]

C. K. MAHESHWARI, Sc. "G" (Certification)

नई दिल्ली, 27 अप्रैल, 2010

का.आ. 1185.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उप-नियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्द्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन भारतीय मानक का विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिया गया हैं वह स्थापित हो गया है :-

		अनुसूची	
क्रम. संख्या	स्थापित भारतीय मानक (कों) की संख्या वर्ष और शीर्षक	नये भारतीय मानक द्वारा अतिक्रमित भारतीय मानक अथवा मानकों, यदि कोई हो, की संख्या और वर्ष	स्थापित तिथि
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	आईएस 1969 (भाग 1) : 2009/आई एस ओ 13934-1 : 1999 वस्त्रादि त्वस्त्रों के तनन गुणधर्म- अधिकतम बल एवं अधिकतम बल पर दीर्धिकरण ज्ञात करना-भाग 1-पट्टीवाली विधि (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	कोई नहीं	दिसम्बर, 2009

इस भारतीय मानक की प्रतियां भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, मानक भवन, 9, बहादुर शाह ज़फर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों : नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों : अहमदाबाद, बंगलौर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबन्द, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पुणे तथा तिरूवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं ।

[संदर्भ: टी एक्स डी/जी-25]

पी. भटनागर, वैज्ञानिक 'एफ' एवं प्रमुख (टीएक्सडी)

New Delhi, the 27th April, 2010

S.O. 1185.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rules (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that the Indian Standard particulars of which is given in the Schedule hereto annexed has been established on the date indicated against it:

	SCHEDULE							
SI. No.	No. & Year of the Indian Standards Established	No. & year of Indian Standards, if any, Superseded by the New Indian Standard	Date of Established					
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
(K * * .	S 1969 (Part 1): 2009/ISO 13934-1: 1999 Textiles-Tensile Properties of Fabrics— Determination of Maximum Force and Elongation at Maximum Force Part 1, Strip Method (Third Revision)	Nil	December, 2009					

Henceforth, this standards will be available for sale.

Copy of this Standard is available for sale with the HQ. at Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002 and its Regional Offices: New Delhi, Kolkatta, Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices: Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneshwar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, Thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref:TXD/G-25]

P. BHATNAGAR, Sc. 'F' and Head (Textiles)

नई दिल्ली, 30 अप्रैल, 2010

का, आ, 1186.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम 1988 के नियम 4 के उपनियम (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्द्वाय अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन लाइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं :—

अनुसूची

क्र म सं	लाइसेंस मंख्या	स्वोकृत करने की तिथि वर्ष/माह	लाइसेंसधारी का नाम व पता	भारतीय मानक का शीर्षक	भामासं.	भाग	अनु.	वर्ष
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1.	7996013	01-01-2010	मैसर्स टाईमक्स आर्ट डैंकोर प्रा. लिमिटेड, सर्वे नंबर 1/पी., एन. एच. नंबर 8, गांव टलवाडा, वलसाद-396105	टैकोरेटिव धर्मोसैटिंग सिथैटिक रेसिन बांडिड लैमिनेटिड शीट	2046		-	1995
2.	2996 0 72	07-01-2010	मैसर्स मारूति वाटर प्लॉंट, नंबर 198, पटेल फालियाख प्राथमिक स्कूल के पास, गांव अमरोली, सूरत	पैकेजबंद पेयजल	14543	-	-	2004

) (2)	/->						
	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
7998421	13-01-2010	मैसर्स नीलकंठवरनी हैल्थ केयर, शैंड नंबर 7, ग्राउंड फ्लोर, गिरिराज काम्पलैक्स के पीछे, पंचममाल के सामने, निकोल गाम रोड, निकोल, अहमदाबाद	पैकेजबंद पेयजल	14543	-	-	2004
799882:	5 13-01-2010	मैसर्स डयूक प्लास्टो टैक्नीक प्रा. लिमिटेड, एन एच 14, डीसा हाइवे, ग्रीन वुड होटल के सामने, बदरपुरा, पालनपुर (उत्तर गुजरात) बनसकांटा	अनप्लास्टिसाइड पी. वी. सी. पाईप फॉर पोटेबाल वाटर सप्लाईस	4985	-	-	2000
7999524	4 13-01-2010	मैसर्स कैमैंट वैट्स तथा फ्लोस प्रा. लिमिटेड, प्लाट नंबर 129/सी/2, जीआईडीसी एस्टेट, अंकलेश्वर भारूच	डायकलोरवास इमलसीफाईएबल कंसंट्रेट	5277	<u></u>		1978
7999625	5 13-01-2010	मैसर्स कैमैंट वैट्स तथा फ्लोस प्रा. लिमिटेड, प्लाट नंबर 129/सी/2, जीआईडीसी एस्टेट, अंकलेश्वर भारूच	कलोरपाईरीफास इमलसीफाईएबल कंसंट्रेट	8944	-	-	1978
3600746	5 19-01-2010	मैंसर्स एसट्राल पालिटैक्नीक लिमिटेड, ब्लाक नंबर 1253 तथा 1264, गांव सांतेज, शाह एलोयेस के पास ता कलोल, डिस्ट्रिक्ट गांधीनगर-382 721	इंजैक्शन मोलडंड पी.वी.सी फिटिंग विद सोलवेंट सीमेंट ज्वाएंट्स फार वाटर सप्लाई जनरल रिक्वायरमेंट	7834	-	_	1978
3601041	25-01-2010	मैंसर्स मंगलम ज्वैलर्स, 15/87, अरिंहत चैम्बर्स, मेन बाजार, किम (वैस्ट), ताल्लुका ओलपाड, सूरत-394 110	आभूषणों शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं	1417	-	-	1999
3600948	3 25-01-2010	राजस्थान ज्वैलर्स प्रा लिमिटेड, 135/06, स्टेशन रोड, मणीनगर, अहमदाबाद-380 008	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण धातुओं के आभूषणों शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन	1417	-	-	1999
. 3601748	3 28-01-2010	मैसर्स पैसिफिक पाईप सिस्टम प्रा. लिमिटेड, प्लांट नंबर 36-43पी, गांव नारायणपुरा, पोस्ट चंद्रासन, ता. सानंद, डिस्ट्रिक्ट अहमदाबाद	ग्लास फाइबर रेनिफोर्सड प्लास्टिक जो त्यार पी पाई: ज्वाएंट तथा फिटिंग फार पूस फार पोटेबल वाटर सप्लाई	12709	-		1994

मैसर्स गुजरात क्राफ्ट इंडस्ट्रीज लिमिटेड, 431, सांतेज वडसर रोड,

सांतेज, अहमदाबाद-382 721

टैक्सटाईल तारपोलिन

वोवन फैबरिक

मेड फ्राम हाई डैंसिटी पोलिथिलीन

7903 -

2005

11.

3601849 28-01-2010

2370)	THE GA	THE GAZETTE OF INDIA: MAY 8, 2010/VAISAKHA 18, 1932				[PART II - 3EC. 3(II)]				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(4))			
12.	3602043	28-01-2010	मैसर्स महाराजा इंटरनेशनल, यूनिट आफ पवन सीमेंट कम्पनी (प्रा) लिमिटेड, सेल्स टैक्स चैक पोस्ट के पास, अमीरगढ़ सिटी, बनसकांटा-385 13	53 ग्रेड आर्डिनरी पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट 0	12269		-	1987			
13.	3601950	28-01-2010	नैसर्स महाराजा इंटरनेशनल, यनिट आफ पवन सीमेंट कन्पनी (प्रा) लिमिटेड, सेल्स टैक्स चैक पोस्ट के पास, अमीश्गढ़ सिटी, बनसकांटा-385 13	पोर्टलैंड पोजोलाना सीमेंट पार्ट । फलाई एश बेस्ड 6	1489	- Anna	-	1605			
14.	7998572	13-01-2010	मैसर्स हीया सेल्स कार्पोरेशन, 4 ए 10, लक्ष्मी इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, पालडी तीन रास्ता, विसनगर, उत्तर गुजरात, मेहसाना	कन्डयूट्स फार इतैविस्कल इंस्टालेशन	9537	3		1983			
15.	3603247	25-01-2010	मैसर्स प्राईमा पम्प प्रा. लिमिटेड, 34, गुज वी एम एयू वसाइट लिनिटेड ओढव, अहमदाबाद		14220	- Mary		1994			
16.	3603857	28-01-2010	मैसर्स विश्वनाथ प्रस्प प्रा. लिपिटेड केरता, जी आई डी सी, स्ताट 1401/1, एट एन एच-8, बावला के पास, अहमदाबाद	, सवमसिवल पम्पसैट	8034	-	-	2002			
17	3664553	21-01-2010	भैसर्स गुजरात पैस्टीसाइंड्स, एफ 15, फेस 2, जी आई डी एस्टेट नरोडा, अहमदाबाद-382 330	डायकलोरवास इसल्यीफायरल , कसेंट्रेट	5277	99.0	•	1078			

[सं. सी.एम.डॉ./13:11]

स्ते. के. यहेश्वरी, वैज्ञानिक-जी (प्रमाणन)

New Delhi, the 30th April, 2010

8.6. 1486.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of the regulation 4 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations, 1983 of the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licences particulars of which are given in the following Schedule:

SCHEDULE

SI. No.) libences No.	Grant Date	Name & address of the Party	Title of the Standard	IS No.	Part	Sno	Year
<u>(!)</u>	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1	7996013	01-01-2010	Timex Art Decor Pvt. Ltd., Survey No. 1/P, N.H. No. 8, Village Talwada, Valsad- 396 105	Decorative Thermosetting Synthetic Resin Bonded Laminated Sheets	2046			1995

[भाग	II—खण्ड 3(ıi)]	भारत का राजपत्र : मई 8, 20	10/वैशाख, 18, 1932				2371
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
2.	7996922	07-01-2010	Maruti Water, Plot No. 198, Patel Faliya Near Prathmik School, Village Amroli, Surat	Packaged Drinking Water (other than Packaged Natural Mineral Water)	14543	_		2004
3.	7998421	13-01-2010	Nilkanthvarni Healthcare, Shed No.7, Ground Floor, B/H Giriraj Complex, Opp. Pancham Mall, Nikol Garn Road, Nikol, Ahmedabad	Packaged Drinking Water (other than Packaged Natural Mineral Water)	14543	_	_	2004
4.	7998825	13-01-2010	Duke Plasto Technique Pvt. Ltd. N.H. 14, Deesa Highway, Opp. Hotel Green Wood, Badarpura, Palanpur, (N. Gujarat), Banaskantha	Unplasticized PVC Pipes for Potable Water Supplies	4985	_	_	2000
5.	7999524	13-01-2010	Chemet Wets & Flows Pvt. Ltd. Plot No. 129/C/2, GIDC Estate, Ankleshwar, Bharuch	Dichloryos Emulsifiable Concentrates	5277		_	1978
6.	7999625	13-01-2010	Chemet Wets & Flows Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 129/C/2, GIDC Estate, Ankleshwar, Bharuch	Chlorpyrifos Emulsifiable Concentrates	8944	_	-	1978
7.	3600746	19-01-2010	Astral Polytechnik Limited, Block No. 1253 & 1264, Village Santej, Near Shah Alloys, Taluka Kalol, Dist. Gandhinagar-382 721	Injection Moulded PVC fittings with solvent cement joints for water supplies; Part 1 General requirements	7834	1	-	1987
8.	3601041	25-01-2010	Mangalam Jewellers, 15/87, Arihant Chambers, Main Bazar, Kim(West), Tal: Olpad, Surat-394 110	Gold and gold alloys jewellery/artefacts fineness and marking	1417		-	1999
9.	3600948	25-01-2010	Rajashan Jewellers Pvt. Ltd. 135/06, Station Road, Maninagar, Ahmedabad-380 008	Gold and gold alloys jewellery/artefacts fineness and marking	1417	-	-	1999
10.	3601748	2801-2010	Pacific Pipe Systems Pvt. Ltd Plot No. 36-43P, Village Naranpura, Post Chandrasan, Tal Sanad, Dist. Ahmedabad	Glass-fibre reinforced plastic (GRP) pipes, joints and fittings for use for potable water supply	12709		_	1994

2372		THE GAZETTE OF INDIA: MAY 8, 2010/VAISAKHA 18, 1932				[PART II - SEC. 3(ii)]				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)		
!1.	3601849	28-01-201 0	Limited,	Textiles-Tarpaulins made from high density polyethylene woven fabric	7903	-	-	2005		
12.	3602043	28-01-2010	Maharaja International, Unit of Pawan Cement Co. Pvt. Ltd., Near Sales Tax Check Post, Amirgadh City, Banaskantha-385 130	53 grade ordinary Portland cement	12269	-		1987		
13.	3601930	28-01-2010	Maharaja International, Unit of Pawan Cement Co. Pvt. Ltd., Near Sales Tax Check Post, Amirgadh City, Banaskantha-385 130	Portland pozzolana cement part I Flyash based	1489	1	-	1999		
14.	7998 522	13-01-2010	Hima Sales Corporation, 4/A/10, Laxmi Ind. Estate, Paldi, Three Rasta, Visnagar (N.G.), Mehshana	Conduits for electrical installation	9537	3		1983		
15.	3603247	25-01-2010	Prima Pumps Pvt. Ltd., 34, Guj. V. M. AU. Vasahat Ltd., Odhav, Ahmedabad	Openwell Submersible Pump Sets	14220		_	1994		
16.	3603853	28-01-2010	Vishwanath Pumps Pvt. Ltd., Kerala GIDC, Plot 1401/1, At N H-8, Near Bavla, Ahmedabad	Submersible Pump Sets	8034	_		2002		
17.	3604552	21-01-2010	Gujarat Pesticides, F.15, Phase 2, GIDC Estate, Naroda, Ahmedabad-382 330	Dichlorvos Emulsifiable Concentrates	5227	_		1978		

[No. CMD/13:11]

श्रम एवं रोजगार मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 12 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1187.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सालगोनकर माइनिंग इन्डस्ट्रीज प्रा. लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय-2, मुम्बई के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 73/2009) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 12-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-29011/4/2009-आई आर (एम)] कमल बाखरू, डेस्क अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 12th April, 2010

S.O. 1187.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 73/2009) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court-2, Mumbai now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Salgaoncor Mining Industries Pvt. Ltd. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 12-4-2010.

[No. L-29011/4/2009-IR(M)] KAMAI, BAKHRU, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, MUMBAI

PRESENT:

A. A. Lad, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. CGIT-2/73 of 2009

Employers in relation to the management of M/s. Salgaoncar Mining Industries Pvt. Ltd.

- The Managing Director, M/s. Salgaonear Mining Industries Pvt. Ltd., Salgaonear Chambers, Margao, Goa
- M/s. Sova, Salgaoncar Chambers, P.O. Box No. 114, Margao, Goa-403 601
- 3. The Managing Director, M/s. Marzook Cadar Pvt. Ltd., Salgaoncar Chambers, P.O. Box No. 35, Margo, Goa-403 601

... 1st Party

Vs.

Their Workmen
The General Secretary,
United Mine Workers Union,
G-5, Macado Appt., Tisk-Ponda,
Goa. ... Second Party

APPEARANCE:

FOR THE EMPLOYERS

Mr. Oswald Fernandes,

Advocate

FOR THE WORKMEN

Mr. P. Gaonkar,

Representative of the

Union

Date of reserving the Award: 27-1-2010

Date of passing the Award: 8-3-2010

AWARD

The matrix of the facts as culled out from the proceedings are as under:

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its Order No. L-29011/4/2009-IR(M) dated 31st July, 2009 in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Subsection (1) and Sub-section 2(A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 have referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of the management of (1) M/s. Salgaoncar Mining Industries Pvt. Ltd. (2) M/s. Sova (3) Marzook and Cadar Pvt. Ltd. In closing their Mine and Beneficiation Plant at Tatodi. Sanguem, Goa and teminating the employment of 140 workmen as stated by the United Mine Workers Union vide their representation dated 19-2-2009 (Annexure 1) is legal and justified? To what relief the workmen are entitled for?"

2. Claim statement is filed by the Union at Exhibit 5 making out the case that, the said Union is a registered Union and was formed for the welfare of the employees working with 1st party. 1st Party is running 3 mines by name M/s. Bagaler Mines, M/s. Sova and M/s. Marzook Cadar Private Limited, According to Union workers involved in the Reference were working with the 1st party in the above 3 mines and beneficiation plant at Tatodi. According to Union operation of the above named 3 mines and the other beneficiation Plant were and are carried out by M/s, Salgaoncar Mining Industries Pvt. Ltd. and the ore which is extracted from the said 3 mines is exported by M/s. Salgaoncar Mining Industries Pvt. Ltd. According to Union M/s. Sova is a mining establishment having leased mine at Tatodi, Sanguem-Goa having its office at Salgaoncar Chambers, Margao-Goa. It is stated by the union that, M/s. Marzook Cadar Pvt. Ltd. is the mining establishment having Mining Lease at Tatodi-Sanguem-

Goa and having its office at Salgaoncar Chambers, Margao-Goa. According to 2nd Party these Mining lease are operated by M/s. Salgaoncar Mining and the ore is being extracted from the said mining lease and exported directly by M/s. Salgaoncar Mining Industries Pvt. Ltd. According to Union it has engaged more than 140 employees and most of the workers involved in the reference were working for more than 19 years continuously. It is case if the Union that, they have not been paid properly and no facilities were offered to them. It is case of the Union that, 1st Party has violated the provisons of Payment of Wages Act. It is case of the Union that, no bonus is paid, nor incentive given and unfair labour practice is followed by the employers. So it is case of the 2nd Party Union that, for the benefit of the employees it was formed and most of the employees working with 1st Party became members of the 2nd party Union. It is case of the Union that, 1st Party started harassing the workers and decided to terminate their services and they were terminated without following due process of law. It is case of the Union that, management did not allow them to work from 5-1-2009. It is case of the Union that, so the members through Union took up the matter with the Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central) Vasco da-gama-Goa for conciliation. It is case of the Union that, as no response was given by the Management failure report was submitted by Assistant Labour Commissioner and reference sent for adjudication by Government of India, Labour Ministry. According to 2nd Party Union closing down of Mines and depriving the workers who are more than 140 is nothing but unfair labour practice adopted by the 1st party. The decision taken by 1st party of closure of the Mines and/or discontinuing the operations and not allowing the workers to report on duty require to declare as illegal. According to 2nd party Union, now 1st party has started functioning with new employees. So it is prayed that, 1st party be directed to employ the workers who are members of the 2nd Party Union who are working with it and declare closure was illegal and the decision of the 1st Party in terminating the services of these workers under the guise of closure not legal, proper. It also request to direct 1st Party to take them in the employment with benefits of continuity of service and payment of full back wages.

- 3. Even 2nd Party filed interim relief application at Exhbit 10 praying to direct 1st Party to take its members in the employment and estop it from engaging new employees.
- 4. 1st Party was served vide Exhibit 6 to 9 and Advocate for 1st party appeared and filed his appearance at Exhibit 11. He also applied for adjournment vide Exhibit 12. Even then written statement was not filed, the order to proceed *ex-parte* against the Management was passed permitting 2nd Party to lead *ex-parte* evidence.

5. In view of the above position following points arise for my determination which I answer as under:

	Points	Findings
1.	Whether 2nd Party is entitled for the reliefs sought?	Yes to some extent
2.	What order?	As per the Order passed below

REASONS:

- 6. Case of the 2nd Party is that, 1st party is engaged in unfair labour practice, without following the procedure of a law as it decided to terminate them. It is case of the Union that, even it stopped workers in reporting on duty with effect from 5-1-2009. According to Union decision taken by 1st party of closing its mines is nothing but unfair labour practice. It is case of the Union that. It learnt 1st party has now started its business with the help of new employees. So it is prayed, by filing interim rehet application, to direct 1st party to take these employees first.
- 7. To support that, 2nd Party filed affidavits of Prakash Morajkarat Exhibit 14 and Govind Gaonkar at Exhibit 15 in the form of their examination-in-chief.
- 8. 1st party by letter dated 12-1-2010, at Exhibit 13, addressed to this Tribunal, by sending postal correspondence, contending that it, want to express strong protest for wasting their time and the time of this Tribunal to deal withthe dead and cremated dispute. According to M/s. Sova and Marzook and Cadar Pvt. Ltd. the workers who are members of the Union started disorder in the working of the mine and created unrest in the operation of the mine who ultimately decided to go on strike to bring the operations to the stand still causing heavy loss to the Management. It is further stated in the said letter that. realizing this plan of the workers, Management took preventive and counter measures by putting lock-gate and each and every worker was paid their full dues, wages. etc. as per the list attached with the said letter. It is further contended that, this Tribunal should take cognizance of the said letter as well as letter addressed to the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Vasco-da-Gama, It is also informed by the said letter that, for the mining machinery which valued several crores, the Management sought Police protection by writing letter to the Police Inspector. It is also informed that, having made full payment to each and every worker to their entire satisfaction, their is no more cause of action of grievance of the workers. It is further stated that, Union has collected large amount of money from the workers for the settlement payments and they have made payment of more than Rs. 141 lakhs to the workers. It is stated that, in this background there is no any point remain to decide and dispute raised by the Union be treated as not in existence.

9. On that, 2nd Party gave reply to it.

10. Here the way in which the Reference is going on and the way in which the parties are appearing, that too by postal correspondence, I feel that, they have taken subject matter in wrong way. The Claim Statement is filed by the 2nd Party under the signature of one 'P. Gaonkar'. His designation is not mentioned under his signature what is his status, designation and who is he though in the verification column he mentioned as General Secretary of the Union. Even interim application is filed with the signature of "Puti Gaonkar" without disclosing his identity, position and status in signing the same. The said is replied by one of the 1st Party who is in the name of M/s. Sova and Marzook and Cadar Pvt. Ltd. by letter dated 12-1-2010 pointing out that, the matter is settled. compensation paid and nothing remains to be paid to the workers. Even list of the benefited submitted. However, no supporting evidence is lead on that point. When the matter was fixed for recording the evidence, or leading evidence by both, here Union filed affidavit in support of their reply. In the Claim statement Union prayed to direct the employer to reemploy those workers. They also prayed to refrain 1st party in engaging contract workers. Whereas subject matter of the Schedule is "whether action of the Management in closing Mine and other Beneficiation Plant and terminating the employment of 140 workers is legal and just?" As far as claim of the Union prayed in the Claim Statement and the subject matter of the Reference is concerned they are quite different.

11. Anyway affidavit to that effect is made out. Said affidavit is not challenged by 1st party. There is no cross on that affidavit nor prayer prayed in the Claim Statement is challenged. However, prayer of the Union to restrain 1st Party in not engaging contract workers cannot be considered unless and until contract employees are prohibited by Government of Inida. so I reply this point to that effect and passes the following order:

ORDER

- (a) Reference is partly allowed directing 1st party to give priority to the members of the Union in the employment while continuing its activities.
- (b) No order as to its costs.

A. A. LAD, Presiding Officer

Mumbai, 8th March, 2010

नई दिल्ली, 12 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1188.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार कोचीन रिफाइनरी इम्पलाइज केन्टीन को.ओ. सोसाईटी के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, कोचीन के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 23/2007) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 12-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

> [सं. एल-30011/34/2007-आई आर (एम)] कमल वाखरू, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 12th April, 2010

S.O. 1188.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 23/2007) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Cochin now as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Cochin Refinery Employees Canteen Coop. Society and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 12-4-2010.

[No. L-30011/34/2007-IR(M)] KAMAL BAKHRU, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

Shri P.L. Norbert, B.A., LLB., Presiding Officer (Friday the 25th day of February, 2010/6th Falgunam, 1931)

I.D. 23/2007

Union

: The General Secretary,
Cochin Refinery Employees Canteen,
Co-op. Society Canteen Employees

Union, Ambalamughal, (Kerala)-682 302

By Adv. Sri. C. Anil Kumar

Management: The President,

Cochin Refinery Employees, Canteen Co-op. Society, Ambalamughal (Kerala)-682 302

By Advs. M/s. Menon & Pai

This case coming up for hearing on 24-2-2010, this Tribunal-cum-Labour Court on 25-2-2010 passed the following.

AWARD

This is a reference made under Section 10 (1)(d) of Industrial Disputes Act. The reference is:

"Whether the action of the management of Kochi Refinery Employees Canteen Co-operative Society in extending the training period from the year 2000 to 2003 and delaying their regularisation till then is fair and just? If not, to what relief they are entitled to?

- 2. The facts of the case in brief are as follows: 35 persons were selected and appointed as Canteen Trainees in the Kochin Refineries Employees Canteen Cooperative Society in 1999. The canteen is run by the Society on behalf of Cochi Refineries Limited. The members of the society are the employees of Kochi Refineries Limited. The training was initially for a period of 12 months. It was extended 3 times. After 4 years training they were appointed as Probationary Canteen Boys in 2003. On completion of the probation (one year) they were confirmed in service of the Society as Canteen Boys. The grievance of the union which has espoused the cause of 25 canteen boys is that the normal period of training being one year the management went on extending the training without any reason, despite the fact there were sufficient vacancies to absorb all of them. It is also pointed out that one of the terms in the notification, calling for applications for the post of canteen trainees, was that on completion of training, the candidates would be absorbed in future vacancies. But the management did not do so. As a result they had to be content with stipends alone for a period of 4 years and suffered great monetary loss. They are entitled for absorption on completion of training for one year.
- 3. It is the case of the management that though the initial period of training was one year it was extended at the request of trainees. The vacancies of canteen boys arose only in 2003 and all the trainees were absorbed then. There was no promise to absorb the trainees on completion of training for one year. The trainees have no right to claim absorption. They have no right to get their pay fixed before their regular appointment. The period of training at any rate cannot be treated as regular service. The stipend was increased from time to time. After having requested for extension of training they cannot question it after absorption. There is nothing illegal in extending the training.
- 4. In the light of the above contentions the following points arise for consideration:
 - (1) Whether the extension of training beyond one year is fair and legal?
 - (2) Are the Trainees entitled for absorption on completion of 12 months' training?
- 5. The evidence consists of the oral testimony of WW1 (Canteen Boy) and documentary evidence of Exts. W1 to W-32 on the side of union and MW1 and Exts. M1 to M-9 on the side of management and Court Exts. Ext X-1 to X-3.
- 6. Point Nos. 1 and 2:25 workmen involved in this case had appplied for the post of canteen trainees in pursuance to paper advertisement made by the

management society. Ext. W2 is the paper advertisement. The educational qualification, age etc. were mentioned in the advertisement. The period of training was fixed as one year and the remuneration was Rs. 1,500 per month as stipend. It was also mentioned in the notification that the trainees may be considered for absorption as canteen boys against future vacancies subject to recruitment rules of the society. The workmen were called for written test. One of such letters calling for the test is Ext. W3 dated 30-9-1999. Ext. W-15 is one of the orders of appointment as canteen trainee dated 19-11-1999. The order mentions that the period of training will be 12 months. It is also mentioned that on successful completion of the training suitable candidates will be considered for regular employment as canteen boys subject to availability of vacancy. It is further stated that training does not create any obligation for regular employment. The training as per Ext. W-15 order completed by 19-11-2000. But the management extended the period of training by another one year. It is the case of the union that there were sufficient vacancies of canteen boys to absob all the trainees on completion of training for one year. But the management with a view to extract work on payment of nominal remuneration extended the period of training after getting requests from a few of the workmen. It is contended by the union that the workmen were not in a position to refuse to give request letters for extension of training, for fear of denial of regular appointment. In the similar manner the management extended the training again for a further period of one year. Lastly even without getting a request the training was further extended for another one year (a third time). Thus the training period was altogether four years from 1999 to 2003. Exts. W8, 9 and 10 are orders of extension of training issued to one of the workmen (WW1). According to WW1 he had not given any request for extension of training. The management obtained requests from a few workmen and on the basis of that, the training period of all were extended from time to time. However for the last period of extension there were no requests from anyone. It is pointed out by the union that for the previous batch, the training period was only one year, Ext. W14 appointment order dated 1-11-1999 is produced to support the contention. It is an appointment order issued to one Shibu K. Joseph as canteen boy. Ext. W14 refers to the letter dated 29-10-1998 appointing him as trainee. It is thus evident that Shri Shibu K. Joseph underwent training only for a period of one year. In the case of another canteen trainee of the previous batch, Sri P. O. Joy his training period was one year initially and was extended by 6 months. Ext. W16 is the order of extension of training issued to Sri P. O. Joy. The reason stated for extension is that his training was not satisfactory and the extension was given for improvement and that his performance will be closely watched. Ext. W17 is a letter issued to another candidate of the previous batch calling him to submit application to the post of canteen trainee if he was interested. A copy of

41. 14

the paper advertisement was also attached to the letter. The training period mentioned in the advertisement is one year.

7. The documents referred above reveal that the period of training intended by the management for canteen trainees is one year. If the management is not satisfied with the performance of a trainee he will be asked to undergo a further period of training and that period has to be fixed by the management. Whether training should be extended or not is to be decided by the management. The trainees have no say in the matter. Normally when the performance is not satisfactory training is extended. The perid of one year is fixed by the management knowing fully well that period is sufficient to prepare a trainee for endertaking the regular work of a canteen boy. The deviation from such an existing practice can be justified only if management is not satisfied with the performance of any particular or a few named trainees, but not trainees an masse. Nowhere the management has a case that the performance of trainees was not satisfactory, the management is not supposed to act on the wish and will of a trainee for extending the training. The trainees never agreed to undergo further training without stipend. On the other hand the management increased their stipend in the second year. When the training was further extended a 3rd time again stipend was increased to Rs. 5,000 per month. Thus the training was extended at the cost of management, assuming it was done at the request of trainees. After one year of training the management could have relieved them and asked them to wait until they are called back for regular appointment. Instead the training was extended for a period of four years.

It is contended in the claim statement that in fact no training was imparted by the management. On the other hand the trainees were made to work along with permanent workers. WW1 the Joint Secretary of the Union and one of the workmen has stated in the chief examination (by proof affidavit), para 3 and 4 that no training was imparted to the trainees. All the 25 trainees had already undergone one year certificate course in food craft and six months' course of job training which are rocognised by Government of Kerala. The trainees were deputed to do the work along with permanent canteen workers. They were doing the same work as that the permanent workers. Their work was serving food, cleaning, washing, assisting cooks, store keeping etc. These are not skilled work and no much time is required for learning the work. To prove the nature of work they were doing during the period of training, Ext. W4, 5, 6 and 7 duty schedules are produced. MW1 the President of the Society has admitted in the cross examination (page-4) that in respect of prior batch of trainees the period of training was one year and on completion of that period of training they were absorbed. He has further deposed that the duties of canteen boys are cleaning of canteen and its surroundings, cutting of

- vegetables, washing plates and vessels etc. except cooking. He also admits that the trainees were working along with the permanent workers. He says that after regulation in 2003 there was no change in the duties of these trainees (page 5 of cross-examination). The witness also says that there is no gudieline regarding the period of training. It is also stated that sometimes training for more than one year is required (page 8 of cross-examination) and that, as part of work the trainees are trained and no separate training is required (page 9). There is no dispute that the stipend of trainees initially was Rs. 1,500 per month and was enhanced to Rs. 3,000 and then to Rs. 5,000. Considering the nature of the work that the canteen boys have to do as deposed by MW1 and WW1 I fail to understand why such a long period of four years is required for training. The management give no reason for extension of training other than that the trainees wanted it. The contention carries no conviction. Whereas the case of the union, that the trainees had no other way than to succumb to the demand of management for request letters for extension of training, appears to be more probable.
- 9. The union had made a representation to the management in 2005 wherein it is stated that in the history of the canteen the training had never been extended to a period of 4 years. Ext. W29 and 30 are representations of the workmen to the President of the Society regarding extension of training and deliberate delay in absorbing them. Ext. W31 is another representation of the union to the management. It is to be noted that during the period of training the trainees could not have raised their voice against extension of training for fear of non absorption. Their silence does not amount to concurrence. It was argued by the learned counsel for the management that having accepted the appointment order as canteen boys in 2003, they are estopped from questioning the training. The learned counsel relies on two decisions to support his contention, reported in State of Punjab & Ors. V. Krishan Niwas, 1997 1 CLR 855 and Union of India & Anr. V. N. M. Dhobi, 2006 1 CLR 587. Both are not applicable in the facts of this case because in both cases the affected employees were heard by concerned authorities and a considered order was passed. But in the instant case no authority has passed any order regarding the extension of training in order to debar from challenging it under I.D. Act. It is the case of the union that the trainees were made to work over time during training and they were given overtime allowance besides stipend, the Acquittance Rolls, Exts. W18 and 19 series reveal this. They are produced to support the contention of the union that the trainees were given OT work because there was shortage of regular hands in the canteen. The management, if wanted, could have absorbed the workmen on completion of training of one year.
- It is contended by the union that regular appointment was delayed purposely to deny benefits

under settlement. Ext. M9 is a settlement dated 18-12-2003 between the permanent canteen employees' union and management. The terms of settlement were effective from 06-11-1998 for a period of 10 years. It is pointed out by the union that as per Clause 8.3 of Ext. M9 the workmen who have joined service in the canteen on or after 01-09-2000 are eligible only for mere revised scale of pay and allowances and their pay is to be fixed at the minimum of the revised scale of pay without fitment benefits provided in Clauses 8.1 and 8.2. Hence the union contends that with a view to deny the fitment benefits under Clause 8.1 and 8.2 the absorption of trainees was deliberately delayed until the signing the settlement on 18-12-2003. It is submitted by the union that the minutes of the discussions held on 3-08-2003 prior to the signing of Ext. M9 shows that the workmen who had joined service in the canteen on or after 06-11-1998 are eligible for the revised scale of pay and allowances with all fitment benefits. But when Ext. M9 settlement was signed it was stipulated that those who joined service on or after 01-09-2000 are not eligible for fitment benefits

11. It is to be remembered that neither in the appointment orders as Canteen Trainees not in the extension orders it was mentioned that on completion of training for a period of one year or extended period, they shall be absorbed. But the stipulation in the orders was that the trainees may be considered for absorption subject to recruitment rules and future vacancies. In other words as of right they cannot claim absorption, much less within a certain period. It is for the management to decide who should be absorbed and when. Therefore that contention of the union is unsustainable. The trainees can claim benefits of settlement only on absorption. Before that as they were not parties to the settlement, no terms of settlement are applicable to them.

12. Exts. M3 and M5 are applications of one of the workmen (Sri Sunil Damodaran) for extension of training. However before extension of training a 3rd time (for the period 2002-2003) there was no request from anyone. Ext. M7 is the order of extension of training dated 12-11-2002. The trainees were absorbed as canteen boys w.e.f. 15-11-2003. Nowhere the management has stated that the performance of anyone of the trainees was unsatisfactory. Therefore there is no justification in extending the period of training, and that too for four years. It is unreasonable, unnecessary and an unfair labour practice. The trainees were given stipend and no other benefits during the whole period of four years. No weightage was given to the service rendered during the training while fixing the initial pay. No doubt the trainees cannot claim the scale of pay permanent workers for the period of training. But by protracting the training and extracting the same quantum of work as that of permanent employees the management has benefited, while the trainees have suffered great monetary loss for 3 years of extended training. Since the management has acted fairly it is only proper to give them weightage of service as trainees.

In the result an award is passed finding that the action of the management in extending the training from 2000 to 2003 is unfair and unjust and the management is directed to give weightage to the service rendered by them during extended period of training for 3 years (2000 to 2003) while fixing their initial pay. However I hold that the trainees cannot claim absorption from any particular period and it is the prerogative of the management to absorb.

The award will come into force one month after its publication in the official gazette.

Dictated to the Personal Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected and passed by me on this the 25th day of Ferbuary, 2010.

P. NORBERT, Presiding Officer.

APPENDIX

Witness for the Union:

WW 1 M. T. Mahesh 26-05-2009

Witness for the Management:

MW1 Arun Kumar Das 14-10-2009

Exhibits for the Union:

CHIDIES FOL CI	ie Onion .	
W-1	Award in I.D. 17/2006 dated 02-08-2006 of CGIT-cum-Labour Court, Emakulam	
W-2	Copy of the newspaper publication published by the management in Malayala Manorama daily on 23-07-1999.	
W-3	Copy of the letter dated 30-09-1999 issued by the management to Mahesh M.T.	
W-4	Copy of Work allocation by the	

5-11-2000.W-5 Copy of the duty roster for 09-07-2001 to 15-07-2001.

management from 30-10-2000 to

W-6 Copy of the duty roster for 26-11-2001 to 2-12-2001.

W-7 Copy of the duty roster for 11-03-2002 to 17-03-2002.

W-8 Copy of the letter dated 11-01-2001 issued by the management to Mahesh M.T.

W-9 Copy of the letter dated 21-11-2001 issued by the management to Mahesh M.T.

41111-485 3(11)	नारत का राज्यक र राष्ट्र ठ,	2010/ 1(10/ 10) 15	
W-10	Copy of the letter dated 12-11-2002 issued by the management to Mahesh	W-23(a)	Copy of Wage slit issued to Bindu C. V. for October, 2003.
W-11	M.T. Copy of the work allocation order issued	W-23(b)	Copy of Wage slit issued to Bindu C. V. for November, 2003.
	by the management for DHDS Canteen.	W-23(c)	Copy of Wage slit issued to Bindu C. V.
W-12	Copy of work allocation by the management from 20-10-2003 to 26-10-2003.	W-23(d)	for December, 2003. Copy of Wage slit issued to Bindu C. V. for January, 2004.
W-13	Copy of the letter dated 27-11-2003 issued by the management to Mahesh M. T.	W-24	Copy of letter dated 21-11-2005 issued by the union to the management.
W-14	Copy of the letter dated 1-11-1999 issued by the management to Shibu K. Joseph.	W-25	Copy of the letter dated 19-11-2002 issued by the management to the Executive Director (HR), KRL.
W-15	Copy of letter dated 19-11-1999 issued by the management to Mahesh M.T.	W-26	Statement regarding medical expenses incurred by the management during July,
W-16	Copy of the training extension order dated 1-11-1999 issued by the management to P.O. Joy.	W-27	2005. Copy of minutes of discussions held on 12th August 2008, hattween the
W-17	Copy of letter dated 24-07-1998 issued by the management to Indrajith K. P.		13th August, 2008 between the management and the union.
W-18	Copy of payroll of the management for December, 1999.	W-28	Copy of minutes of discussions held on 27-11-2003 between the management and the union.
W-19(a)	Copy of payroll of the management for March, 2001.	W-29	Copy of the representation submitted by 26 canteen employees to the
W-19(b)	Copy of payroll of the management for March, 2002.	W/20	management. Copy of the representation dated
W-19(c)	Copy of payroll of the management for September, 2003.	W-30	16-01-2005 submitted by the Canteen Employees to the management.
W-20(a)	Copy of the arrears summary of James Yohannan.	W-31	Copy of the representation dated 14-03-2005 submitted by the Canteer
W-20(b)	Copy of the arrears summary of K. A. Kareem.	W-32	employees to the Union. Copy of Appointment Letter issued to
W-21(a)	Copy of Wage slit issued to Mahesh M.T. of November, 2003.	VI 52	Sri Rajesh P. by the management of 14-06-2008.
W-21(b)	Copy of Wage slit issued to Mahesh M.T. for December, 2003.	Exhibits for the Management :	
W-21(c)	Copy of Wage slit issued to Mahesh M.T. for January, 2004.	M-I	Copy of the advertisement in Newspaper.
W-22(a)	Copy of Wage slit issued to C. Sajeevan for October, 2003.	M-2	Copy of the letter of appointment dated 19-11-1999 issued to Sri Suni Damodaran.
W-22(b)	Copy of Wage slit issued to C. Sajeevan for November, 2003.	M-3	Copy of the application for training extension dated 16-11-2000.
W-22(c)	Copy of Wage slit issued to C. Sajeevan for December, 2003.	M-4	Copy of the letter dated 11-01-2001 issued to Sri Sunil Damodaran.
W-22(d)	Copy of Wage slit issued to C. Sajeevan for January, 2004.	M-5	Copy of the application dated 21-11-2001.

M-6	Copy of the letter dated 21-11-2001 issued by the management to Sri Sunil Damodaran.
M-7	Copy of the letter dated 12-11-2002 issued by the management to Sri Sunil Damodaran.

M-8 Copy of the letter dated 27-11-2003 issued by the management to Sri Sunil Damodaran.

M-9 Copy of the settlement dated 18-12-2003 issued by the management to Sri Sunil Damodaran.

Court Exhibits:

X-1 Letter sent by C. V. Venu, President of Cochin Refineries Employees' Consumers Co-op. Society Ltd. No. E-226 dated 29-11-2000 and 30-11-2000 to Ms. Bindu V. G. regarding appointment as Stores Trainee.

X-2 Letter sent by C. V. Venu, President of Cochin Refineries Employees' Consumers Co-op. Society Ltd. No. E-226 dated 28-05-2002 to Ms. V. G. Bindu regarding appointment as Store Assistant.

X-3 Letter sent by President of Cochin Refineries Employees' Consumers Coop. Society Ltd. No. E-226 dated 09-06-2003 to Ms. V. G. Bindu regarding Confirmation in Service.

नई दिल्ली, 12 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1189. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, कोचीन के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 10/2010) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 12-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-17012/12/2009-आई आर (एम)] कमल बाखरू, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 12th April, 2010

S.O. 1189.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 10/2010) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Cochin now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of L.I.C. of India and their workman,

which was received by the Central Government on 12-4-2010.

[No. L-17012/12/2009-IR(M)] KAMAL BAKHRU, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

Sri P. L. Norbert, B.A., LL.B., Presiding officer.

(Wednesday the 19th day of March, 2010/ 28th Falgunam, 1931)

I. D. 10/2010

Workman

: Shri K. K. Thomas,

Kannikakonil,

Nedunkandom P.O., Idukki.

Management: The Sr. Divisional Manager,

LIC of India, Divisional Office. Jeevan Prakash. P.B. No. 609, Nagampadom, Kottayam-686 001.

By Adv. S. Easwaran

This case coming up for hearing on 19-03-2010, this Tribunal-cum-Labour Court on the same day passed the following.

AWARD

This is a reference made under Section 10(1)(d) of Industrial Disputes Act whereby the workman has challenged the action of management (LIC of India) in terminating his service.

2. On summons the management alone entered appearance. Though workman accepted summons on 24-02-2010 he did not appear on 8-3-2010 to which date the case was posted for appearance. The case was adjourned again to this day for his appearance. But he is absent and nobody has represented him. Therefore it has to be presumed that there is no existing dispute for adjudication.

In the result an award is passed finding that the action of the management in terminating the service of the workman is legal and justified and he is not entitled for any relief.

The award will come into force one month after its publication in the official gazette.

(Dictated to the Personal Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected and passed by me on this the 19th day of March, 2010).

P. L. NORBERT, Presiding Officer

Appendix - Nil

IA | - 84

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1190. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार हिन्दुस्तान पैट्रोलियम कॉरपोरेशन लिमिटेड के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय-2, मुम्बई के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 68/2009) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय परकार को 12-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-30012/12/2009—आई आर (एम)] कमल बाखरू, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th April, 2010

S.O. 1190.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 68/2009) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court-2, Mumbai now as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 12-4-2010.

[No. L-30012/12/2009-IR(M)] KAMAL BAKHRU, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, MUMBAI

PRESENT:

A. A. Lad, Presiding officer

REFERENCE NO. CGIT-2/68 OF 2009

Employers in relation to the management of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd.
The Executive Director, MR, HPCL,
Mumbai Refinery, B. D. Patil Marg,
Mahul, Mumbai-400 074 ... First party

AND

Their Workman,
Shri Ashok Raghoba Ambre,
4, Yashwant Bhagat Chawl,
Vijay Nagar, Ayre Gaon, Dombivali (E),
Distt. Thane-421-201 . . . Second Party

APPEARANCE:

For the Employer : S/Shri B. D. Birajdar and V. V.

Birajdar, Advocates

For the Workman: Mr. M.B. Anchan,

Advocate

Date of Reserving the Award

26-2-2010

Date of Passing the Award

4-3-2010

AWARD

The reference is sent to this Tribunal by the Under Secretary of Central Government, The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its Order No. L-30012/12/2009-(IR-M) dated 28th August, 2009 in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (d) of Sub-section (1) and Subsections (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to decide:

"Whether the action of the Management of M/s. Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd., Mumbai Refinery, Mahul Mumbai, retrenching the services of Shri Ashok Raghoba Ambre w.e.f. 6-2-2008 is legal and justified? What relief the workman is entitled for?"

2. Statement of Claim is filed by the 2nd Party concerned Workman at Exhibit 5 stating and contending that, he was appointed as a temporary Compounder-cum-Dresser from 17-10-1984 at the 1st Party's Fuel Refinery Clinic, Mahul, Mumbai, it is his case that, at the relevant time only two permanent Compounder-cum-Dressers were working there in two shifts i.e. morning and evening shifts and the concerned workman was working in the third shift against the permanent and regular post. It is his case that, in July, 1987 1st Party appointed Mr. M. Y. Salvi at the Fuel Refinery Clinic and after Mr. Salvi's joining Mr. Walekar and Mr. Ramdasi were working in the 1st shift and general shift and Mr. Salvi and the concerned workman were working in the second and third shifts. It is contended by the concerned workman that, in Lube Refinery Clinic there were only two Compounder-cum-Dressers and there were two vacancies of Compounder-cum-Dressers. It is contended by the concerned workman that, for those vacancies in 1989, 1st Party appointed 2 Compoundercum-Dressers through the Employment Exchange one for regular shift and the other for relieving the permanent Compounder-cum-Dressers, during leave, weekly offs and on holidays. It is further contended by the concerned workman that, in 1989 working hours of the employees were reduced from 48 hours to 42 hours per week. It is further contended by the concerned workman that, since more manpower was required in Fuel Refinery Clinic, two Compounder-cum-Dressers were transferred to fuel Refinery Clinic from Lube Refinery Clinic and Mr. M. Y. Salvi's services have been terminated from 1-5-1990. Therefore 5 Compounder-cum-Dressers were working in Fuel Refinery Clinic. It is contended by the concerned workman that, due to transfer from Lube Refinery Clinic there were two vacancies in Lube Refinery Clinic. It is contended by the conerned workman that, in November, 1991 Mrs. Geeta Karkhanis and Mr. Rathod resigned and in place of Mrs. Kharkhanis the concened workman was transferred to Lube Refinery Clinic therefore there were

3 vacancies in Lube Refinery Clinic and in Lube Refinery Clinic one Mr. Rajendra Hussure was appointed on temporary basis. It is contended by the concerned workman that, in 1992 on Mr. Mohan K Sawant was appointed on permanent basis as Compounder-cum-Dresser in Fuel Refinery Clinic in his place. It is contended by the concerned workman that, in June, 1992 1st Party advertised 5 posts of Compounder-cum-Dressers. It is his case that 1st Party appointed only 2 Compounder-cum-Dressers in 1993 and one in 1994 and in March, 1993 1st party appointed A. G. Powle and P. K. Bhavsar on permanent basis in Lube Refinery. It is contended by the concerned workman that, in October, 1994 the concerned workman was retransferred to Fuel Refinery Clinic and M. K. Sawant to Lube Refinery Clinic and on 23-12-1994 Mr. M. V. Rane was appointed as Compounder-cum-Dresser at Fuel Refinery Clinic. It is contended by him that, thus there were 6 Compounder-cum-Dressers in Fuel Refinery Clinic and 3 Compounder-cum-Dressers in Lube Refinery. It is his case that, from 1-9-1995 the Compounder-cum-Dressers was redesignated as Pharmacist. It is contended by the concerned workman that, in 1996 all of a sudden the concerned workman was verbally told not to report for work from 1-7-1996. It is contended by the concerned workman that, subsequently these Clinics were renamed as the "Occupational Health Centres". It is contended by the concerned workman that, he was appointed as a Compounder-cum-Dresser from 17-10-1984 against permanent post on daily wages. It is contended by the concerned workman that, since he was continuously working against a regular post and paid on daily rates he approached Hon'ble High Court, by filing Writ Petition No. 661 of 1992 in March, 1992, for regularization of his services and while the Writ Petition was pending 1st party orally terminated his services w.e.f. 1-7-1996. It is contended by the concerned workman that, he raised in industrial dispute vide Reference No. CGIT-5 of 1998 where the CGIT-1, Mumbai by passing an Award dated 10-4-2003 held that, the Reference is answered by saying that, the workman stands reinstated in services from 1-7-1996 with back wages of Rs. 55 per day as daily wages and since his Writ Petition for regularization was pending in the High Court Tribunal did not pass any order for regularization. It is contended by the concerned workman that, inspite of the Award for reinstatement, the concerned workman was not reinstated in the service by the 1st party. It is contended by the concerned workman that, 1st Party filed Writ Petition being No. 1491 of 2004 which was dismissed by an order dated 8-3-2004 against which 1st Party filed Letters Patent Appeal No. 114 of 2004 which was also dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 8-6-2004. It is contended by the concerned workman that, subsequently vide order dated 28-1-2005 Hon'ble High Court allowed the Writ Petition filed by the workman confirming the workman from the date of filing of the Writ Petition i.e. from 16-3-1992. It is contended by the

concerned workman that, against the Hon'ble High Court's order 1st Party filed SLP (Civil) No. 10819of 2005. It is contended by the concerned workman that he Apex Court vide order dated 15-1-2008 allowed the SLP and set aside the High Court's order with observations that, "since the workman is working with the Management since 1984 and by now he has completed more than two decades, his case for permanency be considered by the Corporation sympathetically and that, if there is age bar in considering the case of the Writ Petitoner for permanent appointment. the Appellant Corporation will not treat the Writ Petitioner ineligible on that count in view of the fact that he is already in services of the Corporation since 1984. If there are statutory rules/administrative instructions guidelines which require minimum educational qualification and or experience, it is open to the Corporation to insist compliance with such rules/instructions of the guidelines. But if there is power of relaxing with the Corporation or any of its officers, the Appellant Corporation will consider that aspect as well as keeping in view the fact that the Writ Petitioner was appointed in 1984, has completed service of more than twenty years and is having rich experience". It is contended by the concerned workman that, inspite of Apex Court's order for considering his case for permanency, 1st Party did not consider his case for permanency inspite of repeated requests. It is contended by the concerned workman that, therefore, he filed Contempt Petition No. 181 of 2008 and 1st Party did not reinstate him inspite of Awards and the order confirming the Award by the Apex Court in SLP. It is his case that, without making him permanent 1st Party declared him surplus vide order dated 31-5-2008 and by another order of the same date retrenched the concerned worman from services. It is contended by the concerned workman that, against the said termination order he filed Writ Petition No. 1648 of 2008 challenging his termination and since the Ld. Advocate for the Petitioner wanted to raise an industrial dispute the said Writ Ptition was disposed of by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court vide order dated 15-9-2009 with directions that, if the Petitioner approached the Concilliation officer, he may submit his failure report within a period of four weeks from receiving the application from the Petitioner and the 'Appropriate Government' after receiving such failure report from the Conciliation Officer may refer the dispute to the appropriate Court within a period of six weeks from receipt of such failure report from the Conciliation Officer and in case the dispute is referred to the appropriate Courts to whom it may try to adjudicate the dispute within a period of six months from the date of reference. It is contended by the concerned workman that. subsequently Contempt Petition No. 181 of 2008 was disposed of vide order dated 10-11-2008, clarifying that, if the Petitioner has suffered any monetary loss or has been deprived of any other benefits as a result of the order of reinstatement in services from 1-7-1996 not having been allegedly complied with as per the said order dated 10-4-2003, it would be open to the petitioner to claim the same in appropriate proceedings. It is contended by the concerned workman that, subsequently he raised the industrial dispute vide letter dated 30-9-2008 and after holding the Conciliation proceedings, the Conciliation Officer submitted his failure report dated 29-1-2009 and the Central Government referred the above dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide order dated 28-8-2009.

3. It is contended by the concened workman that, his retrenchment is with mala fide intention since he had filed the Writ Petitions against the Management for his permanency. It is his case that, as stated hereinabove, in 1984 there were three permanent posts of Compoundercum-Dressers and the 1st Party filled in only two posts and the concerned workman was regularly working against the third post like the permanent but he was paid wages on daily rates. It is, therefore, contended by the concerned workman that, he was entitled for permanency from the date of filing of the Writ Petition i.e. 16-3-1992. It is contended by the concerned workman that, the Apex Court set aside the High Court's order and recommended to 1st party for considering his case for permanency after relaxing the recruitment rules. It is contended by the concerned workman that, instead of respecting the Apex Court's order the Management neither reinstated him in service nor made him permanent but his services were terminated and his juniors were appointed and made permanent. It is contended by the concerned workman that, as stated above in 1984 there were three permanent posts of Compounder-cum-Dressers and the 1st party had filled in 2 posts only for two shifts only and for the third shift no permanent appointment was made and he was working against the said post on regular basis. It is his case that, there was a vacancy of Compounder-cum-Dresser as Mr. Naganna B., Chincholi was transferred to Jamnagar Petroleum Pump at Gujarat, which was a permanent post and the said is lying vacant till date. It is contended by the concerned workman that, said Chincholi was Junior to him and even Sanjay T. Walekar appointed on 9-12-1985, N.B. Chincholi appointed on 10-7-1989, N. K. Hulsure appointed on 11-8-1989, M. K. Sawant appointed on 24-4-1992, Powle appointed on 3-3-1993, P. K. Bhavsar appointed on 22-3-1993 and V. M. Rane appointed w.e.f. 23-12-1994 who were appointed after relaxing the educational qualifications. It is contended by the concerned workman that, the 1st Party also appointed Dinesh Pawar and Sushil Kamble in 2007, Prashant Chopde in April, 2008 Vijay Anant in 2009 and Vinod Gothadkar as temporary Compounders-cum-Dressers. It is contended by the concerned workman that, Gothadkar is still working. It is his case that, they were appointed through Bina Nursing Bureau, Sion, Mumbai. It is contended by the concerned workman that, after retaining his juniors he has been terminated with mala fide intention. It is contended by the concerned workman that, the Apex Court has advised the 1st Party to regularise him after relaxing the recruitment rules, if they have powers. It is his case that, 1st Party has powers to relax the recruitment rules and 1st Party has relaxed in the case of Mohan K. Sawant, A.G. Powle and P. K. Bhavsar after relaxing the educational qualifications. It is, therefore, contended by the concerned workman that, the action of the 1st Party in terminating his services is illegal, mala fide and not justified. It is contended by the concerned workman that, 1st Party has contravened Section 25H of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and his juniors have been retained in services and he was retrenched on this ground also his retrenchment is illegal and unjustified. It is contended by the concerned workman that, he is unemployed from the date of termination inspite of his efforts to get a suitable job. It is, therefore, prayed by the concerned workman that, the action of the 1st Party be declared as illegal and not justified and 1st Party be directed to reinstate him with benefit of full back and continuity of service.

4. This is disputed by the 1st Party by filing Written Statement at Exhibit 8 stating and contending that. 1st Party, the Corporation is a Government of India Undertaking under the Administrative jurisdiction of Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas and is in the business of Refining and Distribution of Petroleum products having its registered office at Mumbai and two Refineries at Mumbai and Visakhapatnam, Zonal Offices, Terminals, Regional Offices, LPG Plants and Top's etc. spread all over India. It denied that, the concerned workman was appointed as a temporary Compounder-cum-Dressers w.e.f. 17-10-1984 at Fuel Refinery Clinic of the 1st Party. It is admitted by 1st Party that, two Compounders-cum-Dressers were working at the Refinery Clinic but denied that the concerned workman was working in the 3rd shift. against the regular post. It is case of the 1st Party that., engagement of the services of M. Y. Salvi has no relevance in the present reference. It is contended by the 1st Party that, Valekar and Ramdasi were employed on regular basis and as far as the 2 vacancies of Compounders-cum-Dressers are concerned the said vacancies have been filed in my following the statutory norms and the said candidates were eligible to be employed as Compounderscum-Dressers. It is contended by the concerned workman that, the claim raised by the concerned workman regarding reduction of working hours from 48 hours to 42 hrs. In Mumbai Refinery of the Corporation has no relevance in the present reference and similarly the issue of engaging the services of Mrs. Geeta Kharkanies and Mr. Rathod has no relevance in the present reference. It is not disputed by the 1st Party that, Mahesh Sawant was appointed as a Compounders-cum-Dresser on permanent basis and in 1992 it had advertised for 5 posts of Compounder-cum-Dressers and 2 persons were appointed as Compounderscum-Dressers in 1993. It is denied by the 1st Party that, in October, 1994 the concerned workman was transferred to

First Refinery Clinic and M. K. Sawant was transferred to Lube Refinery Clinic. It is not disputed by the 1st Party that Mr. M. V. Rane was appointed as a Compounderscana-Dresser and denied that, there were six Compounderscum-Dresser in Fuel Refinery Clinic and three Compounders-cum-Dresser in Lube Refinery Clinic in 1994. It is also not disputed by the 1st Party that, in 1995 Compounders-cum-Dresser were re-designated as 'Pharmacists'. It denied that, the concerned workman was appointed as a Compounder-cum-Dresser from 17-10-1984 against the permanent post. It is contended by the 1st Party that, the concerned workman was not appointed on regular basis. It is contended by the 1st Party that, it is a matter of record that, his alleged dispute was referred to CGIT, being CGIT No. 5 of 1998 and award dated 10-4-2003 was passed in the said matter and the Corporation complied with the Award dated 10-4-2003 of the CGIT No. 1. It is contended by the 1st Party that, the concerned workman filed Writ Petition No. 661 of 1992 before the Hon'ble High Court, Mumbai for regularisation of his services and the Hon'ble High Court directed 1st Party Corporation to make 1st Party Applicant as permanent employee of the Corporation. It is case of the 1st Party that, the Corporation moved the Apex Court against the said order of the Hon ble Bombay High Court and subsequently the Apex Court decided the matter in favour of the Corporation and has set aside the order of Hon'ble High Court. It is denied by the Corporation that. 2nd Party was working against a regular/permanent post. It is contended by the 1st Party that, it had paid back wages to the concerned workman for the period 1-7-1996 to 10-4-2003 and thereafter he was being paid applicable Minimum wages till 31-5-2008 (except for the period 10-4-2003 to 19-11-2003) in view of the CGIT Award even though he was not physically engaged since 1-7-1996 as there was no suitable vacancy. It is contended that, the concerned workman raised a dispute vide Application No. LC-2/3 of 2006 before this Tribunal stating that, he has not been paid wages for the period 1-4-2003 to 19-11-2003 and was paid less wages for the period July, 2005 to December, 2005 and this Tribunal vide order dated 28-4-2000 came to the conclusion that, 2nd Party is not entitled for any amount from 1st Party Corporation and accordingly disposed of the above referred case in favour of the Corporation. It is denied by the 1st Party Corporation that, the concerned workman was not reinstated after CGIT Award dated 10-4-2003. It is denied by the 1st Party that, it declared the concerned workman as surplus vide order dated 31-5-2008. It is contended by the 1st Party Corporation that the concerned workman was retrenched as there was no suitable vacancy. It is case of the Corporation that, the concerned workman was never engaged against any permanent post but he was engaged as casual workman during the year 1985 to take care of the work exigency/ leave relief and name of the concerned workman was never sponsored by the Employment Exchange nor was an

advertisement issued for the purpose of filing the post to which he was engaged. It is contended by the 1st Party that, the Apex Court in paragraph 18 of its judgment dated 15-1-2008 has specifically stated that, in Industrial adjudication if an order of termination was quashed as a was not in accordance with law, that did not mean the workman had substantive right to hold the post and the High Court was therefore wrong in directing the Corporation to make the petitioner permanent and to extend him all the benefits on that basis from 1992. It is contended by the Corporation that, it has taken into consideration certain observations made by the Apex Court in paragraph 20 of its judgment dated 15-1-2008 before retrenching the concerned workman vide letter dated 31-5-2008. It is contended by the 1st Party that, it recruit the personnel with observance of statutory compliance such as age. qualification, Presidential Directives as regards to reservation policy, considering Sponsored names from Employment Exchange. Open Advertisements Notifications etc. and accordingly the Corporation has notified and recruited the workman in the cadre of Compounder-cum-Dresser It is contended by the 1st Party that, the concerned workman never applied against the notified vacancies and was never appointed as an employee of the Corporation, therefore, the question of his claiming seniority over the other Compounders-cum-Dresser who are on the permanent pay roll of the Corporation (named under Sr. No. 1 to 7 of paragraph 5 of Statement of Claim) does not arise and there can be no comparison with them as he is not appointed an employee of the Corporation nor he is in the said codes of Compounder-cum-Dresser. It is contended by the 1st Party that, M. K. Sawant, A. G. Powle and P. K. Disayasar are appointed as Compounder-cum-Dresser following applicable selection norms and after meeting the prescribed criteria. It is submitted by the 1st Party that, engaging the services of Nurses of M's. Bina Nursing Home does not have relevance in the present reference. It is denied by the 1st Party that, such contract workmen from M.s. Bina Nursing Home are engaged as temporary Compoundercum-Dresser by the Corporation and it is submitted by the 1st Party Corporation that, it has no suitable vacancy to accommodate the concerned workman in its Occupational Health Centres. It is contended by the 1st Party that, the concerned workman was the casual workman at their Refinery Clinic, the position as given in CGIT Award dated 10-4-2003 and the question of his claiming seniority over the other Compounder-cum-Dresser who are on the permanent Pay Roll of the Corporation and consequent violation of Section 25H of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 does not arise. It is contended by the 1st Party that, in fact the concerned workman was not physically engaged by the Corporation since 1996 as there is no requirement. It is denied by the Corporation that it had indulged in unfair labour practices by retrenching the concerned workman It is contended by the 1st Party that, the concerned

workman was retrenched w.e.f. 31-5-2008 after paying compensation of Rs. 67,470 towards retrenchment compensation and one month's pay in lieu of notice since there is no work as there is no requirement in addition the concerned workman was also paid Rs. 1,04,042 towards other statutory benefits like Gratuity, Bonus and leave wages and the said payments have been received by the concerned workman. It is contended by the 1st Party that, the concerned workman is trying to get back door entry in the 1st Party's Corporation by filing various frivolous litigations and prayed that, the Reference be rejected.

- (5) Rejoinder is filed by the 2nd Party at Exhibit 10 repeating the same story as stated in the Statement of Claim and denying the contentions raised by the 1st Party in the written statement.
- (6) In view of the above pleadings Issues were framed at Exhibit 12 which I answer as follows:

Issues	Findings
1. Does second party prove that he was illegally retrench by 1st Party?	Yes
2. Is second party entitled for relief sought?	Yes
3. What order ?	As per the order passed below.

REASONS:

Issue Nos. 1 & 2:

(7) By this Reference dispute is raised by 2nd Party Ashok Raghoba Ambre regarding his retrenchment in 2008. Case of Shri Ambre, 2nd Party is that, he joined initially as a temporary Compounder-cum-Dresser on 17-10-1984 at the Fuel Refinery Clinic, Mahul, Mumbai of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd. According to him that time there were 2 permanent posts of Compoundercum-Dressers who were working in two shifts at that Refinery i.e. one is the morning and other one in the evening. According to 2nd Party concerned workman was working in third shift against the permanent and regular post. He states that in July, 1987 Management appointed M. Y. Salvi at Fuel Refinery Clinic. According to him after his joining Mr. Walekar and Mr. Ramdasi were working in the 1st shift and general shift. Mr. Salvi and he himself were working in second and third shift. He further contends that, in Lube Refinery Clinic there were only 2 Compounder-cum-Dressers. He states that, in Lube Refinery Clinic there were 2 vacancies of Compoundercum-Dressers. He states that, for that vacancy in the year 1989 Management had appointed 2 Compounder-cum-Dressers through Employment Exchange, one for regular shift and other one for relieving the permanent one on the

post of Compounder-cum-Dressers to work during leave, weekly offs and on holidays. He further contends that, in the year 1989 working hours of the HPCL employees were reduced from 48 hours to 42 hours per week. According to him since more manpower was required in Fuel Refinery Clinic two Compounders-cum-Dressers were transferred to Fuel Refinery Clinic from Lube Refinery Clinic and Mr. M. Y. Salvi's services were terminated from 1-5-1990. He further contends that, 5 Compounders-cum-Dressers were working in Fuel Refinery Clinic. According to him. there were two vacancies in Lube Refinery Clinic. He states that in November, 1991 Mrs. Geeta and Mr. Rathod resigned and in their place of Mrs. Karkhanis 2nd Party concerned workman was transferred to Lube Refinery Clinic. He states that, there were 3 vacancies in Lube Refinery and in Lube Refinery Clinic and one Mr. Rajendra Hussure was appointed on temporary basis and in 1992 one Mr. Mohan K. Sawant was appointed on permanent basis as Compounder-cum-Dresser in Fuel Refinery in his place. He states that in June, 1992 Management advertised 5 posts of Compounder-cum-Dressers. According to him Management appointed only 2 Compounders-cum-Dressers against that vacancy in 1993 and one in 1994. He further states that, in March, 1993 Management appointed one Mr. A. G. Powle and Bhavsar on permanent basis in Lube Refinery. He states that, in October, 1994 the concerned workman was retransferred to Lube Refinery Clinic and Mr. Sawant was transferred to Lube Refinery Clinic. He further states that on 23-12-1994 one Mr. Rane was appointed as Compounder-cum-Dresser at Fuel Refinery Clinic. He states that, there were 6 Compounderscum-Dressers in Fuel Refinery Clinic and 3 Compounderscum-Dressers in Lube Refinery Clinic were working. He states that, from 1-9-1995 Compounders-cum-Dressers were redesignated as Pharmacist. He states that, in 1996 all of a sudden he was told verbally not to report on duty from 1-6-1996. He states that, thereafter these Clinics were renamed as Occupational Health Centres.

(8) He states that, he was working there from 17-10-1984. He was paid on daily wages. He states that, since he was continuously working on regular post and was paid on daily wages he filed Writ Petition No. 661 of 1992 for permanency in Bombay High Court. During pendency of the said Writ Petition he was orally terminated from 1-7-1996 which he challenged by approaching Labour Commissioner who send failure report on which. Said was referred by the Central Government to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Mumbai. It is his case that, it which was decided by CGIT-1 holding his termination illegal and directed Management to reinstate him. Said was challenged by the Management by filing Writ Petition No. 1491 of 2004. Said Writ Petition was dismissed on 8-3-2004. He further states that, subsequently Management filed Letters Patent Appeal No. 114 of 2004 against the said order of High Court dated 8-3-2004 which was also dismissed vide order dated 28-1-2005. He further states that, thereafter High Court allowed the Writ Petition No. 661 of 1992 filed by the concerned workman for permanency from the date of filing of the Writ Petition i.e. from 16-3-1992 and the said was challenged by the Management by filing S.L.P. (Civil) No. 10819 of 2005. According to 2nd Party concerned workman, directions were given by Apex Court to 1st Party to consider his services sympathetically for permanency by waiving the qualifications and age for recruitment. He states that, though said directions were given by Apex Court but they were not considered by the 1st Party. It is his case that, he filed Contempt Petition No. 181 of 2008 still he was not reinstated as per the directions given by Apex Court. He further states that thereafter he was declared surplus by order dated 31-5-2008 and by another order of the even date he was retrenched from the services saying that, there was no work for the concerned workman. So he challenged said act of the Management by filing Writ Petition No. 1648 of 2008 where Hon'ble High Court directed the Conciliation Officer to submit his failure report within a period of four weeks from receiving the application from the concerned workman and directed Central Government to refer the dispute to the appropriate Court within 6 weeks and directed this Tribunal to try and adjudicate the dispute within a period of 6 months from the date of the reference. He states that, the decision taken by 1st Party of retrenching him is not just and proper and require to set aside with directions to Management to take him in the employment with benefits of back wages and continuity of service.

- (9) This is disputed by the 1st Party Management making out he case that 2nd Party Ambre was not appointed as termporary Compounder-cum-Dresser w.e.f. 17-10-1984 at Fuel Refinery Clinic of the Corporation as alleged. It is denied that, he is qualified to be appointed on that post. It is stated that, he was appointed on daily wages. It is denied that, he was appointed against permanent vacancy. It is case of the 1st Party that, as far as proceedings of the 2nd Party are concerned, it is not disputed by 1st Party and are admitted to it. It is case of the 1st Party that since there was no work he was retrenched and said action was taken by following due process of law by offering compensation as per Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. So it is stated that, the decision taken by the Management against 2nd Party is just and proper and does not require any interference.
- (10) 2nd Party is challenging the decision of the 1st Party by which it retrenched him and to support his case, he relied on his affidavit filed at Exhibit 14 in lieu of his examination-in-chief where he reproduces his entire above history and various writs filed as referred above. He also referred to clear and permanent vacancies and persons working on those posts and claims that, he was illegally

retrenched. In the cross he admits that, he did not have 3 years qualification of certificate course in nursing conducted by Maharashtra Nursing Service. According to him said was not applicable when he was taken in the employment. He claims that, others who are working are SSC passed and having experience of medical services. He deny that, he was not posted on permanent vacancy. He admits that, he was paid on daily wages. He admits that order of CGIT-1 in Ref. No. CGIT-1/5 of 1998 was passed where 1st Party was directed to reinstate him and was not directed to post him on permanent post. On that, 2nd Party closed evidence by filing closing purshis at Exhibit 31. Against that, 1st party examined Gagan S. Samant by filing his affidavit at Exhibit 32 in lieu of his examination-in-chief who denied the claim of the 2nd Party and disputed that, he was appointed on permanent post. In the cross he admits that, 2nd Party was working from 17-3-1984. He admits the documents produced at pages 111 to 113 produced with Exhibit 11 and pages 122 to 127 of Exhibit 11 are concerned with the appointment of the concerned workman. When he was questioned by the Court how he was retrenched when not taken in the employment to which he replied that, 1st Party presume that, he was in the employment. Unable to confirm those documents. On that, evidence was closed by the 1st Party.

- (11) Written arguments are filed by the 2nd Party at Exhibit 33 and by the 1st Party at Exhibit 34.
- (12) Gone through the proceedings, evidence on record and the written arguments submitted by both along with citations referred. This 2nd Party is before this Court twice. Initially he was before CG1T-1 about his grievance when he was terminated which he had challenged and which was referred for adjudication to CGIT-1 being Reference No. 5 of 1998. In the said Reference his termination was decided as illegal and CGIT-1 directed 1st Party to reinstate him and give him back wages on daily wages basis @ Rs. 55 per day from 1996 and after his reinstatement it was directed that, he should be paid at current rate applicable to daily wagers. However, it is a matter of record that, he was not reinstated in the employment as per the order passed by CGIT-1 in Reference No. 5 of 1998. Even witness examined by 1st Party admits to that effect. When query was made by me questioning him when 2nd Party was reinstated as per the orders of the CGTF-1 in Reference No. 5 of 1998 how he was retrenched? On \$1.21, concerned witness deposed that, they presumed that he taken in the employment since his dues were paid as per the order and then he was retrenched. Even it is case of the 1st Party that, retrenchment compensation was given to the concerned workman before taking action of retrenchment. Action taken by 1st Party is taken under Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. No doubt 1st Party has paid the retrenchment compensation to the concerned workman as per the provisions and even it offered one month's salary in lieu of the notice as notice

was not given before retrenchment. But it is to be noted that, no seniority list is published, where he is not shown junior or last who has to go first. While retrenching the employees the employer has to publish seniority list and then to follow the seniority list in retrenching junior most. Even he was not shown in any muster roll but simply he was paid as per the directions given by CGIT-1 in Reference No. 5 of 1998. When 2nd Party workman is not taken in the employment, when seniority list is not prepared and he is not shown in the muster roll question arises, how he can be retrenched on the ground of non-availability of the work? Nothing is stated on that and no any case is made out. In the evidence his qualification is challenged. However, nowhere it is pleaded by the 1st Party that, qualifications possessed by the concerned workman was not required qualification to post him on the post of Compounder-cum-Dresser. Even all the while 2nd Party states that, alleged qualification was not applicable when he was taken in the employment. According to 1st Party, qualifications for the post of Compounder-cum-Dresser require SSC or equivalent 3 years service course in nursing from Maharashtra Nursing Services. Even on that count, initially he was removed. Even he challenged the said order. Then he was ordered to be reinstated but though payment was made on the basis of daily wages, still it is not shown that 1st Party has paid further payment at current rate of daily wages which was expected as per directions given by CGIT-1 in 5 of 1998. Even his all dues were not shown paid since stand taken by the 1st Party itself is that, since 2nd Party was not working, they did not offer the said payment.

- (13) From evidence brought on record it is revealed that, no seniority list was prepared and published by the 1st Party. Besides all this reveals that, 2nd Party is not shown at last and as such he has to go first while effecting retrenchment against such an employee. Moreover, when he was not taken in the employment as per the order of CGIT-1 question arises how he can be retrenched as happened in this case? All these steps taken by 1st Party in treating 2nd Party as retrenched on account of nonavailability of work reveals that, illegal action is taken by 1st Party. Besides no permission is sought by 1st Party as expected under Section 25F of the appropriate Government as required under Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per Section 25-C notice in prescribed manner is required to be served on a person before making retrenchment. It is to be noted that, no notice was given to that effect and conditions were not complied with by 1st Party before retrenching the employee.
- (14) It is to be noted that, 2nd Party is fighting for his employment from 1992. Initially he filed Writ Petition No. 661 of 1992. Then he filed Writ Petition No. 1491 of 2004. Then he filed Writ Petition No. 1648 of 2008. Even he appeared before Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition filed

by 1st Party against the order of CGIT-1. Even he appeared in Apex Court in SLP preferred by 1st Party. Though he travelled from this Court to Apex Court and again came back here for his grievances, it is to be noted that, he was harassed by the 1st Party on number of occasions. It is to be noted that, he is fighting for his job. It is to be noted that, he started working with 1st Party from 1984 and most of his period has gone in fighting with 1st Party for securing his job with 1st Party. He states that, he is 59 plus of age. When action of 1st Party is not legal and justified under any provisions, in my considered view, such action require to quash and set aside with directions to 1st Party to reinstate him on the post from which he was treated as retrenched. So I answer this Issue to that effect and passes the following order:

ORDER

- (a) Reference is allowed;
- (b) 1st Party is directed to reinstate 2nd Party Shri Ambre on the post on which he was treated as retrenched;
- (c) 1st Party is directed to give him benefits of back wages to the concerned workman i.e. Shri Ambre as per directions given by CGIT-1 in Reference No. 5 of 1998:
- (d) No order as to costs;

Mumbai,

A. A. LAD, Presiding Officer

4th day of March, 2010

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1191. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं ई.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, आसनसोल के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 15/1998) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 13-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/258/1997—आई आर (सीएम-II)] अजय कुमार गौड़, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th April, 2010

S.O. 1191.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 15/1998) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Asansol as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of ECL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 13-4-2010.

[No. L-22012/258/1997-iR(CM-II)] AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ASANSOL

PRESENT: Sri Manoranjan Pattnaik, Presiding

Officer

Reference No. 15 of 1998

PARTIES: Industrial Dispute between Management of Nakrakonda Colliery, ECL.

Vrs.

Their workman.

REPRESENTATIVES:

For the management : P. K. Das, Advocate

For the union (Workman) : M. Mukherjee

INDUSTRY: Coal STATE: WEST BENGAL.

Dated the 10-3-2010

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of Subsection (1) and Sub-section 2(A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), Govt. of India through the Ministry of Labour vide its letter No. L-22012/258/97-IR (CM-II) dated 12-6-1998 has been pleased to refer the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Nakrakonda Colliery in dismissing Sh. G. N. Banerjee from service w.e.f. 23-1-1996 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief is the workmen entitled?"

On receipt of the Order No. L-22012/258/97-IR (CM-II) dated 12-6-1998 of the above mentioned reference from the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi for adjudication of the dispute, a reference case No. 15 of 1998 was registered on 25-6-1998. Accordingly an order to that effect was passed to issue notices through the registered post to the parties concerned directing them to appear in the court on the date fixed and to file their written statements along with the relevant documents and a list of witnesses in support of their claims. In pursuance of the said order notices by the registered post were sent to the parties concerned.

- 3. Both the parties made their appearance in the Court and filed their pleadings. They also relied on documentary evidence. Learned counsels for the parties have been heard at length.
- 4. Workman's case in brief as per the averment is that the (workman) till the dismissal (vide order

dt. 23/30-1-1996) was a permanent employee under the Employer i.e. M/s. Eastern Coalfields Ltd., and was posted at Nakrakonda colliery. He was suddenly issued with a charge sheet by the management bearing No. NKR/PER/ 94-95/1184 dated 15-7-1994 u/s 17(1)(a)(o)(u) of the Model Standing Order with the allegation of theft, fraud or dishonesty in connection with the Employer's business. The specific allegation of misconduct being that the workman fraudulently fabricated papers and documents showing his Transfer from Ajoy-II colliery Sripur Area to Nakrakonda Area though the workman was never posted at Ajoy-II colliery. Further, on the basis of the said fabricated document the workman allegedly managed his posting at Nakrakonda colliery as a transferred employee w.e.f. 2-3-84 as Fitter helper and continued to work there getting usual promotion. The workman was put under suspension with immediate effect and was asked to show cause as to why he (workman) will not be liable for the said misconduct. The workman submitted his reply in time and also preferred a writ petition at the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta against the issuance of vague charge sheet which terminated in favour of the workman and the charge sheet was set aside with a liberty to the management to issue fresh charge sheet in accordance with law. The management issued another charge sheet No. (NKR/PER 94-95/1904 dt. 1-10-94) on the self same ground and basing on a procured, blemished and tainted enquiry report dismissed the workman from service vide order No. 23 dt. 30-1-96 without giving proper opportunity to the workman to defend and by infringing all his rights. Assailing the enquiry report and the act of dismissal on various grounds, the workman urged for his reinstatement by setting aside the dismissal order with consequential benefit by holding that the action of the Employer is not legal and justified.

The Employer's case as made out in the written statement and briefly stated is that complaint was received by the Management of Nakrakonda colliery dt. 31-5-1994 and subsequently two other complaints that the workman. Gouri Nath Banerjee by using fake and fabricated documents like LPC, Transfer Order etc. joined in the Nakrakonda colliery as a transferred employee. The management moved the concern authority (Personnel Manager) of the Ajoy-II colliery for confirmation about the said documents, and getting a negative reply, charge sheeted was issued against the workman on ground of fraud. The charge sheet was revoked following the order of the High Court at Calcutta but fresh charge sheet was issued on the same date. Finding the reply of the workman to the charge sheet unsatisfactory, domestic enquiry was held by the Sr. Personnel Officer, Jhanjra Area. The workman participated in the enquiry proceeding. On termination of the enquiry proceeding the workman was found guilty of the charges. He was dismissed by the order of Chief General Manager, Bankola Area vide Order

in letter dated 23-1-1996. The employer thus urged for an award justifying the dismissal.

- 5. Parties have not adduced any oral evidence but relied on documentary evidence only. Learned counsel for both the parties have been heard at length.
- 6. On careful perusal of the pleading of the parties, documents relied on and the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the parties it is found that the alleged frauds has come to the notice of the management by some anonymous letters received against the workman who had already worked for about more than 10 years in the station where the alleged fraud was detected. From the very outset there is reason to find that neither side has come to the Tribunal with clean hand and with a clear and complete case as their pleadings are found infirmed for absence of material facts which are supposed to be within their knowledge and in their respective domain. The workman is a permanent employee of the ECL as affirmed by both the parties. The service records of an employee of a Public Undertakings are believed to be the permanently preserved documents. Much less about its production in the court even in the pleadings both parties are completely silent about it. They have even not hinted at the material facts like initial appointment of the workman, opening of Service Book, his subsequent posting, pay roll, acquittance roll/ promotion maintained in different stations or place of working. Except claiming that he is a permanent employee, the workman has not even disclosed his grade, designation, place of previous posting etc. He has filed the copy of the L.P.C. but same has been impugned. For whatsoever reason Management has also not discussed all about it and has simply left the court to gather the same from other records.
- 7. However, on appraisal of the evidence laid down by the parties in the domestic enquiry proceeding it comes to the light that the workman, a fitter helper in category-II was appointed on 22-3-1982. It appears from the records that on receipt of allegation from anonymous source the management of Nakrakonda colliery instead of seeking any report or explanation from the workman nor verifying the original documents through the Agent of Nakrakonda colliery vide Ext./W simply sent a copy of L.P.C. to the previous station and sought for confirmation on genuineness of the L.P.C. He sought a report as to whether the workman at all worked there prior to his transfer. Surprisingly the reply of the Ajoy-II colliery is not forthcoming, from either side though in a letter (Ext. 15/W) dt. 5-8-1994 Dy. CME/Agent has referred about such a letter of Ajoy-II authority holding the L.P.C. in question as not genuine. According to the said letter, their records did not reveal about the release order and the name of the workman. Again vide Ext. 10/W further verification of paper was sought and request was made for transmission of connected papers. What is important to find that the said last reply was received from Dy. CME, Bankola Area, vide

letter dated 20-8-1997 (Ext. 16/W) that the transfer record of the workman was not traceable? It is seen that the Management has simply acted on the confirmation letter dated 17-7-1994 of Agent, Grimint (R) colliery under whose administrative control Ajoy-II colliery remained without making any endeavour to probe the allegations or to unearth the fact though police or vigilance deptt., of the company or by any scientific method. In fact it has been asserted on behalf of the workman during the course of argument that a vigilance investigation was initiated by the Management against him (the workman) in respect of the charges but the investigation report was not placed at all. The management has no reply to this. As such natural inference definitely shall be against the allegations brought against the workman, Police investigation though is not sinequanon of proving charges of misconduct in disciplinary proceeding, but while inflicting major punishment like dismissal from service such inadequacy and suppression of material facts becomes glaring and significant specially when the company initiated a vigilance enquiry but the report remained in oblivion. To prove a charge of fraud prima facie it is required to be proved that the workman manufactured or got the tainted papers manufactured and made the company's officials to believe the same as genuine and to act on that for his wrongful gain. As seen above the alleged misconduct of fraud has not been proved at all, much less beyond all reasonable doubt even preponderance to the probabilities. The workman's persisted stand from the very inception is that he has not carried and produced the L.P.C., transfer certificate etc., himself but same have been received in Nakrakonda colliery officially which too has not been specifically denied. A look into the enquiry report and proceeding record will reveal that the management has not produced any other better evidence other than the evidence discussed above to prove the facts and circumstances. The enquiry report is otherwise erroneous and impaired for putting burden on the workman to disprove the charges. Arriving on a conclusion on inadequate proof and wrongly shifting burden of proof to the head of. Workman is not proper and fair. Documents believed to be in the domain of the Employer and persons competent to prove those material paper like CMPF Account No. BKR/348 of the workman, the transfer certificate, LPC etc., have not been proved by the management during the domestic enquiry nor in the court. The proceeding too is otherwise do not appear to be fair and reasonable for the reason that the person who accepted the transfer certificate, LPC etc., and released the salary on joining of the workman on transfer and subsequently the officer who are connected in giving promotion to the workman and all other official who checked and verified the L.P.C etc., have not come to the picture at all which is fatal to the Employer's case. The misconduct of fraud has been established in the inquiry proceeding on surmisation order concocted facts.

In view of the above facts and circumstances it becomes clear that the charges against the workman has been proved on suspicion only. It is the settled law that whatever grave the suspicion may be no offence i.e. the misconduct in this case can be established.

8. In the result it is held that the action of the Nakrakonda colliery of M/s. Eastern Coalfields Ltd., in dismissing the workman Sri G. N. Banerjee w.e.f. 23-1-1996 is not legal and sjustified. He is entitled to be reinstated in service from the date of his dismissal along with back wages and all consequential benefits due to him as if in service since then. In view of the lapse of good deal of time it would be proper if the monetary benefits due to flow down from the award be disbursed with the two months of the notification pending grant of other relief. Hence it is ordered.

ORDERED

Let an award be and same is passed. Send copies to Govt. of India, for necessary action.

MANORANJAN PATTNAIK, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1192.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं ई.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच. अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, आसनसोल के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 83/2006) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 13-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

> [सं. एल-22012/56/2006-आई आर (सीएम-II)] अजय कमार गौड, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th April, 2010

S.O. 1192.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 83/2006) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Asansol as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s. Eastern Coalfields Limited and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 13-4-2010.

> [No. L-22012/56/2006-IR(CM-II)] AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, **ASANSOL**

PRESENT:

Reference No. 83 of 2006

PARTIES:

The Industrial Dispute between the management of Parascole Colliery, Kajora Area of ECL.

Vrs

Their workman.

REPRESENTATIVES:

For the management

: None

For the union (Workman)

: None

INDUSTRY: COAL

STATE: WESTBENGAL.

Dated the 16-3-2010

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of Subsection (1) and Sub-section 2(A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), Govt. of India through the Ministry of Labour vide its letter No. L-22012/ 56/2006-IR (CM-II) dated 30-10-2006 has been pleased to refer the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Parascole Colliery of M/s. ECL in dismissing Sh. Lakhu Bouri from services w.e.f. 8-4-2003 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled to ?"

On receipt of the Order No. L-22012/56/2006-IR (CM-II) dated 30-10-2006 of the above mentioned reference from the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi for adjudication of the dispute, a reference case No. 83 of 2006 was registered on 11-12-2006 and accordingly an order to that effect was passed to issue notices through the registered post to the parties concerned directing them to appear in the court on the date fixed and to file their written statements along with the relevant documents and a list of witnesses in support of their claims. In pursuance of the said order notices by the registed post were sent to the parties concerned.

Despite notices issued to the employer and the employee by registered post none of them made their appearance. It appears that they are not interest to proceed with the case and it is apparent that dispute no more exists. Award to the effect need be passed and hence it is ordered.

ORDER

Let an award be and same is passed in terms of the above finding. Copy of the award be sent to the Ministry of Labour & Employment, Govt. of India, New Delhi.

MANORANJAN PATTNAIK, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1193.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं ई.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, आसनसोल के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 42/2007) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 13-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/1/2007-आई आर (सी एम-II)] अजय कुमार गौड़, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th April, 2010

S.O. 1193.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 42/2007) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Asansol, now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Bankola Area of M/s. Eastern Coalfields Limited, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 13-4-2010.

[No. L-22012/1/2007-IR(CM-II)] AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL-TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ASANSOL

PRESENT

: Sri Manoranjan Pattnaik, Presiding Officer

Reference No. 42 of 2007

PARTIES

: The Agent Shankarpur Colliery of M/s. ECL, Bankola, Ukhra,

Burdwan

Vrs.

General Secretary, Ukhra Colliery Mazdoor Union (INTUC),

Burdwan

Representatives:

For the management : P.K. Goswami, Advocate

For the union

: None

(Workman)

Industry: Coal

State: West Bengal

Dated: 9-3-2010

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of Subsection(1) and Sub-section 2(A) of Section10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), Govt. of India

through the Ministry of Labour vide its letter No. L-22012/1/2007 IR (CM-II) dated 5-7-2007 has been pleased to refer the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the Management of Shankarpur, under Bankola Area of M/s. E.C.L. in deducting the attendance bonus paid for the period from 17-2-2003 to 4-5-2003 from the monthly wages of Sh. Jalandhar Basantia is legal and justified? If not to what relief is the workman entitled?"

Having received the Order No. L-22012/1/2007 IR (CM-II) dated 5-7-2007 of the above said reference from the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, for adjudication of the dispute, a reference case No. 42 of 2007 was registered on 18-7-2007 and accordingly an order to that effect was passed to issue notices through the registered post to the parties concerned directing them to appear in the court on the date fixed and to file their written statements along with the relevant documents and a list of witnesses in support of their claims. In pursuance of the said order notice by the registered post were to the parties concerned.

Despite notice issued to the employer and the employee by registered post none of them made their appearance. It appears that they are not interested to proceed with the case and it is apparent that dispute no more exists Award to the effect needs be passed and hence it is ordered.

ORDER

Let an "Award" be and same is passed as per above. Send the copies of the Award to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, for information and needful.

MANORANJAN PATTNAIK, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1194. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं ई.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, आसनसोल के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 14/2008) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 13-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/227/2007-आई आर (सी एम-II)] अजय कमार गौड, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th April, 2010

S.O. 1194.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 14/2008) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-

Labour Court, Asansol now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Under Pandeveshwar Area of M/s. ECL, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 13-4-2010.

> [No. L-22012/227/2007-IR(CM-II)] AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL-TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ASANSOL

PRESENT

: Sri Manoranjan Pattnaik,

Presiding Officer

Reference No. 14 of 2008

PARTIES

: The Agent Kenda Colliery, Pandaveswar Area

of M/s. ECL. Burdwan

Vrs.

General Secretary, Ukhra Colliery

Mazdoor Union (INTUC)

Burdwan

Representatives:

For the management: None

For the union

: None

(Workman)

Industry: Coal

State: West Bengal

Dated: 9-3-2010

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of Subsection(1) and Sub-section 2(A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Govt. of India through the Ministry of Labour vide its letter No. L-22012/227/2007-IR (CM-II) dated 2-4-2008 has been pleased to refer the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the Management of Kenda Colliery, Pandaveswar Area of M/s. E.C.L. in deleting the name of Sri Sukhdeo Dhangar from Computer Pay Roll is legal and justified? If not to what relief is the workman entitled?"

Having received the Order No. L-22012/227/2007-IR (CM-II) dated 2-4-2008 of the above said reference from the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, for adjudication of the dispute, a reference case No. 14 of 2008 was registered on 8-4-2008 and accordingly an order to that effect was passed to issue notices through the registered post to the parties concerned directing them to appear in the court on the date fixed and to file their written statements along with the relevant documents and

a list of witnesses in support of their claims. In pursuance of the said order notice by the registered post were to the parties concerned.

Despite notice issued to the employer and the employee by registered post none of them made their appearance. It appears that they are not interested to proceed with the case and it is apparent that dispute no more exists Award to the effect needs be passed and hence it is ordered.

ORDER

Let an "Award" be and same is passed as per above. Send the copies of the Award to the Govt. of India. Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, for information and needful.

MANORANJAN PATTNAIK, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1195.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं ई.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, आसनसोल के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 12/2006) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 13-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

> [सं. एल-22012/91/2005-आई आर (सी एम-॥)] अजय कुमार गौड, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th April, 2010

S.O. 1195.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 12) 2006) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Asansol now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Nimcha Colliery of M/s. ECL, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 13-4-2010.

> [No. L-22012/91/2005-IR(CM-II)] AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL-TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, **ASANSOL**

PRESENT

: Sri Manoranjan Pattnaik,

Presiding Officer

Reference No. 12 of 2006

PARTIES

: The Agent Nimcha Colliery of

M/s. ECL, Burdwan

Vrs.

Jt. Secretary, CMC (HMS), G.T. Road, Asansol, Burdwan

Representatives:

For the management : P.K. Das, Advocate

For the union : S.K. Pandey, Jt. Secy. CMC

(Workman) (HMS)

Industry: Coal State: West Bengal

Dated: 17-3-2010

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of Subsection (1) and sub-section 2(A) of Section10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), Govt. of India through the Ministry of Labour vide its letter No. L-22012/91/2005 IR (CM-II) dated 13-6-2006 has been pleased to refer the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the Management of Nimcha Colliery of M/s. ECL in dismissing the services of Sh. Dara Majhi, U.G. Loader, w.e.f. 5-7-2004 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

Having received the Order No. L-22012/91/2005-IR (CM-II) dated 13-6-2006 of the above said reference from the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, for adjudication of the dispute, a reference case No. 12 of 2006 was registered on 11-7-2006 and accordingly an order to that effect was passed to issue notices through the registered post to the parties concerned directing them to appear in the court on the date fixed.

In response to the notice issued from this Tribunal both the parties made their appearance through their Advocate Sri P.K. Goswami and the Office bearer of the concerned union, Sri S.K. Pandey. Form 'H' has also been filed by the workman, Sri Dara Majhi, showing the amicable settlement of the industrial dispute. Hence, no dispute exists any more. Accordingly, an award needs be passed to that effect. Hence, it is ordered.

ORDER

Let an "Award" be and same is passed as per above. Form 'H'. containing terms and conditions to form part of the Award. Send the copies of the Award to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, for information and needful. The reference is accordingly disposed of.

MANORANJAN PATTNAIK, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1196.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं ई.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, आसनसोल के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 46/2007) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 13-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/4/2007-आई आर (सीएम-11)]

अजय कुमार गौड़, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th April, 2010

S.O. 1196.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 46/2007) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Asansol as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s. ECL, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 13-4-2010.

[No. L-22012/4/2007-IR(CM-II)] AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL-TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ASANSOL

PRESENT: Sri Manoranjan Pattnaik,

Presiding Officer

PARTIES: The Agent, 1 & 2 Incline Jhanjra,

Jhanjra Area of M/s. ECL,

Burdwan.

Vrs

Their Workman

Representatives:

For the Management : None

For the union (Workman) : None

Industry: Coal State: West Bengal

Dated: 18-3-2010

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) and sub-section 2(A) of Section10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), Govt. of India through the Ministry of Labour vide its letter No. L-22012/4/2007-IR (CM-II) dated 05-07-2007 has been pleased to refer the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the Management of 1 & 2 Incline Jhanjra, Jhanjra Area of M/s. ECL in denying idle period wages in respect of Sri Bishnudeo Nunia w.e.f. 17-3-1995 to 3-9-1995 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman concerned entitled?"

Having received the Order No. L-22012/4/2007-IR (CM-II) dated 5-7-2007 of the above said reference from the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, for adjudication of the dispute, a reference case No. 46 of 2007 was registered on 18-7-2007 and accordingly an order to that effect was passed to issue notices through the registered post to the parties concerned directing them to appear in the court on the date fixed and to file their written statements along with the relevant documents and a list of witnesses in support of their claims. In pursuance of the said order notices by the registered post were sent to the parties concerned.

Despite notice issued to the employer and the employee by registered post none of them made their appearance. It appears that they are not interested to proceed with the case and it is apparent that dispute no more exists. Award to the effect needs be passed and hence it is ordered.

ORDER

Let an "Award" be and same is passed as per above. Send the copies of the order to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi for information and needful. The reference is accordingly disposed of.

MANORANJAN PATTNAIK, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1197. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं ई.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, आसनसोल के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 36/2007) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 13-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/7/2007-आई आर (सीएम-II)] अजय कुमार गौंड़, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th April, 2010

S.O. 1197.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 36/2007) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Asansol as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of M/s. Eastern Coalfields Limited, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 13-4-2010.

[No. L-22012/7/2007-IR(CM-II)] AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL-TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ASANSOL

PRESENT

: Sri Manoranjan Pattnaik, Presiding Officer

-

Reference No. 36 of 2007

PARTIES

: The Agent, Chapui Khas Colliery Satgram Area of M/s. ECL...

Burdwan.

Vrs

Dy. President, Colliery Mazdoor Union (INTUC) G.T. Road, Asansol,

Burdwan.

Representatives:

For the management: None

For the union

: None

(Workman)

Industry: Coal

State: West Bengal

Dated: 9-3-2010

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of Subsection(1) and Sub-section 2(A) of Section10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), Govt. of India through the Ministry of Labour vide its letter No. L-22012/7/2007-IR (CM-II) dated 26-06-2007 has been pleased to refer the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the Management of Chapui Khas Colliery, Satgram Area of M/s. E.C.I. in dismissing Sh. Haren Bourie, w.e.f. 28-2-2006 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

Having received the Order No. L-22012/7/2007-1R (CM-II) dated 26-6-2007 of the above said reference from the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, for adjudication of the dispute, a reference case No. 36 of 2007 was registered on 11-7-2007 and accordingly an order to that effect was passed to issue notices through the registered post to the parties concerned directing them to appear in the court on the date fixed and to file their written statements along with the relevant documents and a list of witnesses in support of their claims. In pursuance of the said order notices by the registered post were to the parties concerned.

Despite notice issued to the employer and the employee by registered post none of them made their appearance. It appears that they are not interested to proceed with the case and it is apparent that dispute no more exists. Award to the effect needs be passed and hence it is ordered.

ORDER

Let an "Award" be and same is passed as per above. Send the copies of the Award to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, for information and needful.

MANORANJAN PATTNAIK, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1198. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का. 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार ई.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, आसनसोल के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 25/2008) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 13-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/290/2007-आई आर (सीएम-II)] अजय कुमार गौड़, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th April, 2010

S.O. 1198.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 25/2008) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Asansol now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of M/s. Eastern Coalfields Limited, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 13-4-2010.

[No. L-22012/290/2007-IR(CM-II)] AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL-TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ASANSOL

PRESENT

: Sri Manoranjan Pattnaik, Presiding Officer

Reference No. 25 of 2008

PARTIES

: The Agent, Satgram Project Satgram Area of M/s. ECL, Burdwan

Vrs.

Their Workman

REPRESENTATIVES:

For the management : P.K. Goswami, Advocate

For the union (Workman)

: None

Industry: Coal

State: West Bengal

Dated: 18-3-2010

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of Subsection(1) and Sub-section 2(A) of Section10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), Govt. of India through the Ministry of Labour vide its letter No. L-22012/290/2007 IR (CM-II) dated 26-5-2008 has been pleased to refer the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

'Whether the action of the Management of Satgram Project Satgram Area of M/s. ECL by not regularizing Sri Tarapado Roy is legal and justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled to?"

Having received the Order No. L-22012/290/2007-IR (CM-II) dated 26-5-2008 of the above said reference from the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, for adjudication of the dispute, a reference case No. 25 of 2008 was registered on 2-6-2008 and accordingly an order to that effect was passed to issue notices through the registered post to the parties concerned directing them to appear in the court on the date fixed and to file their written statements along with the relevant documents and a list of witnesses in support of their claims. In pursuance of the said order notices by the registered post were sent to the parties concerned.

Despite notice issued to the employer and the employee by registered post none of them made their appearance. It appears that they are not interested to proceed with the case and it is apparent that dispute no more exists Award to the effect needs be passed and hence it is ordered.

ORDER

Let an "Award" be and same is passed as per above. Send the copies of the Award to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, for information and needful. The reference is accordingly disposed of.

MANORANJAN PATTNAIK, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1199. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का. 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं ई.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, आसनसोल के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 20/2008) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 13-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/372/2007-आई आर (सीएम-II)]

अजय कुमार गौड़, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th April, 2010

S.O. 1199.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 20/2008) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Asansol now as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of M/s. Eastern Coalfields Limited, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 13-4-2010.

[No. L-22012/372/2007-IR(CM-II)] AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ASANSOL

PRESENT

: Sri Manoranjan Pattnaik, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 20 of 2008

PARTIES

: The Agent, Bhanora West Block Colliery, Sripur Area of ECL

Vrs.

Their Workman

REPRESENTATIVES:

For the management: None

For the union

: None

(Workman)

Industry: Coal State: West Bengal

Dated: 09-3-2010

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of Subsection(1) and Sub-section 2(A) of Section10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), Govt. of India through the Ministry of Labour vide its letter No. L-22012/372/2007-IR (CM-II) dated 12-5-2008 has been pleased to refer the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the Management of Bhanora West Block Colliery of M/s. Eastern Coalfields Ltd., by not giving employment to the dependent of

Late Sunil Bouri is legal and justified? To what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

Having received the Order No. L-22012/372/2007-IR (CM-II) dated 12-5-2008 of the above said reference from the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, for adjudication of the dispute, a reference case No. 20 of 2008 was registered on 22-5-2008 and accordingly an order to that effect was passed to issue notices through the registered post to the parties concerned directing them to appear in the court on the date fixed and to file their written statements along with the relevant documents and a list of witnesses in support of their claims. In pursuance of the said order notices by the registered post were sent to the parties concerned.

Despite notice issued to the employer and the employee by registered post none of them made their appearance. It appears that they are not interested to proceed with the case and it is apparent that dispute no more exists Award to the effect needs be passed and hence it is ordered.

ORDER

Let an "Award" be and same is passed as per above. Send the copies of the Award to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, for information and needful. The reference is accordingly disposed of.

MANORANJAN PATTNAIK, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 2010

का.आ. 1200. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 कं अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं आई.सी.ए.आर. के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में कंन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कानपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 43/2006) को प्रकाशित करती है, कंन्द्रीय सरकार को 13—4 2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल- 42012/101/2005—आई आर (सीएम-॥)] अजय कुमार गौड़, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th April, 2010

S.O. 1200.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 43′ 2006) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Kanpur now as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of National Research Centre for Agroforestry, ICAR and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 13-4-2010.

to Local

[No. L-42012/101/2005-IR(CM-II)] AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI RAM PARKASH, HJS, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 43 of 2006

Between

Sri Karan Singh, S/o Shri Pooran, Vill. & Post Bhojla, Jhansi

AND

The Director, National Research Centre for Agroforestry, Gwalior Road, Jhansi

AWARD

- 1. Central Government, MOL, New Delhi vide notification No. L-42012/101/2005-IR (CM-II) dated 28-06-06 has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal.
- 2. Whether the action of the management of National Research Centre for Agroforestry in terminating the services of Shri Karan Singh Son of Sri Pooran with effect from 1-12-03 is legal and justified? If not to what relief the concerned workman is entitled.
- 3. In the instant case after the receipt of the reference order, registered notices were issued from the tribunal to the contesting parties for filing of their claim and counter claim. It may be pointed out that the reference was received in the office of the tribunal on 10-7-06 and registered notices dated 5-1-06, 21-9-2007, 12-03-08, 19-6-08 and 7-5-09 were issued to the claimant still neither he filed any claim statement in support of his claim nor put personal appearance on either date of hearing of the case. Whereas on behalf of the opposite party Sri V.K. Gupta appeared in the case and filed his authorization letter to represent the opposite party in the instant case.
- 4. Therefore, from the behaviors of the claimant as stated above it is quite obvious that the claimant does not appear to be serious in contesting the case as well as it also appears that he is not interested in prosecuting his case before the tribunal.
- 5. In the facts and circumstances of the case as given above, the tribunal is bound to conclude that the claimant is not interested to contest the case and therefore, the reference is answered in affirmative holding that the claimant is not entitled to any relief for want of pleadings and proof.
- 6. Reference is therefore answered against the claimant and in favour of the opposite party.

RAM PARAKSH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 2010

का.आ. 1201.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं एफ.सी.आई. के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, लखनऊ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 24/2007) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 13-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/171/2005~आई आर (सीएम-II)] अजय कुमार गौड, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th April, 2010

S.O. 1201.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 24/2007) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Lucknow now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of Food Corporation of India, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 13-4-2010.

[No. L-22012/171/2005-IR(CM-II)] AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, LUCKNOW

Present:

N. K. Purohit, Presiding Officer

I.D. No. 24/2007 Ref. No. L-22012/171/2005--IR(CM-II) dated 04-06-2007

Between

The State Secretary, Bhartiya Khadya Nigam Karmchari Sangh, DC/3V, Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow (Espousing case of Shri Anil Kumar Tarsolia)

AND

- The Sr. Regional Manager, Food Corporation of India, DC/3V, Vibinuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow
- 2. The Zonal Manager (North), Food Corporation of India, Plot No. 2A, 2B, Sector-24, Noida (U.P.)

AWARD

Dated 26-03-2010

- 1. By order No. L-22012/171/2005-IR (CM-II) dated 04-06-2007 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour, New Delhi in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) referred this industrial dispute between the State Secretary, Bhartiya Khadya Nigam Karmchari Sangh, DC/3V, Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow (Espousing case of Shri Anil Kumar Tarsolia) and the Sr. Regional Manager, Food Corporation of India, DC/3V, Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow & the Zonal Manager (North), Food Corporation of India, Plot No. 2A, 2B, Sector 24, Noida (U.P.) for adjudication.
 - 2. The reference under adjudication is:
 - "(i) Whether the action of the management of Food Corporation of India in imposing penalty of recovery of loss @ Rs. 5880 along with the debarring Shri Anil Kumar Tarsolia for 3 years from all important & sensitive jobs is legal and justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?
 - (ii) Whether the action of the management of Food Corporation of India in not granting selection grade w.e.f. 01-12-1997 and promotion to the post of Asstt. Grade I (D) in the year 2000 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"
- 3. It is admitted case of the parties that the workman, Anil Kumar Tarsolia, AG II (Depot) was served upon a charge sheet dated 22-07-96 under Regulation 60 of Staff Regulations 1971 for alleged failure to maintain absolute integrity and devotion to his duty sincerely and honestly and acting in a manner unbecoming employee of the Corporation and thereby contravening Regulation 31, 32 and 32-A of FCI Staff Regulation, 1971. The workman submitted his reply dated 01-08-96 to the said charge sheet and he was imposed a punishment of recovery of Rs. 5880 along with debarring him for three years from all important and sensitive job vide impugned order dated 02-01-97. Aggrieved from impugned order dated 02-01-97, the workman preferred an appeal which was rejected vide order dated 29-01-98 and also, his review petition dated 10-05-98 was rejected vide order dated 22-07-2004.
- 4. It has been alleged by the workman's union that the management of FCl passed the impugned order without considering the reply dated 01-08-96 in as much as the appellate order and order on his review petition were passed by the management without considering the facts/contentions raised by the workman in his appeal and review petition respectively, thus, the same are illegal and arbitrary being unreasoned and non-speaking. Further it

- has been alleged by the workman's union that the workman was not given any opportunity for personal hearing. Accordingly the workman's union has prayed that the impugned orders of penalty dated 02-01-97, appellate order dated 29-10-98 and review order dated 22-07-2004 may be set aside with consequential benefits to the workman concerned.
- 5. The workman's union has further submitted that as per Rules the workman was entitled for selection grade after completion of 12 years' service on 29-11-1996. As per averments of the workman's union although the workman was granted the same w.e.f. 01-12-1997 but subsequently the opposite party vide order dated 26-0-98 withheld the same for alleged involvement of the workman in vigilance case. Likewise he was granted promotion in the year 2001 instead of year 2000. It is submitted by the workman's union that as per guidelines vide FCI circulars of 1995, promotion cannot be held up due to penalty of recovery, as such withholding of selection grade and promotion to the workman is totally illegal, arbitrary and malafide; accordingly, has prayed that the management of FCI be directed to grant selection grade to the workman w.e.f. 01-12-1997 and promotion in the year 2000 instead of 2001 with all consequential benefits.
- 6. The management of FCI has specifically denied the allegations of the workman's union and has submitted that the reply submitted by the workman was thoroughly examined by the Disciplinary Authority along with the relevant records of the case, in as much as the Appellate the Reviewing Authorities also gone through the contents of charge sheet penalty order/appellate order and relevant documents before rejecting his appeal and review petition respectively and there is no deficiency with them as they are just and passed as per provisions of FCI (Staff) Regulations, 1971. Further, it has been submitted by the management that there is no provision in FCI (Staff) Regulations, 1971 for giving the opportunity of personal hearing under minor penalty case before deciding the appeal; and accordingly, the management of FC1 has prayed that the claim of the workman's union be rejected without any relief to the workman concerned.
- 7. The management of FCI has also refuted the claim of the workman's union regarding grant of selection grade to the workman w.e.f. 0-12-1997 and promotion in the year 2001 and has submitted that its action in not releasing the selection grade to the workman vide order dated 26-5-98 and granting promotion in the year 2001 instead of 2000 was just on the ground of indulgence of workman in the vigilance case. In this regard, it has specifically been mentioned by the management that the review petition of the workman was disposed of by the competent authority vide, their order dated 22-7-2004 which purports that vigilance case against the workman was not clear by the said date; and accordingly has justified it action in

non-grant of selection grade as well as promotion to the workman and has prayed that request of the workman's union in this regard does not bear any substance and is liable to be rejected.

- 8. The workman's union has filed rejoinder whereby it has only reiterated its averments in the statement of claim and has not introduced any new facts.
- 9. The parties filed documentary evidence in support of their respect cases. The workman's union examined the workman whereas the management examined Shri Sudhir Kumar Saxena, Area Manager in support of their respective stands. Both the parties availed opportunity to forward oral as well as written submissions.
- 10. Heard learned representatives of the both the parties and perused all relevant material on record.
- 11. Learned representative on behalf of the workman has submitted that the impugned order imposing penalty of recovery of loss of Rs. 5880 along with debarring the workman for three years from all important and sensitive jobs involving financial transaction etc. is illegal and without jurisdiction because under Regulation 54 of Staff Regulation the Disciplinary Authority is not empowered to impose penalty of debarring the workman for such period from important and sensitive jobs. He has further contended that Disciplinary Authority has failed to address the correct issue involved in the matter. The issues raised by the workman in his reply were also not considered and the Disciplinary Authority has imposed penalty on that charge which was not in the charge sheet. He has also submitted that the Reviewing Authority and Appellate Authority both have passed non-reasoned orders and have not dealt with the issues raised by the workman. Opportunity of hearing was also not provided to the workman. As regards denial of selection grade from 01-12-97, he has submitted that selection grade was granted to the workman vide order dated 26-8-98 w.e.f. 01-12-97 but the said order has not been released on the ground that the workman was under penalty from 21-08-96 to 31-12-98; but no such order has been referred nor produced in the adjudication proceedings. He has further contended that Competent Authority vide order dated 08-11-2000 promoted the workman from AG II(D) to AG I(D) and the said order was issued after examining the pendency of vigilance case against the workman. The workman was promoted on adhoc basis vide order dated 08-12-2000 and the word adhoc was deleted from the order and it became a regular promotion. Furher the opposite party has cancelled the promotion order vide order dated 19-05-2001 without assigning any reason in the said order or without affording any opportunity. Further, on the basis of subsequent charge sheet after consideration of promotion by Zonal Promotion Committee will not debar any employee from promotion, therefore, the workman is entitled for promotion from the date his junior was

promoted. In support of his contentions he has placed reliance on following case laws:

- (i) 2009 (1) SCC L&S 88 Union of India vs. Ram Kumar Thakur.
- (ii) 2007 (2) ALJ 119 (DB) Sarwan Kumar Purwar vs. Inspector General of Police.
- (iii) 1999 SCC (L&S) 429 Kuldeep Singh Vs. Commissioner of Police.
- (iv) 1986 SCC (L&S) 383 Ram Chander Vs. Union of India.
- (v) 1991 SCC (L&S) 525 New Bank of India Vs. N.P. Sigal.
- (vi) 1993 (23) ATC 322 Union of India Vs. K.V. Jankiraman.
- 12. Per contra, the learned representative on behalf of the FCI has urged that the order of debarring the workman for three years from all important and sensitive jobs is an administrative action for smooth functioning of the Corporation and which may not be treated as penalty. As regards denial of selection grade to the workman w.e.f. 01-12-97, he has contended that the workman was under penalty, therefore, the selection grade was not released. He has further contended that the workman has admitted in his statement that he filed an appeal against the order of penalty on 02-01-97 and after rejection of appeal on 29-01-98, he filed review on 10-05-98. The review petition was decided then only the vigilance case finally adjudicated and not before as stated by the workman.
- 13. I have given my thoughtful consideration to the rival submissions of the parties and perused relevant material on record. In the impugned order, imposing penalty of recovery, the workman has been debarred for three years from the important and sensitive jobs. Admittedly, under Regulation 54 of the Staff Regulation, no such penalty is envisaged; but debarring from a particular period from all important and sensitive jobs cannot be treated as penalty. The learned representative has referred 2007(2) ALF 119 (DB), but the facts of the said case law are distinguishable. In above case law order regarding withholding of integrity certificate or holding integrity of employee doubtful was also passed, therefore, Hon'ble High Court observed that withholding integrity or holding the integrity doubtful or non-issuance of integrity certificate have penal consequence and is very fatal in service career of a government servant particularly in the matter of promotion as well as in according the benefits of higher pay scales depending upon the service rules. But in the present case debarring the workman from important and sensitive jobs is not a hurdle in any way in his promotion or grant of scales. Whether any sensitive or important job is to be assigned or not is an administrative action which is prerogative of administrative authority.

therefore, contention of learned representative that on this account impugned order deserves to be set aside is not tenable.

- 14. The contention of the learned counsel that charge sheet does not mention any list of document, therefore, documents mentioned in the charge sheet cannot be relied upon is also not tenable because in his reply the workman has not taken such plea.
- 15. The learned representative has further submitted that the disciplinary authority has filed to address the issues raised by the workman in his reply. The workman was transferred on 22-11-85 as such it is against the principle of legitimate expectation that he could obtain receipt of stock from dead stock incharge, Shri P.N. Pal and propose recovery of undelivered stock upon the HTC. This issue has not been considered by the disciplinary authority. Further the management witness has stated that the management was expecting that he would come to Orai after 22-11-85 to coordinate with the depot incharge but this was not alleged in the charge sheet.
- 16. Upon perusal of the order dated 2/6 Jan., 1997, passed by disciplinary authority, it is evident that contentions raised by the workman have been considered. He has observed after considering his reply that the workman was incharge rail head, Orai during October, 85 to 22-11-85 and gate pass No. 4420/27 dated 19-11-85 from rail head Orai for FSD Orai for 598 'A' class gunnies was issued during his inchargeship and as such the workman was fully responsible to ensure that contents sent by him are got acknowledged in full instead of leaving the issue at the disposal/mercy of HTC ignoring his responsibility and under protection to said HTC. The disciplinary authority has passed impugned order after considering the issues raised and has also given reasons for not accepting the contentions of the workman. It is settled legal position that the Tribunal cannot re-examine or reappraise the evidence and substitute its own conclusion over the conclusions arrived at by the disciplinary authority. Thus, the contentions of the learned representative in this regard is not tenable.
- 17. Learned representative on behalf of the workman has also urged that Appellate Authority neither called the appellant for hearing nor dealt the issues raised by the workman in appeal, therefore, the order of the Appellate Authority is illegal and liable to be set aside.
- 18. Upon perusal of the Appellate order dated 29-01-98 and its revision order dated 19-05-98, it is evident that order have been passed after considering the contentions raised by the workman. As regards personal hearing, there is no specific provision under rules 67 to 74 pertaining to appeal and revision of FCI (Staff) Regulation 1971 for providing opportunity of personal hearing. Therefore, contentions of the learned representative are not sustainable.

- 19. As regards action of the management of FC1 in not granting the Selection Grade w.e.f. 01-12-97, the learned representative on behalf of the workman contended that selection grade was granted to the workman by means of order dated 26-08-98. The name of the workman was at serial No. 01 of the order and he has been granted selection grade from 01-12-97; but the order has not been released on the ground that the workman was under penalty as on 21-08-96 to 31-12-98; whereas no such penalty order has neither been referred nor produced in this adjudication proceedings a such this embargo is not sustainable nor it can stop the legal right to get selection grade.
- 20. The learned representative on behalf of the FCI has contended that for grant of selection grade to the post of AG I it is specific condition that selection grade shall be issued to the official subject to vigilance clearance. Since on 01-12-97, the workman was under penalty hence his selection grade was not released.
- 21. The management witness Shri Sudip Kumar Saxena has stated that the workman was not entitled for selection grade w.e.f. 01-12-97 with consequential benefits as on the said date the workman was under going penalty. The workman in his cross-examination has also admitted that he had filed an appeal on 01-01-97 against the penalty order and the appeal was decided on 29-01-98. He also filed review petition against appeal order on 10-05-98. Thus, admittedly the workman was under going penalty on 01-12-97, therefore, the action of the management in not granting selection grade w.e.f. 01-12-97 cannot be said to be unjustified.
- 22. As regards promotion to the AG I (D) in the year 2000 is concerned the management witness Dilip Kumar Saxena has stated that the workman has already been promoted to AG I (D) vide order dated 13-7-2001 and he had already joined the promoted post. Whereas the workman has stated that this order was to be issued in year 2000 as Shri P.N. Lal who was junior to him was given promotion in the same circumstances.
- 23. The management has filed copy of the order dated 2/7-7-2001 (paper No. 11/5) which reveals that disciplinary proceedings were initiated against the workman S.K. Tarsolia under Regulation 60 of FCI (Staff) Regulation 1971 vide memo dated 18-3-99 and reply was submitted by the workman on 12-12-2000. The plea taken by the workman were found convincing, therefore, Sr. Regional-Manager dropped the charges imposed against the workman under Regulation 56 of Regulation 1971.
- 24. It is not disputed that the workman was promoted on 08-11-2000 on the ad hoc basis and subsequently vide order dated 06-02-2001 the word 'ad hoc' was also deleted. It is also admitted fact that vide order dated 17-05-2001 (page No. 11/3) order of promotion was cancelled.

- 25. In the above factual back drop it is evident that earlier despite this fact that disciplinary proceeding vide memo dated 18-03-99 under Regulation 60 of FCI (Staff) Regulation, 1971 were pending, the FCI promoted the workman on 08-11-2000; but subsequently cancelled his promotion without mentioning any reason in the order of cancellation. It is also evident that the workman was exonerated of the charges vide order dated 2/3-7-2001 and he was promoted subsequently vide order dated 13-7-2001.
- 26. It is pertinent to mention that penalty of recovery of Rs. 5880 vide impugned order dated 02-10-97 was imposed on the memo dated 22-07-96 and amount of penalty was deposited by the workman under protest on 17-06-98. The appeal against penalty order was rejected on 21-01-97 and review petition was also rejected vide order dated 19-05-98. It is not the case of the FCI that the workman's promotion was cancelled vide order dated 17-05-2001 on account of said penalty of recovery of Rs. 5880.
- 27. In above facts and circumstances if promotion was cancelled on the ground of memo dated 18-03-99, even then after exoneration the workman is entitled to get promotion from the date junior to him was promoted against the penal of year 2000.
- 28. Admittedly, vide order dated 17-03-2001 (paper No. 6/38) Shri P.N. Pal, AG II(D) he was promoted to AG 1 against the panel of 2000 and it is not the case of the FCI that he was not junior to the workman. Therefore, the workman is entitled to get promotion against the penal of 2000 from the date his junior was promoted.
- 29. In view of the above discussion the reference under adjudication is answered as under:
 - (i) the action of the management of FCI in imposing penalty of recovery of loss @ Rs. 5880 along with debarring the workman for three years from all important and sensitive job is neither illegal nor unjustified.
 - (ii) The action of the management of FCI in not granting selection grade w.e.f. 01-12-1997 is also not unjustified.
 - (iii) The action of the management of FCI in not granting promotion to the post of AG I (D) in the year 2000 is not justified and the workman is entitled to be promoted from the date his junior was promoted against the panel of 2000.
 - 30. Award as above.

Lucknow: N.K. PUROHIT, Presiding Officer 26-03-2010

नई दिल्ली, 19 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1202.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सेन्ट्रल रेलवे के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध

में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, लखनऊ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 178/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 19-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

> [सं.-41012/145/2000-आई आर (बी.-I)] सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 19th April, 2010

S.O. 1202.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 178/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Lucknow now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Central Railway and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 194-2010.

[No. L-41012/145/2000-IR(B-I)] SURENDER SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, LUCKNOW

Present:

N. K. PUROHIT, Presiding Officer

I. D. No. 178/2000

Ref. No. L-41012/145/2000-IR (B-I) dated 7-11-2000

BETWEEN

Shri Nand Ram, C/o 119/74, Qr. No. 61 Nasimabad, Darshanpurva, Kanpur

AND

- The Divisional Railway Manager (P), Central Railway, Jhansi-284001.
- The Sr. Divisional Commercial Manager, Central Railway, Jhansi-284001

AWARD

Dated 30-3-2010

1. By order No. L-41012/145/2000-IR (B-I) dated: 7-11-2000 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour, New Delhi in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of sub section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) referred this industrial dispute between Sri Nand Ram C/o 119/74, Qr. No. 61, Nasimabad, Darshanpurva, Kanpur and the Divisional Railway Manager (P) Central Railway, Jhansi

and the Sr. Divisional Commercial Manager, Central Railway, Jhansi for adjudication.

2. The terms of reference under adjudication are as under:

"Whether the action of the management of Divisional Railway Manager/Senior Commercial Manager, Central Railway, Jhansi in termining the services of Shri Nand Ram w.e.f. 14-7-1994 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workmen is entitled to?"

- 3. The case of the workman in brief is that he was employed as waterman at Khairad Jn. Railway Station under DRM, Central Railway, Jhansi and worked upto 15-7-1994 and during his period of engagement he performed all duties of class IV staff apart from supplying water to the officers, staff and passengers. It has been submitted by the workman that whenever he was engaged, after intermittent break, he had to undergo a medical test before engagement. He has further submitted that he worked for more than 126 days continuously during years from 1978 to 1989, and accordingly, as per notifications of Railway Board he became eligible for regularization, but the railway administration instead of regularizing him started paractice of engaging him for less days i.e. for 120 days during years 1990 to 1994 and finally engaged some other person in his place, which amounts to unfair labour practice. It has been alleged by the workman that the action of the management in terminating his services in spite of his working for more than 240 days in every calendar year without any notice or notice pay in lieu thereof or retrenchment compensation, retaining junior to him in the employment and making new appontment without intimating him, amounts to violation of provisions of Section 25-F, G & H of the I.D. Act, 1947 Accordingly, the workman has prayed that his termination dated 15-7-1994 be held unjustified and illegal and he be reinstated with continuity in service and back wages.
- 4. Per contra, the management of Central Railway has filed its written statement, disputing the claim of the workman, wherein it has submitted that the workman was engaged as Mobile Casual Seasonal Waterman for intermittent seasonal work during hot weather for less than 3 months each year from 1978 till 1991 and never completed 120 days continuous service during said period, within a year, as such, temporary status was never granted to the workman. It has been categorically denied by the management that any of the junior to the workman has retained by them and has submitted that the practice of engaging hot weather/summer season, Mobile Seasonai Casual Waterman had been discontinued w.e.f. 1992 consequent to Railway Board's circular dated 3-2-1992 which contained that since water coolers have been provided at railway station for providing cool drinking water to the passengers, the Mobile Seasonal Casual

Watermen should not be engaged during hot weather/summer season. Further, it has been submitted by the management that since engagement of the workman was purely seasonal, intermittent and casual in nature for a fixed terms and also terminable even at earlier dates if the mansoons starts early, therefore, the disengagement of the workman does not amounts to retrenchment as defined in I.D. Act, as such, neither provisions of Section 25 F as well as 2 (00) (bb) of the Act are applicable nor the management of railways has violated any of the provisions of I.D. Act. Accordingly, the management of the railways has prayed that claim of the workman be rejected out rightly.

- 5. The workman has filed rejoinder whereby it has only reiterated his averments in the statement of claim and has not introduced any new fact.
- 6. After submission of documentary evidence in support of their respective claims by the parties, the workman filed his affidavit in support of its version. When the management did not turn to cross-examined the workman in spite of ample opportunity being extended to it; the case was ordered to proceed ex parte against the management and date for management's evidence was fixed. The management filed affidavit of Sh. Preetam Singh, Asstt. Commercial Manager, Central Railway, Jhansi in support of their case and workman cross-examined the management's witness.
- 7. Perused the written arguments filed by both the sides and scanned entire evidence on record.
- 8. It has been admitted by the management witness that the workman had worked from 1978 to 1994 as seasonal casual waterman and he has also admitted that workman had acquired temporary status. The learned representative also contended that instead of regularizing the workman the railway administration has disengaged the workman from 15-7-1994 without any notice or notice pay in lieu thereof or retrenchment compensation and other persons have been engaged in place of the workman. Thus, disengagement of the workman is unjustified and illegal and workman be reinstated with all consequential benefits. The learned representative has relied on the following case laws!
 - 1982 LAB IC 811 L.Robert D'souza Vs. XEN S. Rly.
 - (2) 1992 SCC (L&S) 611 UOI Vs. Basant Lal and others.
- 9. Per contra, the learned representative on behalf of the railway administration has urged that the workman was engaged for hot weather seasonal work for providing water to the passengers at railway stations. No other work was taken from him or else. He would not have been disengaged during summer season. The Mobile Seasonal

Casual Labour got to be disengaged on 15th July or daysthe onset of "Mansoon" which ever was earlier. The learned representative has also submitted that under similar and identical case of Seasonal Casual Water Man the disputed was raised under similar grounds by other workman before the CGIT, Kanpur but in that case no claim award was passed. Apart from this the present case is also liable to be rejected on the ground of delay and laches in raising the present industrial dispute. He has filed uncertified copy of decision dated 28-5-1987 passed in Writ Petition No. 1333/87 N. Rly. Administration Vs. Kushal Kishore and also relied on (2001) 1 SCC 424 Indian Iron Vs. Prahlad Singh.

- 10. I have given thoughtful consideration on the rival submission of both the sides and perused the relevant record in light of their submissions.
- 11. The workman Nand Ram has stated in his statement on oath that he was engaged as waterman from 1-4-1978 and he had worked upto 14-7-1994 but his services have been disengaged from 15-7-1994 and he was informed that some other person engaged in place of him. He has also stated that apart from working as waterman he was also performing the work of permanent nature like delivery of dak, duty on cabin and checking signals etc. Vide order dated 11-2-2002 none was present on behalf of the railway administration, therefore, opportunity to cross examine the workman was closed.
- 12. The management witness Sh. Preetam Singh, Asstt. Commercial Manager, Central Railway, Jhansi has stated that the workman was considered to be engaged as hot weather waterman and no other work was taken from him. He has also denied that any other person as alleged by the workman was engaged in place of him. He has further stated that vide Railway Board circular dated 3-2-1992 the Railway Board has totally withdrawn the policy of deployment of hot weather waterman and Mobile Waterman during summer season completely. In the light of above directions the workman had worked as hot weather waterman upto 14-7-1994 after that nobody either junior or senior to him was engaged as hot weather waterman in summer season. He has further stated that drinking water arrangement has been strengthened over the years by providing water coolers of verying capacities. He has also stated that the workman was lastly engaged in summer season of 1994 as per terms and conditions as laid down in the official letter dated 5-4-1994 (9/7). He has further stated that a panel of screened MRCLs of Commercial department was published on 3-5-1988 with endorsement of conditions for regularization mentioned against the name of each candidate. due to sheer inadvertent mistake and oversight, the name of applicant was wrongly included in the aforesaid list. Even as per his serial position in the said list, much before his name could be considered for regularization and including those of

his seniors in the said list, the said list itself was concelled due to administrative reasons thereafter a fresh notification was issued and pursuance to same screening test was conducted in July/August 1994. A candidates does not acquire a prescriptive right over a post even after appearance of his name in the panel. It is pure discretion of the Government to appoint a candidate from the said pannel or not.

- 13. The management witness has also stated that for benefit of hot weather seasonal waterman the Railway Board has already framed a scheme and issued notification (9/12) that those who fulfil the criteria laid down in the said notification may apply to the screening committee and those who would be found suitable, there names may be considered for regularization against future vacancies by giving them preference over fresh candidates and in pursuance of the said notification the workman has also applied and as per terms and conditions his name would also be considered on his term.
- 14. The workman has adduced photocopies of service record, railway passes issues to him medical fitness certificate and correspondence pertaining to him for appearance in the screening committee etc. A request was made to summon original record but it was submitted on behalf of the railway administration that the period of preservation of attendance and register and day attendance is for 3 years and all previous record upto 31-12-1993 in the said respect have been weeded out similarly the period for preservation of paid voucher is six years and records beyond six years also weed out in due course.
- 15. It is not disputed that the workman had worked as seasonal hot weather waterman. The management witness has admitted in his cross-examination that workman had worked as such from 1-4-1978 to 14-7-1994. The management witness has also admitted in cross-examination that the workman was granted temporary status w.e.f. 2-4-1986 thus, the statement of the workman as regards working as waterman for the period 1978 to 1994 finds support from the evidence of the management witness and it is established from the evidence of the management witness that workman had acquired temporary status w.e.f. 2-4-1986.
- 16. As per Rule 2004 of REM Vol. II except where notice is necessary under any statutory obligation, no notice required for termination of services of casual labour but in case of casual labourers who has obtained temporary status after completion of prescribed period of continuous employment, the period of notice will be determined by the rules applicable to temporary railway servants. Rule 2005 prescribes the entitlement and privilage admissible to casual labourers who are treated as temporary i.e. given temporary status. The casual labour treated as temporary are entitled to the rights and benefits admissible to temporary railway servant as laid down in Chapter XV of

the Manual. the Chapter XV is pertaining to terms and conditions applicable to the railway servant in temporary service. Rule 1502 envisages that services of a temporary railway servant shall be liable to terminate on 14 days notice and lieu of the notice prescribed, it shall be permissible on the part of the railway administration to terminate the services of a railway servant by paying him the pay for period of notice, and in case of railway servant to whom the provision of the I.D. Act, 1947, apply, he shall be entitled to notice or wages in lieu thereof in accordance with the provision of that Act.

17. In the present matter the workman has produced the photo copy of the DRM office personal branch dated 15-12-1986 wherein it has been shown that temporary status was granted to him w.e.f. 2-4-86. Railway administration has not admitted the photo copy and original has not been produced by the railway but management witness himself admitted this fact that the workman was granted temporary status w.e.f. 2-4-1986. The contention of the railway that temporary status granted only for particular year is not tenable. Even seasonal laborers as per Rule 2006 (2) (a) casual waterman for summer season shall be eligible for temporary status after completion of 120 days of continuous employment and once temporary status was granted it may be assumed that such status was granted after completion of statutory prescribed period and once seasonal labour acquired temporary railway servant status by operation of law or by order of the railway administration the condition of services would be governed as set out in Chapter XV of IREM, thus, prior to disengagement of the workman compliance of the provision as regard prior notice or wages in lieu of notice was essential. Admittedly, the procedure has not been carried out in the present matter. But termination of the services of the employee who had acquired temporary status after completion of 120 days continuous service, the authority concerned had to comply with Rule 1502 of IREM Vol. I by issuing 14 days notice prior to termination. The learned representative on behalf of the railway has referred the award passed in the matter of Mobile Hot Weather Watermen by CGIT, Kanpur. The facts of that case are different. It was not an admitted fact in the said award that temporary status was granted to the workmen concerned but in the present case it has been established that such status was granted to the workman. In none of the case laws which have been cited by the learned representative, it was under consideration whether a seasonal labourer who had admittedly acquired temporary status under provision of IREM may be disengaged without complying the provisions under 1502 of the IREM Vol. I.

18. In view of the above discussion since the workmen had admittedly acquired the temporary status in the year 1986 and notice or wages in lieu of notice has not given prior to their disengagement, the action of the railway administration in disengaging the workmen w.e.f. 15-7-1994 is not justified.

- 19. As regarded relief for regularization of the services of the workmen is concerned in rule 2005 of the IREM it has been categorically mentioned that such casual labour who acquired temporary status, will not however. be brought on to the permanent of regular establishment or treated as regular employment in railway untill and unless they are selected through regular selection for group 'D' post in the manner laid down from time to time and subject to such order Railway Board may issue time to time. It further envisages that no temporary post should be created to accommodate such casual labours who acquired temporary status for the conferment of benefits. Thus, such temporary status acquired casual labourer can not calim for regularization as a matter of right. His regularization may be done in terms of railway rules according to his turn keeping inview the practice followed in such cases.
- 20. As regards engagement of some other workman after disengagement of the workman from services the workman has not adduce any evidence in support of his allegations. He has not mentioned the name of the person who has been allegedly retained or engaged after his disengaged thus, he has failed to prove the violation of Section 25-G and H of the Act.
- 21. This contention of the learned representative on behalf of the Railway Administration is not tenable that reference is not maintainable solely on the ground of delay as proviso of Limitation Act are not applicable in the matter of Industrial Dispute Act. The facts of the case law cited by learned representative on behalf of the Railway Administration are distinguishable. However, while considering question of back wages delay in raising industrial dispute may be taken into consideration.
- 22. In view of the above discussions the workman is entitled for reinstatement as temporary status employee. The workman disengaged in the year 1994 but he has raised the industrial dispute at very belated stage. Moreover, he has not stated that he did not remain in any gainful employment since 1994. Having regards to the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the interest of justice would be subserved by reinstating the workman without back wages.
- 24. Accordingly, the reference under adjudication is answered as under:
 - (i) Since the workman had acquired temporary status the action of the management disengaging the workman w.e.f. 15.7.1994 in violation of provisions of Section 25-F and IREM is unjustified, the workman Sh. Nand Ram is entitled to be reinstated as Seasonal Labourer having temporary status in service without back wages on available vacancies.

- (iv) The raisway administration to themer directed to comply the direction to reinstant the workmon against ovalishing accurates as expedituously as possible and presently which 3 months from the late of receipt of the order of the award.
- 25. The reference under adjudication is answered accordingly.

26. Award as above

SUCT NOW: 30-3-2010

N. K. PURCHAT, Presiding Officer

नई विल्लानी, 19 अप्रैल, 2010

का, अ. 1205, — भौहोगिक विवाद अधिविध १७४७ (१९४७) का (४) हो पता १७ के अनुसरण में, कंग्होंय सरकार इंस्टर्न रेहावे के प्रयंध्यक्ष के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और तनके यहांकारों के पीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिण औद्योगिक विधाद में कंग्होंय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, आसनसील के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 6/2607) को प्रवर्ण पर वस्ति है, जो कंग्होंय सरकार को 19-4-2010 को प्राप्त पुरुष था।

[र्न. एल-४१**012/76/2**007 - आहे आर (सी. ()] 'सुरेन्द्र सिंह, चेएवा अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 19th April, 2016

S.O. 1203.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (14 of 1947), the Coursi Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. (12007) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Labour Court. Assessed now as shown in the amorate, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Eastern Railway and their workman, which receive 1 by the Central Government on 19-4-2019.

Pho. 1-4101.270/2005-R2(B-1)

SURENDRA SINGH, Ded Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE COURT OF CENTRAL COVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ASANSOL

Reference No. 6/FFC/2007

Management of Eastern Ranway, Asansol

Vs

Vice President, Fastern Reihvay Quasi Employees'
Union, Asanso!

SETTLEMENT IN LOK ADALA? Held on 11th December, 2009 at Kajora Guest House

AWARD

On amicable settlement by both parties the dispute is resolved in the Lok Adalat. The form 'H' containing the

renns of agreement of this settlement to be executed by both the parties in due course. Award is passed and signed accordingly

MANORANIAN FATTNAIK, Presiding Officer पर्ड दिल्ली, 19 अप्रैस, 2016

कर, अर. 1204. — औद्योगिक जिवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का (4) की धारा (7 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टंट बैंक ऑफ टॉटिया के प्रचंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनकों कर्मकारों के चीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण शव म्यायालय, चण्डीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदम संख्या 339/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 19-4-2010 को प्राप्त दुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/166/99-आई आर (बी-1)] सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डंस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 19th April, 2010

S.O. 1204.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 339/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court-1. Chandigarh as shown in the annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of State Bank of India and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 19-4-2010.

[No. L-12012/166/99-IR(B-1)] SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXGIRE

BEFORE SHRI GYANENDRA KUMAR SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-1, CHANDIGARH

Case I.D. No. 339/2001

The General Secretary, State Bank of India Staff Congress, 1304, Sector-23-B, Chandigarh

... Applicant

Versus

The Regional Manager, State Bank of India, Region-Ul, Lower Lokkar Bazar, Shimla (H.P.)

... Respondent

APPEARANCES

For the Workman : Shri Raj Kanshik For the Management : Shri Ashok Gupta

AWARD

Passed on: 6-4-10

Government of India vide notification No. L-12012/ 166/1999-IR (B-I) dated 6-12-2001 by exercising its powers under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, (the Act et alicentes, referred the codeming subgradul dispulse on sale mar . In this Tribunas

Wester the serior of the emissioner of State dank of mile. Stands (U.C.) in denying the coasts of at the First Assis of India and Congress is give ocsie, w was enc. to ale i handlist with is journed by not contrast relieface upplicated a emisted of

About tocarries, the references parable want in few and Partie hoposeda and filed their respective pleadlets and percent of the statement of their filed by the area many any appeared that workman contracted on the around tone to was appointed by the Branch Meaners. Edesper Section of State Back of bullions Wennenga, inch confined by the boy. It midition to mis be wan stortagehed to please and were the in the compleyees of the mark or one the and he was given usely arism as excessed boy 11 to as pand the wayon for all the work was a conserval as a period of sins decided on all remandration to the permanent groups. Conplayers of the back, where so have a doing of the account of permanear group-D employees. On the basis of a above facts. The scorleman requested for an order promiting him equal terromeration as paid to the other permanengroup-D marloyeds working in the management of respondent block.

The chair was contested by the mean-general pfiling written statement. The prefinancy objections was taised that there was no employer employee relations' in between the workings and the expendential of respondent bank as the working a way apparented to water bey by horas implementative Committee. The Local implementation Committee has no concern scale me hank, has a coefficient committee approved by the resignment of respondenbank without legal relationship with the bank.

It is almosted by the radougement of respondent peak that incoment on workings has also expelled as cannot worker on daily wages in addition to be, defens as water my two which he was gold dolle wages

Partice area offorded the opportunity for adductor evidence, workness field has applied in support of his claim and be was cross-examined by learned concest on the management on 3th-7-2008. Likeways Shri Suddy Koman Negi Charles - fildevic on behalf of the respondent bank and he was as is examined by lean ed counsel for the work one on 100,0000 Parties were beard at length. Heave gone through the entire materials on record. Admittedly the workmar has morked in two capacities. In the capacity, of a canteen very appointed by Glocal lugiomentment Committee) and as daily casual worker incommittendy podaily wages at six

So the more working in first departively concern, the Local Invitorentation Commune is a welfare measure adepard by the management of respondent bank, it has its and consecution with President Secretary and Mesaker, it is an impendently make by the office become for providing we are other such facilities to the month of

State and the color of the second section is touchemostation over the configuration of a them should be a first than the property of er notify the social country of production of the and Allen Everything and a self-original to Between the Den and provide the contract of that end is that a tay has the label of the label of the label. Carallan sagemal person open et la company soft admit the stiff fraud to the engineering of mathetic material in a first of their operations of worth a sometiment of water production and the but contains out to or all the conin the back contraction of any time of the furness of at after 1 considers on a section is the con-

to the Alica with the adjusted to the tracts brown conservation and stoler (1) the site of the greater has easy as well agree to get the more restant of the territory property of the MARCH STRANGER OF THE STORE ST that hold incomparity on an expedition to the con-野球物 机多次性偏角 群心 医内侧丛的 化二氯化二氯化 that he may not placed as some arms of a superior the new manes with the party ward you will not PONCE With the capturing the contract of the con-Of the Hard term is visual from a forterior or position of the mages of their winterest processes processes and of the bank

The workings persons for a square of to a plant her many six on the property of maniference of the report of the legal of State on wake more cover. 1 1 2 enticle for an income on a second of the stone Meserrally of the ingerior payment and the very mean appropriation as particles. ΦΦ disential very new section visiting in the contract of and any distribution of the growing property of the control of norm on all the committee of a property of the committee of other steep. According of the Areas I have been a purity with pay organized for the consideration Chapter area Appearance in the contract of the if it needs has been appearanted to the restriction of type, using the rates on a by the continue to the providing the vigor forces, and the god object of a the and the distribution of the logic ways in the hard spectralistic ends given a region to promite a regional ends. Productioned regardade using many the open progetting exemptional comments of the Service consequence regular sunremains of course, for percentage in the Back of other this, on his hadden as temperature of no nem sa chiero wavev as esc. The permitting is the sacountries of State Browning to the May have ere until their catalogue in it in a compact of the con-ETAN ESPERANTE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR 2012 Sept Washington Com-

नह विकर्त । १९ जिल्ला, एवं १६

क हार 1205, - जंग्लोगक विवाद अधिनयम् १९४१ (१९४७ हो १०) का यह ११ के अनुसरण में, कंन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट वेक ऑफ इंडिन के अपने हों के अनुसरण में, कंन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट वेक ऑफ इंडिन के अपने हों के अनुसर्व में में के के अनुसर्व में में हिए और होंगिक मिलत में बार्च प्रस्कार जीवाहिक और होंगिक एकि होंगिक सरकार जीवाहिक होंगिक कि राज्य संस्था (21/2002) औं अपने का अन्य के हैं। यो कंपींक सरकार का 19-4-2010 की प्राप्त के एक

्रात्तः चंद्रकः ,हरू । 2012/76/2002 - ब्राह् अत्र (कि.न.)] सुरेन्द्र अम्बः, ब्रीकः अस्तिकारी

Mery Escapedio 19th April 2040

Tet 1218 of pure made of heating 12 of the industrial common end action problems the award (Ref. No. 12) (1902), the Central common heating (Ref. No. 12) (1902), the Central industrial (Ref. No. 12) (1902), the Central industrial (Ref. No. 12) (1902), in the transition of Dispute between the many common of heat for the limit of train and then workman, in the mas received by the Central Convergence to 12 (1916).

STATE FOR A SECOND TRACTOR STATE OF THE SECOND ASSOCIATION OF THE SECOND SECOND OF THE SECOND OF THE

2.15年1月1日

THE THE SHE SYMMENDER RUMAN SHARMA THE THE OFFICER CENTRAL COVERNMENT THE DEPLACE RECORD COUNTS. CHAPPEGINE

Carl F 11 (So. 121/246).

untersauren Grighe Demour bewoerr Demoure Korthall, Congress, Demoure Gerich Demoure Gericheld Demoure

. Northcara

Versal

tende bende elembre.
The result of manual foldinger.
The result and Matha.
Therefore Count Office, Hangah.
Therefore grafic

Ausgemittent

SPPEAR XM FS

The Sandeer Anardway

The Sandeer Anardway

The Sandeer Anardway

The Sandeer Anardway

under Section 13 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (the Act in short), referred the following industrial dispute for adjudication to this Telbonal.

"whicher the action of the Asso. Coneral Manager, State Brant of India. Region-L. Punjah, Chandigace in terminating the service of Shri Jugdev Singh, En-Messenger is justified 3.11 not what relief the workner, as entitled."

After receiving the reference parties were summand, parties appeared and filed they respective pleadings. On penusal of the pleadings of the workman the care of the workman in and shell is that he was appointed by the management as messenger. State Bank of testia Sector / Madhya Marg, Chandlearh branch. He was said wares Rs. 1,000 per month from the Welfare Fund and Rs. 40, 50 per day from netly cash and the entire amount was paid monthly, laitedly he was paid Rs. 400 per month which was mercused to Rev. 1,000 per month, forther increased to its. 1,400 and subsequently was reduced to Rs. 1,000 in April 2009. In this capacity he has worked as conteen boy but he was also entrusted other work of the bank and he was paid doily wages at the rate of its 40%0 per dev. He performed his deties sincerely but his services, were terminated without decice or payment of one manufactures in field of actice and without payment of rependancial compensation, He had completed 240 days in the preceding year from the date of his termination His termination is void and diegal being against the on visions of the Act.

The management of the bank appeared and consisted the petition by filing written statement. The proliminary objections was raised that their had been no employed and employed relationship between the workman and the management of respondent bank. The workness was appointed as estateen boy by the L. L.C. (Local Impliens merion Committee; without is an independent body and has no concern with the functioning of the bank. As such to was not constoned of the bank and he was fullwoods from the funds of the Local Implementation Commutee, Rest of the comentions of the workman have been also direct by the management but it is admitted that on some occasions he was also encusted the work or the bank for which he was paid daily wages from occus cash in draw water has no right to post. He has not completed 140 mays in the preceding year from the date of his termination as a daily water with the bank

On purusal of the inhadings of the parties in is ovident that workman has worked with the cank in two capacities. The first capacity is as a canteen by appointed

of the name of many of the back, the conteer bor cannot claim pocky. The the employees of the bank and figure cannot rivers by a recession of his services on account of his workers at a stateen box.

The serious capacity in which the workman has worked is only sugger. There is no dispute on this last a the workers his use worked as a daily wager and acwas palary to a meeting perty cash. His working as a daily was a left the management of the braik is protect to under the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, nevtype of implemental may be daily mager or causal is protected upon. The provisions of the Industrial Disperse Act. If the correlate of the workman had been terminated against the area of the Aer, such termination win be illegal and were co-initio in does not meen that industrial Disputer that were latter the termination of the staylees of the dally warms worker but the Act regulator the terminary a little combination of a daily waged weaker is regulared to the score that terromotion should be succeeded by an about course or payment of one more wages in her of notice along with the payment of remeachroup, a novelessition. If it is not done the terminates on the treat waged worker shall be void acted against the provinces of the Act.

The the regeneral has contended that a durity waged worker was a war pold from petty cash has no right to be se-It is true that a fail a wagod worker has no right to nost, i am not include to accept the contention of the management that he workmen was used wages from the perty cash over be, is not entitled for the protection of the provisions of the Act. Payment from perty cash is mode of payment where their be considered direct payment by the management is it the inter se arrangement of the manageres it consoling the payment of wages. The protection and many provisions of the Act, to a daily wagest worker bure assession of his right to work. He cannot be illegative rains of the connected terminated usalist the provided and the Ame (Clinic dame has a counted for the protection of his work which he was working before his termination is a composition triple of the working. under the more a last of the same pix eacht to priority of work. The comment comes pick and thoose in the manus of a table for the heading casent has to adopt a policy. of first coare a more and it there is any requirement of a dath, we well as a safter the reconnection of the services. the priority of all the given to the extremology. These, rights are managed as said absolute and are not disminingious. Thus, little such is removed from the opposity of the centern boy has also been differ 240 day or more in the preceding year from the Let of this termination, he is entitled for the protection of the provisions of the net. The working has specifically stated that he has completen 240 days of week in the proceeding open. For each of date of his termination and he is satisfied the the provincement of the provinces of the Act. The worseen has worked for long period w.c.f. 1955

to 2001, it is the specimen by tennel on one recommendation day of the tropics of the type of the exercise is to Seem Leville, this terms are a the many areal officers the few congradition from Some Popular Landing Conwater rests, by him is a morning of the Buthe and the or The War 1992 while there are the consequences have a received ductions are well as some advise water or they be someonee for how our more full to shared he can recognize the HOS ENGLISHED WITH A TO U.S. TAKEN TO LOST OF SE which to was your stormings from our rock the w Less for a fighter personal and an entertain who with the analyse every less and the water to be existently other than the marketing and a large wased with the han the countries of the discountries as the probables, som store som som of a combination. The Now the Control of th the gody and regular from I flow of the end of the work with pleaned and unity about his their amore periods, of the explicit If the right hast between handers of the reper known drows to a Amerika selectriber vas asid seams erec i barre de en al al DESI SOMETIMEN COOK PERMITTING THE TRIBE THE COOK AND ARE ARE TO single day it with the little of the many through the contribution. The management has of in First of it place make it nometons, there aim perment should incompress in a Received to be been park from the subject to be being and Whish the this week it is some than had ance began proceeding your from the day, is a residence in the day. mosted worker has be one personal respect from personal as As visite being the little provides of the introduction partisonussin was to recibile growings, the may prove odd to bank may be party on the x a discovering a read

Than I got there she was to be come a common to be some the bis worken and conductable the assistant again, we are as cally wages absence vital to comprehensing as tener He has a rayle for Sand his relivery for the proporting pro-Guar Se dow of his early ending his deep series (1974) in Administration and second users we represent the resolutions of the second with the common one country a group by a series out to allow the properties of the end of the second statement of the second teranticare research is released to the service of the service of

AND THE CHARGE COME OF THE RESERVED to be well the Meson of the temperation and the are moto to reduced the source industrial for which has a Particularly to the entropy of the following and the carry poerfording was $\sigma = \log_{10}$ ordered by a character was the the decrease of the Area of the meaning of the exercise jurisprediction for a reasonness of the seat ones of all not be easily in a contract of the visite in the contract. depends on the Letterine Lieuwing and the first whenever a vice of the contract and to a less get of hill the could be an one on a colored by concern a by in the garagest Of the war many man Comment is made as one operations are a Where is transported to the lighter with the first of the sec grant content of the transconding is the border body in making a efaceaeae compandible on this are the mission in has become all a found one there has been a bosen

workman and as per the policy of the management appointment of casual worker are not permitted. The Law also prefers the regular appointments and the reinstatement of the services of a daily waged worker cannot be substituted as his regular appointment. This Tribunal cannot work as a appointing authority of the bank. Regular appointment has to be made as per the procedure laid down in the rules. Thus, under the circumstances prevailing in this inclustrial dispute, I am of the view that grievances of the workman should be remedied by payment of ceasonable compensation.

Whenever, the compensation is paid to the workman, a depends on so many factors as compensation should be reasonable and accordingly it is bound to be based on the reasonable criteria. The criteria which are adopted by the Tribunal for calculating reasonable compensation are the length of service workman has served with the management, amount of wages which he was getting during his services with the management, one month wages at the time of termination, lawful terminal dues, interest thereon, inflation, variable index factor etc. I am also of the view that the cost of litigation which the workman incurred to enforce his lawful right before this Tribunal on account of his illegal termination should also be considered Considering all the facts and circumstances of the case and the factors mentioned above, the compensation of Ra. 2.00,000 (two lakks only) will be a reasonable commensation for redressing/redress the grievances of the workman, it will meets the ends of justice. Accordingly, the management is directed to pay the workman an amount of Rs. 2.00,000 (two lakhs only) within one month from the date of publication of the award. If the management opted to pay the aforesuld compensation to the workman within one month from the date of publication of the award no interest need to be paid, failing which the workman shall also be entitled for an interest at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from the date of filing claim petition till final payment. Appropriate Government be informed for publication of award, and thereafter, file be consigned to record room.

G. K. SHARMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 19 अप्रैल, 2010

का, आ, 1206,—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनयम, 1947 (1947 का. 14) की धाग 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक ऑफ गिकानेर एन्ड जयपुर के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकरों के बीन, अनुषंध में निर्दिण औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 71, 2007) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 19-4-2010 को शाफ हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/127/2005-आई आर (बी-I)] सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी New Delhi, the 19th April, 2010

S.O. 1206.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 71/2007) of the Central Government industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Delhi as shown in the Annexere, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 19-4-2010.

[No. L-12012/127/2005-IR(B-I)] SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE DR. R. K. YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, KARKARDOGMA COURTS COMPLEX, DELHI

I. D. NO. 71/2007

Shri Ram Kumar Dube S/o Shri Ram Dutare, R/o 108, ESI Hospital Colony, Basai Darapur, New Delhi

... Workman

Versus

The Manager,
State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur,
ESI Hospital,
Basai Darapur Branch,
New Delhi

... Management

AWARD

1. A tea vender used to supply tea at Extension Counter, State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur, located at £.5.1 Hospital Complex, Basai Darapur, New Delhi, Casual jobs were taken from him by the Bank at intermittent period. He was under an impression that performance of casual job at the said extension counter would create a right in his favour for absorption in the services of the bank. When this impression was wiped out by the bank authorities, he raised an industrial dispute before the Conciliation Officer. Since conciliation proceedings failed, the appropriate Government referred the dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication, vide order No. 1-12012 127/2005-IR (3-4), New Delhi, dated 8-10-2001, with the following terms:

"Whether the action of the management of State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur, Basai Darapur Branch, New Delhi in terminating the services of Shri Ram Kumar Dubey w.e.f. 21-3-2003 is justified? If not, what relief the concerned workman is enabled to?"

2. Claim statement was filed by Shri Ram Kunar Dube pleading therein that he was employed as peon, in Basai Darapur Branch of State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur since July, 1992. He served the bank continuously to their

entire sonstaction. He was hever served with a matter or charge sheet, the way each on daily wages basic to Rs. 160 per day. He was not given pay weekly off, holidays or earned leave one Suppliery facilities like ESI. Provident Fund, medical radiaties, conveyance and house rent elenot provided to him. He valsed a Jemsand for the facilities and also the regularization of the services. When he insisted for his demand, the bank nutionities feli annoved. His services were terminated on 21-3-2003, without assigning any reactor. His pay for the month of Mench. 2003 was not cald. Across of the management in terminating, his services was in contravention of the provisions of section 28 m, 25 mil and 25 - H of the industrial Dioputes Acr. 1947 (in shoot the seco. The said action is diegal and liable to be set as its. Inc., been speinstatement in persons of the bank with combined and full back wages.

- 3. Coulest was given to his claim by the management preasing that there existed no existenship of employee and erange, at between the parties. Kan: Kumar Dube was never on any foll of the management bank, hence there was an question of serving any memo or change sheet which the anti-oriell tea in the compound of SSI bospital. On accounting he used to clean bank premiers and sapply wager, to exigencies. He was never in the employment of the bank, even as a daily wager, it has born denied that he was paid his viriges @ Rs. 100 per day. There was no one scope of providing timewith the facilities. such as weekly off, holicays this cappaincave, homes and Provident fond in this denied that he raised a deniand for the eforciand is amons as well as for regularisation at his ion. It has turcher been denied that the bank felt arms yed and terms and his sergices will fill 14-3-2003. It has been projected (by Ram Kurner Dube filed a win petition bearing No. 10. 5, 2007 before High Court of Driba. which was disposed or vide order dated 30-4-2007. It has been claimed that Shel Rom Kurner Pube is not emitted to any relief and his claim statement is devoid of ments.
- 4. The claiman examined homself (WW 1). Shri P Katherwal (w w2) Neb / Mohali Upadhyay (WW3) and Mohan Singh (WW4) in support of his claim. Shri A. *.. Meena was examined on behalf of the memoration.
- 5. Argument, were heard at the but. Claimant presented facts in norson. Shri Garish Kumar Vermaauthorised regressementive, raise his submissions on behalf of the tomassional. There given my careful considerations to the arguments advanced at the ber and continuely perused the record of a findings on issues involved in the controversy are se labours :
- 6 Ram Know. Oabe testified that he was working as peon in E.S.i. Propriat branch, usera: Octaput, istacc Bank of Bikaner and Jaipar since 1992. His duty hours were from 10 AM 14.5 PM, britially he was paid (4) Rs. 300 PM as his wages in the year 2051. There efter, he was paid @ Rs. 100 per day. He continuously worked with the

aforestal branch of the public of the entire of the entire of the entire of pay in hearthur the was a summer of the construction for of the lob in column measure for a construction of nis place. Retrenchment to president a to the english han the ensed on interior laying to this are a second closed on the Constitution to be a list of the before High Common there I have not been a selected to Counterpropagate decreamentation, and a fine of the Felheraut, Certificates the 300 to a training the 190 to the espend by the books Payt and a conservation and are sude yougher by MWM with abusiness of the control of these eventualizable about the base of an object to a conever the record to show that it is a first out of the a long period, its admire topological and a serior is risued to have the further consequence by the consequence of dia Manager et de bank for o la colonia de l of the bearer of the union and the space are to be a control. The new conviction was broken in the control of the control

- SHOP NO FOR EXCHANGE COMPANY OF THE PAR working as which to be to be a confirst the end of the Paragraphy Sew Delta to the confirst term of the confirst term. Pakanar and Joseph Carlot and Joseph Co. Brown of the Deaths for the English Course and the last and manch have a more pulsar and the and permitted the instance of the contract of expension cern, er of 1960 in the section of the stars IV employees the death and the first transfer and at the the wall of it to a strain of a small of the strain Tiplicana Gad Mende Montal Colored Colored Colored Service Committee to the Michigant of the control o the rest During discourse for a vice size of the colored to the following the visite of the color of the color thes Half complex for blooming of the Complex when he would be written in a con-Rama Komen Dube bedana in the event of the company salabhana, gaidea shache reas an arriver a choice an tised to open herby reinters which to every like in the case. discovering the according to the exercised of the exercise enables, on all the maps. He is consistent to the second of the SANS ANGRED IN THEIR CONTROL OF A CONTROL OF correleanesti.
- for Smarth Charles and for the control of the evisión may be encome contença en los calegorias en los filosos Extrason causes Asher to Andrew Comment adjusted to the fifther of the bound of the conwere the Exercise of the control of the energy of the Change of the standing the contract of serving bord accomplished in a little of the design Destructing a large Revaluation of the end of the control of en bereinn contince of a force of the electrical entries in the electrical same 1975. The beginner transfer where an Horsel Care kumar Duba manadiring yese ta essaga dae 🕟 🥫 🥫 🥫 in the expension counter (Date part to the action of the examination he administration to the second of the control of leaving patients anatopset dailing his tory and the

cally homes were trace (1.30 AM to 3.30 PM. Bank used to open in 10 AM and close at 4.00 PM. He presents that he never went to the bank leaving his job unartended. He was going to the bank for doing transactions in his saving bank account for the tast three years. He had not seen already used and appointment is not of Scri Dube. He found than kin an Onthe incode the bank premises, serving tea to already a ording there. He denied that Ram Kurnor Oube according ties smill in the compound of E.S.I. Hospital.

4. Mehan Shigh deposed that he was working in Vitchen Section of ESI Hospital since 1987. In 1991-92 a stension counter of the State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur were expined in ESI Hespital Complex, Basal Darapur, New Delay. Since then he was maintaining saving bank account to the said Fritension Courter, He used to visit Extension France offen and then. He saw Ram Kumar Dube working a grown and only wage basis in the said Extension Counter. within and then he used to hand over his cheques to Ram Homes Dube set deposit in his saving bank account. Once or twice Dube has withdrawn money from his bank account at the instance. During the course of cross-examination, he admits that he never got any respite from my duties. He sequested Shift Kaip Europi Debe to depend cheques in his hans account, since he was working there, tince he hegeted from Mr. Meena, who was working in the bank and he told that Rota Kumar Dube was working as peon on watty wage baris

10. Stor A. R. Meena swears to his affidavit that Rom Kumar Dabe used to sell tec in ESI Hospital compound, the was contracted to clean bank premises and supply wager to rud when regular sweeper was absent. He was never employed by the bank. Relationship of employer and employee never existed between him and the management. No supervision and control was exercised by the bank on the claiment. There was no question of transparum of his services. He was engaged to clean the brank gramuses and supply water on 1-5-2001, 22-7-2002 to 1.7-7-2002 and 17-11-2002 to 23-11-2002. He was paid mough voughors for that period. He never worked combacously for 740 days. An extension counter of the both has two employees only. There haver existed any I work vitor regular employee to that extrusion counter Harring the course of the cross-exemination, by projects the Dube worked only for 14 days to the said extension unioner and was paid (a) Rs. 100 per day for those days. He article that combinates Ex. MW1/W1, Dx. HW1/W2, Ex. TWO DATE RELIGIOUS TO WAS BESIDES VOUCHERS EX. MWI/WS agen asserd by the bank. Ex. MW I/W6 is the copy of the copie servicy that bank to Assist in: Labour Commissioner (Central) in response to the plaint of the workman. He further directs that the workings opened so account No. 1113 at Brown Fore Pur branch of State Bolds of Bildock and Jaipur. verem he vies impact his residential address as 214 ESI Andone Bress Darabur, Delhi, He projects that he is not

aware that invitation card mark B was received by the claimant at the extension counter of the bank. Photo copy of I. Card mark C does not show nexus of the claimant with the bank. Bahwant, who is working as peon in Jawata Heri branch of the bank, might have sent invitation card mark C. Since the claimant was serving to a is E.S.I. compound as well as at extension counter of the bank, his name might have been mentioned on card mark C. He could not say whether mark D running in 8 leafs were in the hand writing of the workman or not. Mark E (running in 8 leafs) are not on prescribed register of the bank.

11. When testimony of the aforesaid vitnesses were closely perused, it came to light that the workings places reliance on document mark D and E. These documents bear stamp of State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur, Extension Counter, CSI Hospital, Basai Darapor, New Dethi-15, Except that stamp, one cannot make out that these documents are related to transactions performed at the aforesaid extension counter. The claimant projects that these documents were prepared by him while serving as a peon in the bank when closely perused mark D and E purport to be copy of peon book. One cannot say that the said peon book was maintained at the aforesaid extension counter Neither original of the said peop book has been produced not mark D and E are proved by the claimant to be in his own band. Mere affixation of stamp of mark D and E would not attribute authenticity to these documents. When genuineness of the documents are within the ambit of doubt, these documents cannot esponse claim of Shri Dube. Though the clamant projects that he served at the extension counter of the bank since 1992, yet except Ex. MWI/WI to Ex. W5 no other document has been brought over the record to substantiate his claim. Occular facts deposed by Chri Dube or his witnesses are vague Though Shri Dube claims to have been serving the bank for a very long period, yet he could not place his appointment letter or any other document to prove that he was an employee of the bank. His witnesses also detail facts in the same vein. Except a few document, no material has been brought over the record to show that Shri. Dube was an employee of the bank. As deposed by Shri Meena Dube worked with the bank as a casual employee that too for a very short period. This conclusion is substantiated out of the documents proved by the claimant. These documents go to discard occular facts projected by him and his witnesses. Ex. MW1/W1 projects that Shri Dubc served the bank for six days from 22-7-02 to 27-7-02 for which he was paid @ Rs. 100 per day. Ex. MW1/W2 was issued in respect of payment released in his favour for work performed by him on 1-5-2002. Ex. MW1/W3 is copy of Ex. MW1/W2. Ex. MW1/W4 relates to the payment released in favour of Shri Dube for work performed by him from 17-11-02 to 23-11-02. Ex. MW1/W5 relates to release of Rs. 600 in favour of Dube. Therefore, out of these documents it simple emerge over the record that Shri Dubc

worker a security with the bank. Facts projected by Shri Meens stand substantiated by these documents.

- 13 "Councious Service" has been defined by section 15% of the Act. Under sub-section (1) of the said section, "topping our service for a period" may comprise of two period via (i) uninterrupted service, and (ii) intercuping services on account of (a) sickness, (b) authorized waves, (c) an accident, (d) a strike which is not logal, and accessors, and (f) a cessation of work that is not due to any have on the part of the workman, shall be included in the legacinuous service. sub-section (2) of the salar comes provoduces a fiction to the effect that even if a work has a not in "continuous service" within the meaning (Yalansa ()) for a period of one year or six months, no shall be the road to in continuous service for that period under an employer if he has actually worked for the days specialed to services (a) and (b) thereof. In Vijav Kumar Majook Pint 1971 I. C. 1180) it was held that one year's period as well used by sob-section (2) furnished a unit of meas we and o during that unit of measure the period of service actually condered by the workman is 240 days, they he can be considered to have rendered one year's continuous servals for the purpose of the section. The idea is that if within a unit period of one year a person had put to at least 346 days of service, then he must get the benefit contenue by the Act. Consequently, an enquiry has to be made to find out whether the workman has actually worked for not less than 240 days during a period of 12 calcoder months immediately preceding the regeneration
- 15. Evalence brought over the record through the depositions of Stai Dube and his witnesses does not satisfy limbs test of ordinary human behaviour and tenets of veracity. How an employee was given employment in the bank without an appointment letters, has not been explained. Why he could not produce any record relating to release of his wages? Where record of his attendance has gone? Who his name was not these amongst the employees of the bank? These questions remained unanswered Deficiency of facts on these issues make occular testimony of Shri Dube and his witnesses unworthy of credit. Hence their depositions are discarded while counting the master of days for which Shri Dube worked with the base. When their depositions are discarded, no material remains over the record to show that the claimant has worked continuously with the management bank for 240 days in a calendar year. When management bank used to release payment by way of vouchers in favour of Dube for castal lobs performed by him, he would have placed those youthers before this Tribunal in case he would have worked wide the bank in the years 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98. 99, 2000 and 2001. Absence of payment vouchers for these years falls nearly on his claim. On the other hand facts projected by Shri A. K. Meena are substantiated by documents Ex. MW1/W1 to Ex. MW1/W5. Relying those

- piece of documentary evidence and testimony of Shri Meena it is concluded that Dube had worked for a period of 14 days only with the management bank that two on casual basis. Consequently it is avident document has not been able to show that he work it continuously with the management bank for a period of 240 days in a calendar year.
- casual employee cannot project that he is not aware about nature of his employment. He accepts casual employment the accepts casual employment is knowing well about the nature and consequence of relationship entered into between him and the employee. By accepting casual employment, he admits that he employment will come to an end when the job would can be to an end. In such a situation he cannot project that he is a regular/temporary employee whose services case on a dispensed with. Shri Dube was also aware that he because casual employee he cannot expect permanency of his size.
- 15. A " seasional workman" is engaged in a pair which lasts during a particular season only, while a temporary workman may be engaged either for a work temporary or casual nature or temporarily for work of a permanent nature, but a pecmanent workman is one who is engaged in a work of permanent nature only. The distinction between permanent workman engaged on a work expermanent nature and a temporary workman cassaged or a work of permanent nature is, in fact, that a nempowers workman is engaged to fill in a temporary need of exact hands of permanent jobs. Thus when a workman is engaged on a work of permanent nature which have throughout the year, it is expected that he would construct there permanently unless he is engaged to fill in a temporary need. In other words a workman is entitled to expect permanency of his service. Law to this offect was lapt or the Apex Court in Jaswant Sugar Mills (1961 (1) LL164%)
- 16. As per the case of the claimant his name was not called from the Employment Exchange to appoint him as a casual employee. Record tells that for a tew days a was engaged for casual jobs by the management masses Consequently it is evident that the workman was engaged for a work of casual nature that too for a few days. A casual workman would not acquire permanency of secure. Such proposition was laid in Rohtas Industries Limited Vs. Brignandan Pandey (1956(2) LLJ 444).
- 17. Some casual workmen employed in a Canteconaised demand of permanency in service. The Tribunal directed that from particular date they should be treated as probationer and appointed in permanent vacancy without going into the question as to whether more than permanent workmen were necessary to be appointed in the canteen, over and above the existing permanent strength to justify the making of the casual workman a permanent, where they were working. Neither there was any permanent vacancy in existence nor the Tribunal

 e. a. Januarenes de al seve pasis. When the perfective ched Only Copy of view and outside first the Tallettal was an areas of the residence there executions the various among man Jinga kepada ing apalah pigada sa permahanah yang bahasan and or a engineer communical the Apost Court In Hondustra in connection United System work map (1975) CH Lad 556)

August I was a self-facility facility in light and theft Diebo has in the control which he noted the bank at a cusual and the fact the far a very short period. Wash his ments only despended on rich arcrued achis tayour a read number to have attenuise it is not case of see, that he was cannaed by me bank in consonance earth an earth ann thairs. The admire that to appein an an which is a result of the Toyona, big had not gone torough and action of spendiment. His name was not sponsored the facilities are years a continuous for the job. To such a situation home a cost of employed to right accrue the his favour to chied characterists

17 In the Own (2006 (4) SCC if the April Court consists of the proposation anto whether the particle who the course bearing a throat formating of a legister properties. process specifical action of an desire was encome be ordered related to promote the figure poets, to derived regular contaminante de poste concernado l'accesa of decasions was a support were considered and the court declined and when any ones of the work town to be heade perhapsion the permitted, were weld by them in temporary or ad hoc y many term wirly long shoir. The Court relocities:

> A configuration and y stignal disense from the allowed to with the former to the control of the and including the transporting or investment problems passed this closel, most specific because to undersomethe State making regular and provide recomments, and is tourn and to in the age or shut the eyes in this everestant than episyon of the rules of regular remainment. The have then to make permanents the distinction to accept explication and and major samoal, was and payment of hore heat only incorning the State the manager appropriate the try and a sed would the for my me neglectic, no a first of the cost of many analogic compate. Was respect the districtions made Learning of the 1997 of the Collection is common them. covering and with the conclusion in part 45 of the by the hydrogen there in William promatine speeds it approprie and the tractal and the directions clearly mass and energy after consumptional scheme of employment recommend in the earlier part of the decision, really, a copper la said that this decision has laid down the feet and oil of how mangement a discrete applyings my long to their contributioning or righter coordinates. penersum applette make perachen.

to the type code of home of resear decisions, the Apply That he hill by a facility to done or curriery a power to appropriately in the sold Article 102 of the Constitution. The Coart quoted its decision in Girish Jyanti Let Vasjesia (2006 (2) SCC 482) with approval, wherein it was releasthus.

> "The appointment to any post under the State can sally be made after a proper advertisement has been made in viting applications from eligible candidates and helding of a selection by a body of experts or a specially constituted committee whose members are fair and impartial through a written examination or interview or some other rational criteria for judging the interise merit of candidates who have applied in consource to the advertisement made. A regular appointment to the post under the State or Union cannot be made without issuing advertisement in the progeribed manner which may in some cases include laying applications from the employment exchange, where eligible candidate get their names walktered. Any regular appointment made on a post chair the State or Union without issuing strectisement inviting applications from eligible sandidates and without holding a proper selection where all eligible candidates get a fair chance to a magic would violate the guarantee enshrined under Article 16 of the Constitution".

21. in P. Chandra Shekhara Rao and others [2006 (7) SCCA38" the Apex Court referred Uma Devi's Case (supra) with aportoval. It also relied the decision in a Uma Rani [2004 (7) SCC 112] and ruled that no regularization is permissible in energise of statutory powers conferred in Article 162 of the Constitution, if the appointments have been made in contravention of the statutory rules. In Somveer Singh (2006 (5) SCC 493] the Apex Court ruled that appointment made without following due procedure cannot be regularized. Reference can also be made to the processent in Indian Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Ltd. [2007 (1)S.C.C.408].

22. In view of the aforesaid reasons it is concluded that Shri Dube has failed to establish that he worked continuously for a period of 240 days with the management bank and his services were dispensed with in violations of the provisions of the Act, the has no case for reinstatement in the services of the management. His claim statement is liable to be rejected. Same is, therefore, rejected. An award is, accordingly, passed. It be sent to the apprepriate Government for publication

Dated: 18-3-2010

DR, R. K. YADAV, Presiding Officer नई दिल्ली, 19 अप्रैल, 2010

का. अ., 1207, -- औद्योगिक विवाद अधितियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) को धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के करते हैं, जा बेन्द्रीय एकार भी 19-4-2010 की पास हाजा था।

[म. यून-12012/13/22002-आई अस (केन्स्) । स्टोन्ड गीट, डेम्स अधिवारी

New Lean, the 19 April, 2010

S.O. 1207. —In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereity publishes for Award (Rev. No. 8/2002) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Cours. Delb now as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute ontween the management of State Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 19-4-2010.

[No. L 12012/133/0002-IP/B-IN] SURENDRA SPIGH, Desk Cyliner

ANNEXURE

BEFORE DR. K. K. VADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. I. KARKARDOUMA COURTS COMPLEX, DECHI

L. D. No. 8/2005

The General Secretary
All India Bonk Staff Association,
33-34. Dank Enclave, Ring Road,
Rajour Cardon,
New Dalta-Unit27

. . Workmag

Vecsus

The Assistant Ceneral Manager, State Baids of India, Nebrustians, www.Defbi.

Management

AWARD

National Back of Labore was joined by Bag Singh as a peon no +-8-1959. The said bank merged with State Bank of India in Constary, 1970. At that time claimant was serving at Durva Conj branch of the amaignmated bonk Consequent up to the merger, the plaimant became an employee of Sust. Bank of India (hereinafter referred to as the management). Since the claimant was 8th standard bass, he sought becomesion from his employer and passed High School Examination from U.P. Search of School Examination in 1978. He was promoted as record keeper by the management on 15th of September, 1981 and posted at its Modi Nagat brauch, U.P. in 1983 he was transferred to Nebru Place branch of the bank. He represented to the bank to be on act to a clerk. On his representation, he was promoted as a closs wie f 3-1-2001. He retired from the services on the book on 31st March, 2001. After his superannua (10), no approached All India Bank Staff Association (hereleaster referred to as the claimous union) and agitated that he was to be premoted as clerk on

before the first and the second of the first and proceedings fortied and the second of the second of

A hether the andrewing design, reserved.

Runs Staff reserved arms on a control of a control of

Zinchibra waren en in italiak kapanan bilan italia ioned National Bank of Lobert as view of the S to Shoe Market toga brones, it was 1949 years and a second ro Danie Hang Deini house of the coetings of Macronal Bonk of the conduction will be a visit in February, 1979, the proceeding on a contract from U.P. Brard of School Pears and a contract of was entered into his pervious across of a section of record insper by the most good tank in the posted as Most Demonstrate Programme of transferred in Menns Place of one of the coal in the per rates a marricular was a larger of the conconversion to the base of the control of the control of or cashien-compared above the research of service as negotide come and necessary one later in all in cade and care care a time a person of him is a wakeeper over the control of the of sentiment an element track to the control of the control to have been conversed on facility and department of writish to by hazada or and it of the state ahowshee the made belong to provide the conkonversion as sieru ir responsibilitie dan Lei (1. 1. 1. 1984 and PERTOR 27 of the No. of the models of the dated 24-12-91 he was lone our for block in the promotion to obside a cadron as industrial expenses. which designation was affected and more and promoti massalencel carre

A limiter of the control of the contro

and that each position, after completion of four years service. Han blankar, Ramesin Dewan, Sant Lat, R. K. Schgal were come of the persons who were officiating in breakle or out-coare poots. About 25 employees, promoted at same-cum record become and were matriculate, were not officiating powers and mountly for the last 10 years life. The only person who was decided such officiating services and were matriculate, were not officially person who was decided such officialing services and to the back of the rad been representing his same to the back of these 1937 but to no avail. Bank took a side of the tank into accompanied to clemeal cadre, here a considered in in eache promotion to officialing powers.

4. A Corea End Policy was prepared by the bank and the payment as who had aut in 17 years veryice in the come to the hour calife, were to be connected as Senior Source of the 1969. Senior Assistants were to draw the or they allow and out the 185 m D.A., busides their wages. occupant professor in very of service in clerical cache on In 198 and was cuttied to be converted automatically as most assistant, but he case was not considered by the massingment. The management played a mischief and issues in entitled [8,17,001, on the strength of which he its effection to that he was found suitable for in-cadre the appropriate process cadre wild fig. 3-1-2001. This mischief and how with a view to domine him of his logal rights. by derive and a was to be made effective from a cotober. 1979 he was entired to officiating allowance from through the mode representation to Chief General Manager to 445-2500 distinuous parenguery under vare 5.7 of Sastry house, which is quest was not considered. He claims that men, the project to the effect that he is decreed to have a ranger brodes checkfrom to 9-81, endited to officialing reserve conditionaries once 15-9-85 and be promoted as serve Assistant from 1-6-95. He as a cizems that heavy is and impage may be awarded to min, besides interest in the participant in beautiful which would account to him and consider afficiating powers and promotion from the dem europeanne des des

s of the promote demorred the claim pleading that the remember of trug hangh on Mist of March, 2001, there A sing industrial disente which could be referred for apply the law. Howe he served to be a corkinan on reaching ar ago of seperammention in was pleaded that assuming soven not simpling the there was an industrial dispute, I sail disjuite was not espoused by a trade union of we logger of the autobio funent of the management bank ice charment tolor is not a corresentative union of the racing a real the establishment of the management bank. have mos to esposed of me inspare, as required under to the head steaded that efficiency power cannot be and the Signal are after a fittight my any elophoyee. Asking an alone, che disensare divies et a l'églier posi cannot be blod or a promotion the clamma continued to hold costron if an issuant (casher-com-record keeper) If it is 1000 and was not asked to officiate in any history est. He was not enduled to any officiating allowance,

Officiating power in a higher post is merely stop gap arrangement for discharge of duties of that post. The claimant was not entitled for any in-caure or out-cadre promotion.

6. Appointment of claimant with National Bank of Labore as peop on 4-8-1959 was not disputed. His transfer to Darya Ganj, Delhi branch of the Bank in 1909 was also not a matter of dispute. Merger of National Bank of Lahore with the management bank in February, 1970, has been admitted, it is also not disputed that the claimant passed High School Examination from U.P. Roard of School Education in 1978, which fact was emered into his service records. His promotion as a record keeper on 15-9-81 and posting at Modi Nagar branch were also not disputed. His transfer to Mehru Place branch of the back in 1993 is on admitted fact. It has been pleaded that cashiers, godown keepers, record keepers and bill collectors, promoted from subordinate cadre, who were matriculars and put in four years service as such, could be considered for working in clerical department at their option without extra payment of allowance, as detailed in circular No. PER : IR 18 of 1984 and PER: IR: 27 of 1987. After working for two years in clerical department, those employees, if found suitable in an interview by the concerned Assistant General Manager, can be considered for conversion as clerks Accordingly an employee was oligible for promotional officiating opportunity in in-cadre and out-cadre after putting in Tyears service, out of which four years service should be in existing position, two years service in elencal cadre and one year period as cushioning on conversion as clerk. Therefore, it is evident that an option is to be exercised by an employee and he cannot be converted to clerical cadre in an automatic manner. The claiment had not made any representation except representation, dated 26-11-99 which was suitably replied and he was informed that since length of his remaining service was less than two years, his conversion to cierical department was not possible at that stage. He did not exercise his option for conversion to clusteal department for 13 long year. Prior to 26-11-99 be never exercised option for conversion and when he excreised his option, the management converted him ac cierk and designated as Assistant w.e f. 3-1-2003 Since the claimant failed to exercise his option for conversion to clerical cadre, no officiating allowance can be grapted to him without doing any work of higher position. Issuance of Career Path Policy is not disputed However since, the claimant has not exercised option for conversion to clerical cadre, the same was not applicable to him. It has been denied that the management bank resorted to untain labour practice or victimization of the claimant. He is not entitled to any relief and his claim statement is liable to be dismissed, being devoid of merits.

7. Bag Singh has examined himself in support of his claim. He had relied documents Ex. WW ho Fig. WW19.

which do en it is one ask disputed by the manage nor i Ulivel decay where was engagined on behalf of the management that the other witness was examined by wither of the other co-

8 Anne Community heard at the bur Mat F. M. Faranti, authorisca repolementivo, advanced preuments on behalf of the children resion. She Pravio Somma authorises representation - lead his subtranspions on belief of or manageneses and a have given surable contribution to the presence in accordant the har and combounty purchable the record, all anding on issues involved by the control by the follows.

To Perform Jorganosa contraction relised en babel/192 the management brook is that often by superanners on cialmand that it is timplized to be a victimen and charged as no mile. Il empute, which could be referred that adjudiceses in the expropriate Government that the tissue Sari Representated that despite superstangulation of box Singh house workman and dispute to lentage to terms of his employees a renounced to an entiretrial discours. The approach for the sal submissioners would be expedit to be take upon of the melation of "workspan" and "decite with dispured a lead and by the Industrial Clispines Academic (in short the A.), which are extract that .

> for any hours, means now person time what are or or one of emerioyes, in envised they to do any more of or Allied, skilled technics), operational. the last concernisors work for hire or street, a species the series of enqueyrant be expanse or having the for the purposes of any proceeding greet, the executa relation to an industrial dispute gorden in prech person who has been dish issue. more than the remanded in connection with, or us a the work to of that dispute, or whose dismission and the part paranchrisms has had to their disput-Service - Lechage con such person to

> it subject to the Aer Perce Acr. 1980 (4) 10,000, crew Army Act, 1950 (45 of 1950), c. Security and 1957 600 or 1957 con

> The project of in the police activity or easily and the other employments a price of as

is employed righty in a managerial of India deve expresion in

on his confined to a supervisor gregory, drawn we get check that our Course sp. confind traces per married Co in the office by any wave of the defeat The real office of the consequent file. and as assert in burn transfers, namely of a A PROPERTY THEREOFTE.

(b) arigh pipels, alleger any city to be Titles of a Leawner employers and employers on

 $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}) = (a_1, a_2, b_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (a_2, \dots, a_n)$ Regional and Control end persentage that the second

· 他們就 医高端性小脑 Book to the second Brown Commence to tangke on the ordered Was Wind and Commence CONTRACTOR AND CONTRACT entral employees to be a Market Control Control Simple Control

The Charles Miller Mary Windows Control the seeding of the control of the Para de proposition de Continued to the property of page with the control terminal of the two con-Alexander are a Selection to the second Markette State Contact Rechard Charles Constitution इस्ट्रांक का गानुबाद के जिल्हा wedge, later all the defect grand the second to the section of the section of er portugale en mention en ele-ALTRA CARROLLANDON

化对抗性 网络埃尔斯维尔 Configuration of the second Print Branch Christian With the same of the same r Karona kanada ara Sandy Sandara Harrist Committee Committee parte de la como man when the said perfect to the parent of the total of en la region de la companya de la c State of Barbara and particles and the second of the second o $(q_{2},\ldots,q_{2})=1,\ldots,\ldots,q_{2}$ acquist of the second and a strong of the

To observe one made by the Apex Court are to be applied busy.

reflection agree with the expression "any person" is consequent with any workanan, particular or therefore community of thereof and the person agreeming when the depute is raised must be one in versa, any layerent, non-employment terms of the classical that the classical may be proved the dispute have a direct or substantial causes. Whether make direct or substantial causes, which is a particular case will depend on the rise and obscuring and its rise, and obscuring and of the rise of and obscuring and on the rise, and obscuring and of the rise only obscuring and on the rise, and obscuring and of."

17 Separations raction Company (Pvt.) Ltd. [1958] the control of the following the design and industrial dispute services as a dispute between the employer and his specified and that the activities of the expression the most enspoint is wide enough to carer a dispute passed by the proplover's workman with regard to non the course of spers, who can not be employed as age to you at the emergin time. In Southern Textiles Limited Common 1963 (1) El 1435; Madias High Court ruled he ediscoup offsity to fixation of a ratio between the the state of the seconds of the workings and the outsider. to the tractal of receptivisms, would constitute an and are labell, page Toppedso the workmen as a class have and the seasons of the comployment of their heirs and the real time (a). The court further held four freits and the the section of the secremen would fall within the mobil of on expression than, consumiting disharefore, the disputa is on the second disease to

In the one Managipal Workers Union [1999 IV AD. (1) the one of Filiph Court of Celini was served with a late that we are better working in Mt.D had expired and be a deposed and applied for appointment of her son on compassion to promise. The claim was rejected by the manager measured to mattice was taken up by the Manicipal transfer of linear and the question arose as to whether thomas salt, writing for definition of industrial dispute. The major miles that a dispute relating to compassionate constitution from the definition of a deceased employed as a fingularial dispute. Sentence can also be made a trapper meet the mileste Kn par [2009 III, A.D. (Delhi) [6]]

The Hear is the close. The claimant union was account on win conditions of service of the claimant, who wo may be a new food that of wheth, 2001. Whether the may tree Sungle was entined for automatic conversion to a ratio of the end because of the years service as a central temperature tree per and men rendering two years service to their tree food the years showing period would entitle thing the edge they opportunity in a scale and our-cade some endead the propositions against duy the members of the cultiment andor. They find he encertained a belief

that on rendering 17 years continuous service in ciercul cadre Bag Singl: was entitled for automatic promotion to the position of Sr. Assistant. These questions, relating to the terms of employment of Bag Singh were raised by the members of the claimant union. Despite the fact that Bag Singh superannuated on 31-2-2001, yet he fell within the ambit of "eny person" as contained in clause (k) of section 2 of the Act. Therefore, the dispute raised by the claimant union answers the definition of an industrial dispute as contained in clause (k) of section 2 of the Act. Issue is, therefore, answered accordingly.

16. Next prong of attack was made by the management pleading that the claim was not espoused by sebstantial number of workmen or a trade union of the employees of the establishment of the management. On that count Shri Kapur argued that All India Bank Staff Association has raised the dispute before the Conciliation Officer. He contends that the said Association is a trade union of the employees of the establishment of the management. However no evidence was led to the effect that Bag Singh was a member of All India Bank Staff Association. He argued that the Association had espoused the claim and it does not lie in the month of the management to say that it is an individual dispute and not an industrial dispute.

17. Provisions of section 10 of the Act, make it clear that the appropriate Government may refer an existing or apprehended dispute to the Industrial Tubacci for adjudication. The Act is a legislation relating to study is known as "collective bargaining" in the coacomic field This policy of the legislature is implicit in the definition of the industrial dispute. The Apex Court in Bombay Union of Journalist [1961 (II) Lt.J.436] has observed that in each case in ascertaining whether an individual dispute has acquired the character of an industrial dispute, the test is whether at the date of reference, the disoute was taken up as submitted by the union of the workman of the employer against whom the dispute is raised by an individual workman or by an appreciable number of workmen. In crost, therefore, to convert an individual dispute into an industrial dispute, it has to be established that it has been taken up by the union of employees of the establishment or by an appreciable number of the employees of the establishment. As far as union of the workmen of establishment uself is concerned, the problem of esponsal by them generally presents little difficulty, since such workered who are members of such unions generally have a continuity of interest with an individual employee who is one or their fellow workman. But difficulty arise when the cause of a workman, in a particular establishment is sponsored by a union which is not of the workmen of the establishment but is one of which membership is open to workmen of their establishment as well as in that industry. In such a carra union which has only microscopic number of the workmen as its member, calmot sponsor any dispute arising

service the interest and the event series of administration of the appropriate property and the position of The end of the control of the national common of common and it same of the contract of the more and the execution if stration of a second of money which can be a rather to some the first to the was carpitation with a constitution. the research of the properties to recover the force to and we have the contain contain one therefore real massive in and the first of the service are so to influence and the and the same are considered the description

The second of the second of the second CODE OF THE CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR Such as the second discussion of the Key, to Rugles Star Charles and Aligh attracts, allegan Comtake the force of park against character to up transport distriction of a ferromach a fraction are entire earlier and CORPORATION OF THE SECRETARIAN CORPORATION OF THE ARCHITECTURE. facility of the company of the can be bearing Papers. har to be a first of a first television by the experience of a managed to the second of the managed form a hand 1986 (1) concentrates de l'especient man a From the control of the control was also assure for an action the title of the months of the residence of the book of a residence or larger than a load of automatic programmed an expectation A CONTROL OF THE A FIRE OF THE WAR OF THE TOP LADVISE Washington Commence (1951(1) 113 (3)) However to We are the second of the secon The second of the property of the second of tions of the control and add that the one who will be made The type and the many which the presence manager. of them is soon as and in a book procley many, par-The first of the second of the the box of the first of the consultation of the section

in the annual or in reach cable most or is Strand of the state of the stat Pages 1999 The Carlo Barton of the Command of Security Command to support to the common and are an incompatible of entre april 18 million of the majority of the interpretation. war and the second security with the second decreases and superior to a patient from other decided Promises and the first of the process of an entire the section of an entire the section of with the common property law are because it has a first ewiding the second of the property of the prop raferior in the first of additional range of the first of the recognition mitted to the company to the Wilderson we were the a time of a combination of his plane. The other work to the entry of the Marketine of the control of surface of the passage of the control of the residue of the the water of the state of a security for representation by the tring as a few a few said that the ends i has observe augment i an electrica e or an material displate have the Tribused will be an entire for discount to a hedresic up of to the section to the line lines, has broken as make a discrete exand made they be at the contraction by their there alread the

the ward page that is a second Section of the Section Co. mercusally the plant of the control of the and the contract of the contra eskin i karake nasti bedan bili ili ili ili ili ili ili

And Commence of the Commence o LINE STREET, SECTION OF THE COLUMN e travel with the law of the second and to a rest of the Marie of the Common of the Samuel School of the Common With Betall of the reservoir of the land of the seasons with the seasons of the s Control (45: Top 1) in a supply of the control of rate large. A sure time of the contraction Assertation of the second of t distribute when the control of the c Broken Alexandran - Japanes L Assess .

and the way of a first of Marketine of the property of the second Will Berling a March 19 Control of the Brough a normal of the control of th orphesential a lone 1 . The co Should be a second of the grant A State of the Sta BIRTO TEMPTON TO SOLUTION But the street of the street व्हाप्रकार कर्षे वाच्या व प्रयोग । present a letter of the first of White the building ART & MILLIAM CONTRACTOR NO STATE OF BUILDING AND A STATE OF the expectation of the contraction A Medical Company of the production of the en de service de la companya del companya del companya de la compa on Kingger and Colored sarphise on large and a contract Races Built of the safe to the safe of the same workers, and also make the property ne malagnet it have the earlier to be put to the first Colombia de la colombia de la colombia And who we broken the control of the control of the agreement to the second of the second of the Physical Control

MORE STORIES NOW THE STORY

and a deal of the workmen or majority of the weekmen are a real appropriated support for dispute All built is environment to find the discure, in order to become an and proceed in our desired have the sembour of substantial according to be working to concerned in the establishment. Mit is not congress have in payors markets by industrial the late is to be really to the countries of its being or secretion the survey of the continues of the employer azumu dayra en despute is talked. The unland an and the office types, that reflette omens of workness of are constructed by the second the generall comment of when in the real of Compression and Second members The first war area of the Rondon and the establishment by W worker of the problem of espendid by them neveral colored latte difficulty and mak workered who are combined of each and in order, by have a consequence makes a was so collected specience, who is one of their faller again on . Horein difficulty who when the cause of in respect to the flower parable degrees in approximed in a of the later of the workings of that istablishment the trade of which me, thership is open to the workman of other 1, 355' showing as well to that more stive in such a call are a label has only microscopic manbox of the and the action of the property cannot be any dispute

22 Have in the case a Jispune was researed by Adtheir Bana Stuff Assestantian Novemberge Carlcorne page services. The total maple of the card merchan of the card Turnston Englishment matted that At India Soft of the property of the factor who is molecular recovery and The Committee of South Dank of India, David Zuraci which vericus copilization of Detail Zone are in member . The same that are a meaning the consistent of providing the the presentation and any area form of membership of the ampleyers of the Secret Black of Italia and hims conspetent to excoure the and office. This areas The means one's disposit for box I also reclaring that various employees of the fanc To the full test, or earlies members and it was compered to outer to the of Smallery Single Micro inference ile construct the working, being authors of the idelitiant online, which has alwayd it character of a representative and a conceptibilities of with of the members of the class that after this bear I cought or at the record or show that the may move of the eligible of abbout weeks because a observe or and some forest is condition of employment of a state file of the control of industrial a space. As spaces, is required over the report tilet as ma visual in care, reisong to condition of entition and in The day lands has been referred for an edication. is an equipment with the does advanced by the to appearant. ely la neligio (95%), on that gotted

13 Precious to Rate that it has been little that there was in wind and distributions. I then it is product to CHANGE OF THE OF GO WESCH, BUILDING ON ME BUR singly despite a that has obtained permission from his emblover and raised rastriculation examination in 1978 He was promoted as record keeper in September, 1987. which post falls in clerical cadre. On 25% of August, 1984, bank issued circular regarding set-like conditions of record keepers, which chorlor i.e. Ex. of W.111, as per instructions contained in the raid pircular a record suggest or rendering four years service automatically becomes a clock After becoming a clark the said record keeper is entitled to officione as an officer in the brook. He is also entitled for promotion in in codic as well as our-case posts. Though he rendered cominuess four years service after his promotive as record keeper, yet be was not convened to the position of a closic tile made representation to tout egard on 9-1-37, copy of which is Ex. WW42. He thade origine proposentations which are fix WWI-3 to be While show consideration at the representations popil converted Fire at a clock on 18-1-2061, He redext on As it of Mar. 2. 2001. Basis ongles to the citizened cannot class the 1980 of more like in its best made by the ky he lest old killed by Thew, records would have been provided to the post of a Tailor His would have usen eligible for promotion to officers grade him case he words have been converted as clock or time. He could have been entitled to all mance of R. COUPM from 1-4-99. His pay was fixed on lower side. busics he is looking to 300 PM toward, persion

45. She Kuwai Kumar Mishra denosed that he th whed record at Nobra Place branch or the bank. Entire record non available there in the bank containing to the year 1987, except representation, copy of which is fix. WWT 2. It americs that the representation Eld. WWW will not mode by the workman. Doring the course of crossexamination, he projects that as per genelines, bank promited and mide for 30 years. However contain assential recently accomponented for a longer period. Appropriation one, of which is its. W.W.1-2, fails in described category. Title for elected sout is manualized in the boards and for officer it is adminished in Regional Office in Head Office

26. When that conjected by the distribution and those contained as Josephare Follow Will to Ex. 204 19 are purposed it come to light that in 1978 a circular memo PER : 167 of 1979 was issued for convenence on the ord keep re/godown keepersicle in elerical codre. As par the said circular, record Ecoper godewn keeper, bill collector and promoted cachiars, who were practiculate had to work for a period of tive years for being aligible for conversion as clerks. After by biking as piecks for two years they were to be interviewed by the Regional Manager concurred and if found suitable. were to be converted as clerks. On rendering two years services as clerks, they were eligible for promotional experimenties in m-cadre or auteadre positions. Thus they have to put in nine years continuous service before they could get an opporturity for efficiency promotion for income ruscadie positions.

27. Staff Federation of employees made acmoud for setaisation services to get an opportunity for officiating

Acres in a mercened by the bank and encourar memo No. Programme of 1945 was issued, at provides this costilers. godows we get a recontike opens, bill collectors, premoted there are by the search address who are marriculate and have put in tour and a service as such can be considered for working in the least the second at their option, without any court payment of a tree time of a After working for two greats in Parity and an analysis found suitable in an interview by the concerns to sistant Coperal Manager, they can be considered for poversion as clocks. An employee was eligible, the productional/oblationary opportunities in the coordination. Large positions after puring in seven years service in the existing position--A very site, so the in element madre +2, years and (iii) cushionists a structure as clerk-sene year.

In Empirica for promotional/officiating opportunities and opportunent it was not necessary for the combined of the complete school and services of seven years. Select Affice with idented on the basis of aeniority in each deposit reach after thaving put in initial service of four years, provided with a marriculate. The employee so converted as a bork with a conter one year service in clerical codes, could be easily big for in-cadrelout cadre promotions allowers as were correct cadre employees. The service put by their as period keepers, godown keepers etc. were to be considered a try purposes of seniority.

25 New more of the said circular is the option, which is to be exercised by the cashiets, yedown, record keepers. or but cash sters you for their conversion to clorical nades. The smalling the bacs the word, "at their option", while make it cross too may eashier, godown keeper, record keeper. or a ball collector would be considered for working in clerical her orient, if he exercise such an opti-b-According to a atomaty canons of interpretation the words "at they oping", must be given their proper and plain meaning. The secret coption" has been defined as an act or an assume: of choosing deciding the power or liberty prehouse run fong chosen, an alternative, a possibility, a choice of a soft corron, in commercial matters "option" expresses a make or effect a certain dealing or not in shares or sood that a stated price, at a cortain date, at the option of the person as gaining who pays a premium for the right. For an in accordance wearly biring being within "opinion" to one of the parties to require its continuance for a stated number 4.4 as, does not enable that party to determine the himself continuing for the stated time or to determine (it probably, by whiling a reasonable notice) at the end of a year of the lastne.

301. Option as widest interpretation means simply choice, or the dear of choice. An obligation in a contract can forquently to performed in a large number of ways and the wave patier obligation can choose anyone of the ways he like a flore is, however, a narrower sonse in which the word can be used and that is to confer a right of choice

and soling for the control of the control of the would be a white for a second to the first the first of the second to th But the state of the second of the second DISTRICTION BY BUSINESS

All Block and the control of the procedurate construitor and a section of the Rooms are will know that the branch and the รณ์ ครู โดยประจาห์เดิม (ค.ศ. พ.ศ. พ.ศ. พ.ศ. พ.ศ. พ.ศ. พ.ศ. for work probable has been a second or a second Grande da Clarence de la companya de la final de la companya de la final de la companya della companya de la companya della companya de la companya de la companya della co dwin of the dame are talled the control รถทั้งและเลยที่ที่สุดสาทางกลาด _{(ค.ศ.} ค.ศ. ค.ศ. ecolorize on beloamich in a sea way working to charters discuss and a collection exercise an option to fast for each bit of the can be exercised as the characteristic of the ter abelig personal temperatura para la tradition de la company migroscope by realizable and a second of words Turnlan clerks if the test of a certain of add have his areas and have to be a ready to structural can be also be to be for the to performance of the fine constitution uncertainly and conservation and included a of auch war property of care or our congruence. Ho by seek a service of allowerk of surfictionalist in a more than

32. When such as a property of the control of Simple is a progradation with every contribution confirmed. But bit in the end of the control of between to have been available between the making in Online WWW to a target the action Page de Magaega, Servico Magaes a la 2016 de la justidiscovery areas. A upp to be seen in a Single in record melliphics in the other months of deciment to the bundle of a control of tion relias. Cheric pay a financial a victorial of the WW for where an early refer to According to familia, V.M. for the explaint of the Seri Report argues that sale the agent in the congress of it was a forest as one a second of management bank. The constraint was a plan-Shire Sharma claiming tout I will Will have bedocument

St. For approve the sides of almost a conupon to consider that WA is a blocked glasser at circumstances. Removement on the Clifford Conwhich is Ex. WWT is available even be a seeprocessuation was particled the form as the conissue section, by the replacemental has be not or of was intermed that his reservation was A. A. o. o. A. and Cash), since his cone in his promotion in request that he may be abled of the thing we are

position, since his juniors were getting officiating allowance. In this representation he has not exercised his option to work in clerical cadre, but asks for a change to officiate in higher position. Representation dated 10-5-99 which is Ex. WW6 was made by Bag Singh wherein he requested that he may be deemed to have been converted as clerk since 1985 and be given officiating allowance at the earliest. Representation dated 4-8-99 which is Ex. WW7 projects that Bag Singh claimed to have been promoted in clerical cadre since 15-9-81. Representation dated 13-8-99, which is Ex. WW8 projects that he requested that he may be converted as clerk and officiating allowance may be given to him. Representation dated 2-9-99 which is Ex. WW9 makes it clear that he made a request for this conversion as a clerk and grant of officiating allowance to him. Representation dated 26-11-99 which is Ex. WW10 was made by him wherein he made reference to his representations made in July, 99, August, 99 and September, 99 and asked the bank authorities to expedite the reply. Representation dated 20-12-99 which is Ex. WW11 makes it clear that he talks of his conversion as clerk and release of officiating allowance in his favour. Representation dated 14-2-2000 highlights that he made a request for his conversion as a clerk and release of officiating allowance and promotion on the basis of Career Path Policy. All these representations were delivered by Shri Bag Singh in receipt and issue section of the bank. None of these representations purports to have been handed over to the branch manager. These representations are available with bank authorities. While representation Ex. WW 1/2 is not available in the bank record. Ex. WW 1/2 was not delivered in receipt and issue section. It has not been explained as to under what circumstances the claimant opted not to deliver it in reciept and issue section of the bank. When genuineness of Ex. WW 1/2 was disputed, claimant opted not to produce original copy of Ex. WW 1/2. Ex. WW 1/2 is a photo copy, original of which, on which a stamp was allegedly fixed by the branch manager, was in possession of the claimant. He opted not to produce that document. It raised various serious questions as to genuineness of the document. The claimant was well aware that documents are to be delivered in receipt and issue sections of the bank. This document was not so delivered by him. Hence this document does not satisfy the standards of veracity, authenticity and genuineness. Consequently, I am constrained to discard this document from consideration of the claim put forward by the claimant. When this document goes off the record, it cannot be said that the claimant exercised his option for working in clerical department in 1987. When the claimant has not exercised his option, he could not be deputed to work in clerical side automatically on completion of four years service as a record keeper.

34. Ex. WW5, which is dated 13-5-98, makes it clear that the claimant made a request to grant him officiating allowance, projecting that his designation has been

affirmed as Assistant (Record and Cash) since the date of his promotion. He projects therein that he worked on saving bank account, clearing and transfer scheme sheets. However, he has not clarified that as to when those jobs were performed by him. Assuming that such job were performed by him in 1998, then the said representation may be taken as an option exercised by the claimant for working in clerical department. After exercise of option, he was supposed to work in clerical cadre for two years. Thereafter he could be converted as a clerk. Consequently his conversion as clerk was to be made by the bank somewhere in May, 2000. After spending one year as cushioning period he is entitled to officiating allowance in 2001. Such an allowance was granted to him in January, 2001. Therefore, the claimant could not project anything which may denounce the action of the management in denying officiating allowance to him and promotion to in cadre post. The action of the management is found to be justified. The claimant is not entitled to any relief on factual matrix also.

35. In view of the foregoing reasons claim put forward by the claimant union is liable to be dismissed. Claimant Bag Singh is not entitled for any relief. An award is, accordingly, passed. It be sent to the appropriate Government for publication.

Dated: 25-3-2010 Dr. R. K. YADAV, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 19 अप्रैल, 2010

का, आ. 1208. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक ऑफ इंदौर के प्रबंधतंत्र के सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 76/89) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय मरकार को 19-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/123/89-आई आर (बी-1)] सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 19th April, 2010

S.O. 1208.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 76/89) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Delhi as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between management of State Bank of Indore and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 19-04-2010.

[No. L-12012/123/89-IR(B-I)] SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE DE R. K. YADAY, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL COVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. C. KAREARDOOMA COURTS COMPLEX, DELHI

I. D. No. 76-89

Shri Rajinder Singh, S/o Sari Murit, R/o 90-V-33. North Phatapura, Delm-) 10034

. . Workman

⁷√S.

The Regional Manager, Region W. State Bank of Indore, Zonal Office, 163 Kanchan Bagh, Indore-483 961

... Management

AWARD

Level achaviour of the claimant towards a female colleague much him accountable for a domestic action Hence a char, e-sheet was served upon him in September. 1985. When he reply was found unsatisfactory, a domestic enquiry was initiated against him. He associated himself in the domestic enquiry and tried to defend himself. However, the enquiry officer recorded a finding that charges stands proved against him. Vide order dated 7.3.1988, his similes were terminated. Aggrieved by the said order he raised on industrial dispute. Since conciliation proceedings felled, the appropriate Government referred the dispute to this I ribunal for equidication, vide order No. L-12012/123/89-IR (B-II). New Delhi dated 7th of August, 1986. Since the order of reference was found to be defective, the claimant made a representation to the Government of India and ultimately a corrigendum was issued on 4th of January, 1992 wherein terms of reference were modified, which are as follows:

"Wheeler the action of the State Bank of Indore in terminating the services of Sini-Rajinder Singh sto Mini-cloth. Chandri Chork Branch, Delhi we in 5-68 without issuing any notice is legal and provided sina to what relief the workman concerned to mailed to?"

pleading the rin that he was an employee-of the District & Session's Indge. The Hazari, Delhi, when he read an advertisement to a leading newspaper in July/August, 82, in respect of some vacancies in clerical eadre in the managerium week. He submitted an application and took over the competitive examination, in which he was declared successful the was ordered an appointment at Dabra (MP) branch or the hard, which offer was not accepted by him Subscience in the was ordered a post at Chandin Chowk branch, or the hard, which appointment letter was also questioned to the since there was a stipulation of transfer

of his services. Chippeters are mains was a miscount we the management bank offered him a pair of chipses. Chook Branch of the bank the woned the posterior of the went on to namote their school his goal for the real module. States released by a more to his goal for the real module. States released by a more to his goal for the real module. Subscription was dedicated at service transfers also follows a following property of the module. The mode of he was forced to move an application scatter Subscription (2) of Section 33 to of the transmid his man, and the respective his active amount of subscription is detailed.

 He pleads that a do no recome at the dead doctors. September, 1983, a change onest to a correct open in a wherein false allegations is no law that the salso angels, a repty dated 2-10-85, which was rejected. Val Carpany 1963was appointed. He reads a written request that by your unaware of legal technical tiles, hence was being pattern engage an Advocate to Believal lansed believe me apply Officer This request to be relected. The particularly supposes enquiry. The Enquiry Officer had not allow a thin account examine the witnesses, expressed by the hard marketing allowed to examine witnesses in his richards friends a course of enquiry proceedings, statefacture recorded a preliminary enquiry were produced and proceed. The reof the wimesses was not supplied to him. Imagery 110 feet submitted his report. A show cause notice alongwise enquiry report was served upon him. He submitted a detailed explanation which was not considered. The sense personal hearing which opportunity was not a recognize to him. He was on apposed from the convices a we communication of 7th of May, 1985. On dual concerns another charge sheet was served upon title, where evica is get rid of him. Appeal porterred by also came to be dismissed. His dismissal is (flegal) and annulled for the calbeen made a victim by hatching a consputacy a samid may since he made protest against de incoen of respesubscription from his chary. He was not informed by the Enquiry Officer that he can are assessming the witnesses. or produce his own withous as to defend turnsely. Here, methat his dismissal is illegal, become himnly be not conducted he he reinstated in service with continuity and fact large W.M.C.S.

4 Claim was denotered by the management piecelist that an employee had no right to be appointed at a particular station. His uncochagness to initial training Branch of the bank was no marified Considering als repeated requests, the bank offerwed him to join at as Chandri Chowk Branch, Doth History subscitation are deducted from his solar, since all receiptives so the authorization in that regard shot. Sugar had to have responsible for preparation of sating shot, the factory was brought to the notice of the countries of subscitations and constructed was refunded to the workman. It cannot be according to very an annihilation before the continued and the solars of the continued and the cont

it has been pleaded that contention of the workman, to the refect that the bank employees as well as office bearers of the anion got annoyed with him on that account, is false. It has been pleeded that his conduct qua Smt. Sunita Jain, was not proper. He misbohaved with her. A charge sheet was issued to him. Since the claimant was employed with the office of the District & Sessions Judge, Delhi for fairly long period, be was aware of the procedure relating to conduct of departmental enguity. An Advocate cannot be allowed to participate in domestic enquiry, hence his request was declined. Due opportunities were given to him to cross examine all the witnesses. Copies of the statements made by the witnesses were supplied to him. besides other documents produced in the enquiry proceedings. It has been depied that the Enquiry Officer was bussed against him. No illegality was committed in conduct of the enquiry. When Enquiry Officer submitted the ropost, his comments were called on that roport. It has been denied that the management was determined to get rid of han. However, services of second charge sheet has not been disputed. It has been projected that on consideration of the report, the Disciplinary Authority swarded punistanent of dismissal, which was expedient in the matter. His appeal also came to be dismissed. There and no reason to army relief of teinstatement to the manual. His claim perition may be dismissed.

- 4. On pleadings of the parties following issues were
 - (1) Whether the domestic empiry held against the workstain was fan and proper?
 - (2) As in terms of reference?
- or Vorkman tendered has a fidavit as evidence. He was closs classified on behalf of the management. Shill islanwar Lat Pawar and Asnok Mishra tendered their affidavits on behalf of the management. They were cross scan med on behalf of the workman. No other witness was a summered by other of the parties.
- 7 It would be pertinent to mention here that on 19-9-97 an owned was passed by this Tribunal, discarding inc. claim out forward by the claimant. Aggrieved by the said award a writ pention was preferred before High Court of Oalhi, which came to be adjudicated on 8-9-98. The High Court of Oalhi set aside the award and commanded this Tribunal to near the spaces afresh for adjudication.

8 In pursuance of command given by the High Court, the partie, were called upon to advance their submissions area the matter. Though the issue No. I was not treated as prefirmingly issue, yet a was thought expedient to hear the parties over it. Arguments on the virus of the enquery were heard in detail. Shri Mohd, Faruk, authorized representative, advanced arguments on behalf of the storkman. Shri Pramod Sharma, authorized representative raised his submissions on behalf of the management.

Written submissions were already there on the record, filed by the parties.

- Issue No. I was answered in favour of the workman and against the management vide order dated 6-1-2010.
- 10. Smt. Sunita Jaio (MW3) and Shri Arun Verma (MW4) were examined by the management to prove misconduct of the claimant. Claimant has examined himself in rebuttal to the evidence adduced by the management.
- 11. Arguments were heard at the bar. Shri Mohd. Faruk, authorized representative, advanced a guments on behalf of the claimant. Shri Pramod Kumar, authorized representative, raised his submissions on behalf of the management. I have given my careful considerations to the arguments advanced at the bar and cautiously perused the record. My findings on issues involved in the controversy are as follows:
- 12. Smt. Sunita Jain deposed that in April, 84 she joined Chandni Chowk branch of the State Bank of Indore. on her transfer from Gwalior to Delha in rainy season of 1984 she was pregnant, Rajinder Singh gave her a letter wherein it was mentioned that she should meet him outside the branch for a tea as well as for outing. She returned that letter to Rajinder Singh and outrightly rejected his request. She warned him not to behave in that fashion in future. During that very year, on one day she atighted a bus at Red Fort. Rajinder Singh met her outside Red Fort near the Temple. He was sitting on a two wheeler scooter. He offered her lift saying that he will leave her in the bank. She turned down his request and came to the branch on foot After a few days, he again insisted that she should go alongwith him for outing. She declined his request, At that juncture, he told her that he will give protection to her in the branch. She told him that she does not need any protection from him. One day while sitting in his cabin he called her through a peon. When she declined his request, Rajinder Singh became aggressive. Since she was pregnant those days, hence she became disturbed. She told those facts to her husband. He came to the branch with some family members. At that juncture Rajinder Singh tendered an apology in writing. Said apology was written in Hindi. He assured that in future he will not repeat such incident. In November, 84 she went on maternity leave. When she joined duties after her leave, Rajinder Singh passed comments on her. Rajinder Singh tried to threaten her. At that time, he was of mature age, while she was of tender age. He tried to put her in fear. She was recently married and apprehensive of her married life. At that juncture she felt that her character was being attacked During the course of her cross examination, she admits that as per instructions received from higher authorities, she used to prepare salary bills of employees. She used to deduct subscription from salary of employees, which was to be paid to the umon, on instructions to received. She

used to receive instructions from the authorities for preparation of salary bills. Her officer (Grade-I Officer) used to take salary bills and issue instructions to her. Grade-I Officer is a member of officers' union, which union is different and distinct than the employees union. Letter was handed over to her by the claimant in the premises of the bank. She informed Smt. Sarla Gupta and Rekha Singh about that incident, who were performing clerical job in the bank in those days. She made complaint to higher authorities when Rajinder Singh repeated the incident. She could not recollect the exact words of the comments passed over by Rajinder Singh on her.

Rainder Singh deposed that P.C. Joshi issued appointment letter in his favour, copy of which is Ex. WW1/1. He refused to accept the said appointment letter, claiming that he had applied for a post in Delhi Region and post offered was for Dabra, Madhya Pradesh. When he could not get appointment letter for Delhi for certain time, he made a complaint against P.C. Joshi to higher authorities. Shri M.L. Raheja had sent a letter to him calling upon him to join at Dabra branch of the bank, which is Ex. WW 1/2. Self addressed envelope was also sent by Shri M.L. Rahéja so that he may sent his reply to him, which envelope is Ex. MMW 1/4. He made complaint to Regional Recruitment Board, State Bank of Indore, detailing therein that bank wants to post A.P. Sharma clerk in Delhi, in his place, copy of which complaint is Ex. WW 1/5. He made other complaints in that regard, which are Ex. WW 1/6 and Ex. WW1/7 respectively. Regional Recruitment. Board wrote i letter to him, copy of which he is Ex. WW 1/8. appointment letter, which is Ex. WW 1/9 was sent to him. He joined his services at Chandni Chowk branch of the cank on 6-7-84. He accepted salary of July, 84 under protest, since union subscription was deducted despite his objection. When management did not stop deducting union subscription he wrote letter to the Managing Director, copy of which is Ex. WW1/10. Shri P.C. Sharma, and Smt. Sunita felt annoyed and they conspired against him. On 6-7-89 they called some vagabonds in the bank and forced him to right apology letter, copy of which is Ex. WW 1/4. He lodged a report in P.S. Lahori Gate, copy of which is Ex. WW 1/12. Union subscription was deducted from his salary till May, 85. It was not refunded despite his request and demands. On 8-7-85 he wrote a letter to the Managing Director, copy of which is Ex. WW1/13. They stopped deducting union subscription in June, 85. Again in August, 85 union subscription was deducted from his salary. When he opposed that act, the management asked him to move an application for union membership, which request was declined by him.

14. He went on to depose that on 23-9-85 a charge sheet was served upon him on false allegation, copy of which is Ex. WW 1/14. He replied the charge sheet on 2-10-85, copy of which is Ex. WW 1/15. On 15-10-85 management bank admitted that union subscription for

August, 85 was deducted acroneously and it was refunded to him vide communication Ex. WW 1716. On 16-10-85 he wrote a letter against deduction of union subscription. copy of which is Ex. WW 1/17. On 7/11-85 letter by W. W. 1/18 was served calling upon him to joining engury proceedings. When union subscription, deducted were his salary, was not refunded, be filed a position before the Labour Court, making Shri P.C. Shierra, and Sunite Junius a party to it. On 19-11-87 anion subscription was recorded by the bank vide communication, which is Fig. Wid 1919. On 23-1-85 he wrote a letter to the Regional Managed copy of which is Ex. WW 1/20. Thereafter bank wrote a fewquestioning him, as to why be made P.C. Sharren, F.C. Joshi and Smt. Sunita Jana as parties before the Labour Court, which letter is Ex. Wile 1/21. An additional charge sheet was served upon him, Which is his WW 1/22. He replied that charge sheet, copy of which is fix. WW 1.25.

15. He amfolds that or 24-1-87 there was a 2011 Sec. All India Strike to the hunt. On 20-1-87 he wrote morest copy of which is Ex. WW 024. Shri J.P. Ganta acting branch manager affixed bills on walls in Chandid Chook June. photo copy of which bill is Ex. WW1/25. Show connotice alongwith copy of findings of the Eugeny Officer was served upon him, which is Ex. WW 1/26. Copy of the Enquiry report is Ex. WW.107. He replied said show carbo notice, copy of which reply is Fig. W.W. 1/28. On 15-3-88. there was a call of All India Strike in the bank. On the 1/23 he wrote a communication to the branch inchaser steking permission to work in the bank on 15-3-08, copy of will enis Ex. WW 1/29. On 12-5-88 a charge slicet was served upon him which is Fx. WW 1/30. On that very day suspension letter was served apon him at 12-12 PM, viale is is Ex. WW1/31. On that very day of 12.20 PM he was dismissed from service, copy of which order is his above to 32. He replied the charge sheet on 19-5-88, copy of which reply is Ex. WW 2/33. Sont Subits Jain have levelled 1 oc. allegations against him. Sao had deducted subscription from his salary despite his objections. During the course of his cross examination, he admits that animal subscriptions, deducted from his salms, were to lunged as him. He admits that he fixed a criminal complaint under section 500 of the Perrai Code against Smit Sanit classic P.C. Joshi and P.C. Sharms in the court of Managoritus Magistrate, which complaint was distrissed the admits that appeal, revision and special force positions were also dismissed. He feigned ignorance that busband of Sm. Sunita Jain, alongwith one or awa of his relations were present before the branch manager. He admiss that one of the claimed hingelf to be hughard of Soit. Scool into According to him hisband of Sonita Iran came in the branch to force him to write an apology.

16. Shri Pramod Kamar arguen that facts projected by Sunita Jain bring it one, the record that the changes attempted to sexually exploit her and made, advances in that regard. He wrote letters to me lady seeking to such

favours. When Smt. Jain spurned his advances, he threatened her. Smt. Jain informed her husband, who visited the branch in that regard. In his presence claimant rendered a written apology. He went on to argue that these facts did not desist the claimant from his nefarious activities. He repeatedly misbehaved with the lady inside bank premises and outside. Contra to it Shri Faruk argued that Smt. Jain was instrumental in deduction of union subscription from his salary. When claimant objected to such deductions and filed a petition against her, she conspired against him. According to Shri Faruk Smt. Jain had deposed facts against the claimant with an oblique motive. He went on to argue that the claimant has been made a scapegoat, when he opted not to join Dabra branch of the bank. He presents that the claimant has been victimized for no fault of his.

17. Testimodial pouries of version of a victim of sexual assault cannot be put on par with an accomplice. She is in fact a victim of the crime. The Evidence Act nowhere says that her evidence cannot be accepted unless it is correborated in material pacticulars. She is undoubtedly a competent witness under section 118 of the Evidence Act and her evidence must receive the same weight as is attached to an injured in cases of physical violence. The same degree of care and caution must be attached in evaluation of her evidence as in the case of an injured complaint or a witness and no more. What is necessary is that the Court must be alive to and conscious of the fact that it is dealing with the evidence of a person who is interested in the outcome of the charge levelled by her. If the Court keeps this in mind and feels satisfied that it can act on the evidence of the prosecutrix, there is no rule of law or practice incorporated in the Evidence Act, which requires it to look for corroboration. If for some reason the Court is hesitant to place implicit reliance on the testimony of the prosecutrix it may look for corroboration required in the case of an accomplice. The nature of evidence required is to lead assurance to the testimony of the prosecutivx must necessarily depend on the facts and circumstances of each case. But if a prosecutrix is an adult and of full understanding the court is entitled to base his findings on her evidence unless the same is shown to be infirm and not trustworthy. If the totality of the circumstances appearing on record of the cases disclose that the prosecutrix does not have a strong motive to falsely involve the person charged, the court should ordinarily have no hesitation in accepting her evidence. Law to this effect was laid by the Apex Court in Chander Prakash Kevel Chand Jain [1990(1) SCC 550].

18. The Apex Court in Gurmeet Singh [1996 (2) SCC 384] has held that Trial Court should take into consideration the fact that a gril, in a tradition bound non-permissive society in India, would be extremely reluctant even to admit that any incident which is likely to reflect upon her chastity had occurred, being conscious of the danger of being

ostracized or being looked down by the society. Her not informing the incident to anyone leise till the person in whom she can repose confidence, under encounstances cannot detract from her reliability. In normal course of human conduct, a young girl would not like to give publicity to the traumatic experience she had undergone and would feel terribly embrassed in relation to the incident to parrate it to anyone else, overpowered by a feeling of shame and her natural inclination would be to avoid talking about it to anyone, lest the family name and honour is brought into controversy. The Courts must, while evaluating evidence, remain alive to the fact that is a case of rape, no self-respecting worden would come forward in a court just to make a humiliating statement against her honour such as is involved in the commission of rape on her. In cases, involving sexual molestation supposed considerations, which have no material effect on the veracity of the prosecution case or even discrepancies in the statement of the prosecutrix, should not, unless the discrepancies are such which are of fatal nature be allowed to throw out an otherwise reliable prosecution case. The inherent bashfulness and tendency to conceal outrage of sexual aggression are factors which the court should not overlook. The testimony of the victim in such case is vital and unless there are compelling reasons which necessitate looking for corroboration of her statement, the court should find no difficulty to act on the testimony of a victinof sexual assault alone to convict an accused where her testimony inspires confidence and is found to be reliable. These principles will guide this Tribunal in appreciating depositions of Smt. Sunita Jain.

19. As deposed by her, Smt. rain, used to prepare salary bills, under the instructions of her officers. When she prepared salary bills for the month of July, 84, she deducted union subscription from salary of the claimant for that month. Claimant projects that he accepted the salary for that month under protest. Despite his protest Smt. Jain had not stopped deducting union subscription from his salary. He was forced to file an application before the Labour Court seeking computation of his benefits in that regard. He admits that subscription deducted from his salary were refunded to him by the bank. Smi Jain projects that she used to prepare salary or instructions of her seniors. No evidence worth name has come over the record to suggest that in July, 84, Smit Jain was having any feelings of ill will or ammosity against the claimant. It is not the case of the claimant that with such a feeling, sho started deducting union subscription from his salary Claimant had failed to project that Smr. Jain has deducted union subscription from his salary out of malice. Therefore, mere fact that Smt. Sun! a Jain stered deduction of union subscription from his salary would not go to show that she was having any id-will or unimosity against the ctalmant.

- 20 for Subita Jain was recently morried, when incident of the totax asl assault was made on her. The was a lady or to nor cage, while the claimaght cas a more ed man by the extend office of the District & Sessions, Delhi for more there is so take, prior to his jenning Chanda. Chowle branch of the book. Such a mattered man reled to sed are a gut who as a stoned her married life recently. For only that he in the astronous against her in the premises of the bank, he will see her outside. The lady projects sivid description of the instances, while it densiting dates when she was harely as a and subjected to purpageous anacks. She present that after a long gap she is unable to reveiled the exact days of the incidents. Facts projected by the lady are in commande with ordinary leanan behaviour. since she care take a specied to received those dates after along gap at the ...
- 21. Shell Care law intered the same as box her demounded was a mod. The deposed firsts against the chimani having the whois world. When a lady enters the witness buy to a mold facts relating to an intack on for chastity and classacter, she is apprehensive of being ostractical by he, hear and dour ones, besides the community is less to the would not our ner married life to stake, without any enostance in her complaint, she is stigmarised by the society, which stigma remain for whole of the life without any obliteration. Hence her conston to taise à pour agentre such un instance le biseu not only on but moral action, but on other consideration which rules has been one normally does not dure to narrate a wrong incident a that regard. Her bashful tendency may also constitues hitly in that regard. Consequently, he: testimony camps, be chrouded with doubts at the very inception. Her repositions are accepted on the very standards on white testimony of a victim of physical assault is cateformed. Claimant could not attack for testimony, in trop so that facts unfolded by the lady were not in componency with veracity, and multifoliases, I actual the considered continue that facts unfolded by Sun Sarno rain are true and credible. It would said to rely on these facts to associate as to whether management has been able to prove any onduct of the changest.
- IT is not the first the attack restinory of Sau, deir on the course, but the coaspired with Shri PC Joshi and concepted a train against him. Her case is cased on the proposal set for libri P. C. Joshi had contain to Dot rabbroch a new victors accommodate A. E. Shrimain Dolar branch of the Point of C. Joshi was stegional stanages at Regional effect, Scopat, He could not reflect any orideness over the record to this effect that their joshi ever raine to Dolhi and conspictations. Sanita i in in the month of July had at the advention of the season A.P. Sherma, whom Joshi wanted to post in Chan had chowk branch of the bank. Therefore, contention with cleanant in that regard are found to be antenable.

- 29. Chairma property and he applied he was to a Dollar Region of the conkrains at one of a property and a He provided for the consentitive expressions and was declared successful. We walkers a strong offer of the at finites translat Region is Stiller. Stillart highly Predesic Accombig to have by a perferred accombined a raid of the lader well there are a conducting ground of a cowas sauce to top, of enuglish per at Case of Clinica Erzech of the Book. He sphare it it when he call a last is from traken branch within many its string arms in any or a seageraschie Walter von der de de New Verlagen ein geweite stas hatrica cua seri. Sumo dere vira versico regidulere e in that conspiracy. Administration approximate the leaves to be be-1/1 was isomer cathing open the storming to him to stope, branch at its high branchis kalada mar a error or a of streams congresses they belong the end of the eppearance, business to the local strategy paragraph of into talking in his work to be a transport of the community of the smalled an exercise to the community of the soon appointment has a contract that the second 17 white by Sharman and Could store show and a of an comparison of the foliations of appropriate bottom by the of a fill of the contract the contract of the personne conspired to partition that the extension errufa bank noda offered to the colorada.
- The rest that and the rest is a larger to the part of the state of the comment of
- Indicated below, from office and the background of the people and the below from office and the properties of the people background below to a properties of the people background below to a people background background below to a people background backgr

was received by hurband of Smt. Jain who a view to substantiate her allegation in the domestic enquiry. Consequently these allegations no where espouse the claim put forward by the claimant.

- 26. Facts projected by Suit Jain are in consonance with ordinary human beneviour. When her testimony was noticulated assessed on and test of natural course of events and tenets of veracity, it came to light that facts untolded by her are true. It is evident that the claimant made sexual advances and tried to soxually exploit her at her work place, and even ourside. Sole testimony of Smt. Jain is found to be sufficient to conclude that the claimant tried to exploit her. It is a seafled proposition that it is the quality of exidence, that matters. Evidence of wholly reliable witness will outmember unreliable evidence. Considering extrinsic worth of her testimony, it is a meladed that Smt. Jain is a witness, who had uncarthed the real instances.
- 27 Facts anfolded by Smt. Jain bring it over the record that the claimant anempted to sexually assault her. When she did not oblige him, he criminally interminated her The claimant repeated those acts and tried to seek sexual favours from her even outside bank premises. She informed her husband, who came to the bank, promises and projected his stand before the claimant. Claimant gave an apology letter, but to no avail. He repeated the incident again. All these aspects make it clear that the management has been able prove misconduct of the claimant within the meaning of paragraph 19.5(c) and (i) of the Bipartite Seulement dated 19-10-66.
- 28. What should be the appropriate punishment wheth can be awarded to the claimant. Right of an employer to utilist punishment of discharge or dismissal is not unfattered. The punishment imposed must commensurate with gravity of the misconduct proved against the delinquent workman Prior to enactment of Section 11-A of the Act, it was not open to the industrial adjudicator to vary the order of punishment on finding that the order of dismissal was too severe and was not commensurative with the act of misconduct. In other words, the industrial adjudicator could not interfere with the punishment as it was not required to consider propriety or adequacy of punishment or whether it was excessive or too severe. Aper Court, in this connection, had, however, laid down in Bengal Meadee Coal Company [1963 (1) LLJ 291] that where order of punishment was shockingly disproportionate with the act of the misconduct which no reasonable employer would impose in like circumstances, that haelf would lead to the inference of victimization or cofair labour oractice which would vitiate order of dismissal or disclosure. But by enacting the provisions of section 11-A of the Act, the Legislavine has transferred the discretion of the employer, in amposing punishment, to the industrial adjudicator. It is now the satisfaction of the radustrial adjudicator to finally decide the quantum of

punishment for proved acts of misconduct, in cases of discharge or dismissal if the Tribunal is satisfied that the croier of discharge or dismissal is not justified in any circumstances on the facts of a case, it has the power not only to set aside order of punishment and direct reinstatement with back wages, but it has also the power to imposed certain conditions as it may deem fit and also to give relief to the workman, including award of lessor punishment in lieu of discharge or dismissal.

- 29. It is established law that imposing punishment for a proved act of misconduct is a matter for the punishing authority to decide a normally it should not be interfered with by the Industrial Tribunals. The Tribunal is not required to consider the propriety or adequacy of punishment. But where the punishment is shockingly disproportionate, regard being had to the particular conduct and past record, or is such as no reasonable employer would ever impose in like circumstance, the Tribunal may treat the imposition of such punishment as itself showing victimization or unfair labour practice. Law to this effect was laid by the Apex Court in Hind Construction and Engineering Company Labour [1965 (1) LUI 462]. Likewise in Management of the Federation of India Chambers of Commerce and Industry [1971 (II) LLJ 630] the Apex Court ruled that the employer made a mountain out of a mole hill and had blown a trivial matter into one involving loss of presuge and reputation and as such punishment of dismissal was held to be unwarranted in Ram Kishan (1996 (1) LLJ 982) the delinquent employee was dismissed from service for using abusive language against a superior officer. On the facts and in the circumstances of the case, the Apex Court held that the punishment of dismissal was harsh and disproportionate to the gravity of the charge imputed to the definquent. It was ruled there in , "when abusive language is used by anybody against a superior, it must be understood in the covironment in which that person is situated and the circumstances surrounding the event that led to the use of abasive language. No straight-jacket formula could be evolved in adjudicating whether the abusive language in the given circumstances would warrant dismissal from service. Each case has to be considered on its own facts
- 30 In B.M. Patil (1996/11) LL 1536), Justice Mohan Kumar of Kornataka High Court observed that in exercise of discretion, the disciplinary authority should not act like a robot and justice should be moulded with humanism and understanding. It was assess each case on its own merit and each set of fact should be decided with reference to the evidence recording the allegation, which should be basis of the decision. The past conduct of the worker may be a ground for assuming that he ought have a propensity to commit the misconduct and to assess the quantum of punishment to be imposed in that case a conductor of the bus was dismissed from service for causing revenue loss of 50p to the employer by irregular

sale of thekers. If was held that the punishment was too barsh and disproportionate to the act of misconduct.

31 Aite insertion of section 11-A of the Act, the jurisdiction to insertere with the punishment is there with the Tribusal, who has to see whether punishment imposed by the employer is commensurative with the gravity of the act of misconduct. If it comes to the conclusion that the misconduct is proved, it may still hold that the punishment is not justified because misconduct alleged and proved is such as it does not warrant punishment of discharge or dismissal and where necessary, set aside the order of discharge or dismissal and direct reinstatement with or without any terms or conditions as it thinks fit or give any other relief, including the award of lessor punishment, in lieu of discharge or dismissal, as the circulastance of the case may warrant. Reference can be made to a precedent in Sanatak Singh (1984 Lab. I.C. 817). The discretion to award punishment lessor than the punishment of discharge or dismisal has to be judiciously exercised and the Tribunal can interfere only when it is satisfied that the punishment imposed by the management is highly disproportionate to the decree of the guilt of the workman. Reference can be made to the precedent in Kachreja Motiji Farmar (1994 (II) LLJ 332). Thus it is evident that the Tribucal has now jurisdiction and power of substituting its own measure of punishment in place of the managerial wisdom, once it is satisfied that the order of discharge of dismissal is not justified. On facts and in the circumstances of a case. Section 11A of the Act specifically cases two folds powers to the Industrial Tribunal, first is virtually the power of appeal against findings of last made by the Enquiry Officer in his report with regard to the adequacy of the evidence and the conclusion on focas and secondly of foremost importance, is the power of reappraisal of quantum of punishment.

32. Power to set aside order of discharge or dismissal and grant relief of reinstatement or lessor punishment is not unwamated power. This power has to be exercised only when (ribunal is satisfied that the order of discharge or dismissal was not justified. This satisfaction of the Tribunal is objective satisfaction and not subjective one. It involves application of the mind by the Tribunal to vacious circonstances like nature of deliquency omitted by the workman his past conduct, impact of delinquency on employer's business, besides length of service rendered by him. Further more the Tribunal has to consider whether the decision taken by the employer is just or not. Only after taking into consideration these aspects, the Tribunal can upset the punishment imposed by the employer. The augustum of punishment cannot be interfered with without recording specific findings on points referred above. No indiffence is to be granted to a person, who is guilty of grave misconduct like cheating, fraud,

misapprepriation of employers fund. Theft of public property etc. A reference cannot be made to the precedent in Bhagirath Mal Rainwa (1995 (1) LLI 960).

33. Conduct of the claimant, towards Sait, Sunita Jain, was inherently mean and deprayed. Wickedness of his character has been brought on the record. When an employee commits an offence involving moral torpitude, there are two ways of looking at the matter, (1) considering nature of the act done (2) and to consider it from the point of view of nature of office e. Here in the matter, the nature of the acts perpetrated by the claimant was alaming. He not only tried to sexually exploit a female collegue but put the discipline at his work place to stake. When she resissed his acts, he criminally intimidated her In case hasband of Smt. Jain would have approached the police muthorities. then it would have reflected on the institution too. Therefore, his acts in respect of nature of offence also shows an alarming complexion. He not only committed depraved acts in the premises of the branch but at public place also. All these aspects are sufficient to show that the misconduct committed by the claimant was very grave. if such a person is retained to service of an institution, then possibility of bringing disrepute to the institution and causing damage to its business and good will cannot be ruled out. Such person cannot be retained in job Therefore, no punishment less than the punishment of dismissal can be appropriate for such an employee Consequently Limpose punishment of dynnissal on the claiman, which would relate to the date when punishment was imposed on him by the rranagement bank. His staling is, accordingly, dismissed. An award is passed, it be sent to the appropriate Government for publication

Dated 12-3-2010 Dr. R.K. YADAV, Presiding Others नई दिल्ली, 21 अप्रैल, 2010

का. आ. 1209.— उष्ट्रपति, श्रो सनिक संतर सरकार को 19-04-2010 से केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक न्यायाधीकरण जाट श्रम न्यायालय, कोलकाता, के पीठासीन अधिकारी के रूप में 68 वर्ग को आयु पूरी होने अर्थात् 26-02-2012 तक अथवा अगले आरोशों तक. जो भी पहले हो, नियुक्त करता है।

सिं प-19011/5/2008-भीप्लामः ॥ । पी.के. सप्रकर, अञ्चलका

New Dellii, the 21st April, 2019

S.O. 1209.—The President is pleased to appoint Shri Justice Manik Mohan Sarkar as Presiding Officer of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Kolkata w.e.f. 19-04-2010 (F.N.) for a period upto 26-02-2012. i.e. till attaining the age of 65 years or until further orders, whichever is earlier.

[No.A-19011/5/2008-CLS-II] P.K. TAMRAKAR, Under Secy र्न्स विरुक्ति, 21 अप्रैस, 2019

इत उम्र, 1210 — अंद्रोशिक श्विष्ट अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का १८) बी भार 17 के अनुसरण में, कीकीण समकार हिन्दुस्तान बहुतिसाण करियोरिका विस्थित के सर्वपति के सम्बद्ध नियोजकी यीर जरकी कर्मकारों के लीव, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में कीकीण सम्बद्ध कियोगिक अधिकारण श्रम स्वाधालय , इनाकुलन के नियार (बीट्स राख्या 220/2006) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो बोन्द्रीय सरकार की 21-4-2010 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[नं. एल-30012/1/2006-आई अप (एम)] कमल वारक्र, डंग्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 21st April, 2010

5.0. 1210.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 220/2066) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Fenakulam, now as shown in the Annexure in the industrial Disputs between the employers in relation to the avacagement of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 21-4-2010.

[No. L-30012/1-2006-IR(M)] KAMAL BAKHRU, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT ENNAKULAM TRESENT:

Shri P. L. Norbert, B.A., LL.B., Presiding Officer

(Finday the 24th day of February, 2010) 5th Falguna, 1931)

L. D. No. 220/2006

Workroan

Shri N. S. Suresh,
 Pullamalavi House,
 Vadavukode P.O.,
 Emakulam-682 310

By Adv. Sri C. Anii Kumar

Management

The Sr. HR Manager, HPCL, Cochin Regional Office, Tatapuram, PO FB No. 1602, Emakulam, Cochin-682 014

By Adv. M/s. Menon & Pai

This case coming up for hearing on 19-02-2010, this Tribunat-cum-Labour Court on 24-02-2010 passed the following.

AWARD

This is a reference made under Section 10(1)(d) of Industrial Disputes Act. The reference is .

- "Whether the action of the management of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited in discharging Shri N. S. Suresh, workman from the service welf, 10-10-2004 is fair and just? If not to what relief the concerned workman is entitled?"
- 2. The facts of the case in brief are as follows: The workman Sci N. S. Suresh was appointed as General Workman (Cr. M-01) at Cochin plant of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited in 1993. He was promoted to Gr. M-04 in 1998. Due to certain misconduct disciplinary action was taken and he was demoted from Gr. M-04 to the post of LPG Operator in GM-63 and transferred to Maderal LPG Plant in 2000, in 2002 he was charge sheeted for unamborised habitual absence which sometimes exceeded 21 consecutive days. A domestic enquiry was conducted and it was found that he was a habitual absentee, but his absence had not exceeded 21 consecutive days. However the Disciplinary Authority did not fully agree with the findings of Enquiry Officer and concluded that his unauthorised absence exceeded 21 consecutive days, besides being habitual. Hence he was discharged from service by the disciplinary authority. Though the workman filed appeal he did not succeed. Therefore the dispute.
- 3 According to the workman the enquiry was conducted in violation of the principles of natural justice. It was due to medical reasons that the workman remained absent. But whenever he was absent he had applied for leave with medical certificates. The disciplinary authority differred with the findings of Enquiry Officer without application of mind. Pending domestic enquiry the workman was subjected to medical examination and a report was obtained by the management. But the medical report and connected records are not produced by the management. The punishment is harsh. The workman cannot be discharged from service in view of the provisions of (The) Persons with Disabilities (Equal opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act. 1995. There is no alternate employment since the termination of service
- 4. According to the management the workman was given every opportunity to adduce evidence in support of his defence. He was given a copy of the report and a notice regarding the proposal of disciplinary authority to differ with the findings of Enquiry Officer and called for his representation. The workman submitted representation and it was considered by the disciplinary authority before disagreeing with the findings of enquiry officer. The punishment imposed is fair and just. The workman was in the habit of absenting without intimation and used to report for duty as and when he liked. He had not applied

for leave with medical records at all times he remained absent. It is not correct to say that at the instance of the management medical examination was conducted. The workman took treatment in the Medical Trust Hospital in 2004 as seen from his letter. But he has not furnished any medical documents from that hospital. The commoous absence of the workman has affected the working scherule of the plant. He is not entitled to be relastated.

- 5. In the light of the above comentions the following points arise for consideration:
 - (1) Are the findings of Enquiry Officer and the Disciplinary Authority sustainable?
 - (2) Is the punishment proper?
- The evidence consists of the oral testimony of MWI (Engary Officer) and documentary evidence of Ext. MI (Enquiry File).
- 7. Point No. 1: Though a contention is raised in the claim statement that the workman was not given opportunity to defend the charges and there is violation of the principles of natural justice, at the time of hearing it was not seriously pursued. That apart it is seen from Ext. M1 enquiry file that the workman had participated in the enquiry and availed opportunity to defend. He had produced 32 documents and they were marked as Exts. D1 and D32. One witness was examined on management side in the enquiry (Flant Manager). He was cross-examined by the workman. No oral evidence was adduced on defence side. He was given opportunity to submit his remarks regarding findings of enquiry Officer as well as the proposal of the disciplinary authority to differ from the findings of Enquiry Officer. The representation of the workman was considered by the disciplinary authority. He was heard regarding proposed punishment. Thus the workman had every opportunity to defend. There is no violation of the principles of natural justice.
- 8. The charge against the workman is that he was remaining absent for more than I consecutive days, that his absence was habitual and unauthorised and he was overstaying the sanctioned leave without sufficient ground. As per the charge sheet the unauthorised absence is:

January to December 2001 - 88 days

January to May 2002 - 24 days

Total - 112 days

The Enquiry Officer found that though his absence was habitual at no point of time the unauthorised absence exceeded 2! consecutive days. The Disciplinary Authority did not agree with this finding of Enquiry Officer. He found that the absence sometimes exceeded 21 consecutive days. Ext. M3 is the details of leave taken during August 2000 to

December 2001. The period in question is 2010 to progress. Ext. MI is details of leave taken darms 2002, the content that during 2001 the maximum meaning as were as well vias ir Echrony 2001 for 20 door, He resumes one is 21-62-280; and again remained from the million from 28-02-2001 for 7 days. Denote 26% the mesones , $\lambda_{ij} = \epsilon$ continuous absence were 30, in November 2001; and a December 100%, 26 days. Built as were multiplied being on Loss of Pays At no permit at state the ununities see absence exceeded 21 consequeive difficiency in the con-2002. The finding of somephoney authority baunguthorised absence or cooped 21, does came a by the cooped going by the very records of non-agement. He worked after 2002 is not the subject materiof discipling and to The disciplinary authority has referred to account of the absence curing the subsequent period in 1905 and 1904 also. The relevant pursion of the order of Discipliners Authority (page 3) reads

"Hence, I concur with the findings of the Leading Officer holding Sries, S. Subah is not or by absorbed for more than 21 days but has been about more than 110 days and has not the absent for more than 110 days and has not the give proper and substactory explanation on the absence and found him guilty of the darries concluded against him vide charge sheet SZ RTF He dared May 30, 2002."

The findings of the disciplinary authority is a study correct. Whereas the findings of Engury offices that he is a habitual absented is supported by the leave records and Avendance Registers Ext. M.4 to M-18. The work area has produced copies of leave applications as well as medical and fitness certificates submitted to the maragement of different intervals. They are Eyts, D-1 to D-10, D-12, D-12 and D-16 to D-32. Some of the applications were approved by the santioning authority and some were not approved. He had applied for leave on many occasions on mode at ground and other times for personal reasons. Eat. O 11 is a photograph of his leg. According to him he is suffering from edema and cellulites. The fetter to the Enquiry Officer dated 08-02-2004 which is contained in the enquiry flies shows that at the instance of the management he had appeared before the medical office, of the management who referred him to Medical Trans Hosoital, Emakulani during the pendency of enquiry and be was treated as an inpatient for about one month and was advised to take rest for six mombs. The medical records are with the management and he was treated for evision and or faircas. The Enquiry Officer when he can examined a court admitted that the workman had made a consest is suramoning medical records or his a satisfactor, the basep tag from the management. But he did not allow it for the reason that the needed of treatment was subsequent to the above sheet it is also admitted by him that the means of examination in the Medical Trust Hospital was consorted at the instance of the management. The leave applicate poleave accounts and attendance registers reveal that the workman was in the habit of availing leave off and on, on several occasions during 2001, 2002 and prior and after. However the management has no case that he is medically unfit to work. As per the evidence on record though the finding of Enquiry Officer does not suffer from any vice the dissenting findings of the disciplinary authority to the extent that the unauthorised absence exceeded 21 consecutive days, cannot be accepted as it is not supported by evidence and hence perverse. However the finding that the absence is habitual is sustainable.

9 Point No. 2: The punishment imposed is discharge from service as per SO 32 of the Standing Orders of the management company. The misconduct is mentioned in SO 31. The punishments are:

"32. Punishment for misconduct:

- A workman found guilty of misconduct may be awarded one of the following ounishments:
 - (a) Warned or censured in writing
 - (b) Suspended on loss of pay by an order in writing signed by the competent authority for a period not exceeding 4 days
 - (c) Stoppage of increments not exceeding 3 increments with or without cumulative effect
 - (d) Reduction to a lower stage in the scale
 - (e) Demotion to a lower grade without reduction in salary
 - (f) Discharged from service
 - (a) Dismissed from service

Thus for misconduct coming within SO 31 anyone of the punishments in SO 32 can be imposed. No doubt the working a was remaining absent off and on and it would have affected the smooth functioning of the section he was working. But according to the workman it is due to medical reasons that he remained absent. Since the management had directed the worker to the medical officer of the management even if it is during the pendency of enouity, the medical records could have been produced by the management to know the actual reason for absenteeism. Even now the management has no case that the workman is medically unfit. The workman has a contention the illness was contracted from company premises due to the environmental pollution. In the reply to charge sheet dated 24-06-2002. He has stated that the disease is subsisting without coming down. He also expresses his willingness to go before a medical board for a medical opinion. In the additional reply statement dated 10-10-2002 he says that the prime reason for taking leave during 2001 and 2002 is illness. In the submission made to the Enquiry Officer on 08-02-2004 it is stated that he had

taken leave on genuine grounds. He suffers from edema and cellulites. On many occasions due to various sicknesses caused by the said disease he had taken leave and on all such occasions he had submitted leave applications. At the instance of the management he had appeared before medical officer of the management company who referred him to Medical Trust Hospital, Ernakulam for expert opinion. He was treated as an inpatient in the hospital for about a month and on discharge he was advised by the doctors to take rest for six months and those medical records are to be called for.

10. Ext. D-11 is a photograph of the leg affected by the disease of edema and cellulites. MWI the Enquiry Officer has stated that during enquiry he had noticed the affected leg of the workman. But he says that at that time his leg was not in such a bad condition as in Ext. D-11 photograph. The medical certificates produced along with some applications go to show that he was applying for leave on medical grounds on many occasions. The enquiry officer has also observed that from the medical certificates submitted by the charge sheeted employee as exhibits it is seen that the employee's absence was due to medical reasons in some cases and in other cases the employee has not been able to give proper and satisfactory explanation for his absence. The evidence reveals that the workman remained absent mainly on the ground of illness. Yet he was not sent to a medical board. In the circumstances I don't think a punishment of termination from service is warranted in this case as it would amount to harsh punishment. Since the workman is claiming disability to do the same type of work he was doing, he can also seek protection under (The) persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995. The management falls within the definition of establishment a/s. 2(k) of the Act, S-47 says:

"47. Non-discrimination in Government employment—(1) No establishment shall dispense with or reduce in rank, an employee who acquires a disability during his service:

Provided that, if an employee, after acquiring disability is not suitable for the post he was holding could be shifted to some other post with the same pay scale and service benefits.

Provided further that if it is not possible to adjust the employee against any post, he may be kept on a supernumerary post until a suitable post is available or he attains the age of superannuation, whichever is earlier.

(2) No promotion shall be denied to a person merely on the round of his disability:

Provided that the appropriate Government may, having regard to the type of work carried on in any establishment, by notification and subject to such conditions, if any, as may be specified in

none - Soation, exemptions establishment from the this wichs of this section "

him has a meastered service of management in 1993. The necessary is the ne case that prior to 2000 he was in the halor of the college annuaborisedly. The absenteelym altered in 100% ration 2001 and 2002, if he has acquired disability it that service he comes be terminated from serving, and has to be accommodated suitably. In the light of the arose stone estances and reasons I hold that discharge from service is too bursh a purashment. The ends of the above all be served by reducing the punishment to stoppage of a increments without consulative effect under SO 32, 1/00

In the court on award is passed finding that the action. This is a segment is discharging the workman from service in the first and just and he entitled to be reinstoned in service, but a propar back wages and continuity of service and with stoopage of three increments without Complete Coffee Co

The asset of Adjourne into force one month after its publication at or official garage.

Legacian for the Personal Assistant, conceribed and typed by section rected and passed by ray on this doc 24th day of Echeman (2019).

> P. L. NORSERU, Problèm Officer APPENDIX

Witness for the Weenman T.

Witness for the Connuccement's

110 K. O. P. Stor - 23-11-2009

Existed to the ermant

Eshibe bee tere pargerment:

Cours File

of forther view you

एक एक एक का का के के किया के लिए के किया के किया के किया है। एक एक किया किया के किया के किया के किया किया किया न्त्र १६) । ५ ५ ५ ७ ३ अवस्तर हो केंद्रीय परकर घरताय (इ.स. १९८८ - १८, १८) । असे सम्बद्ध को अक्टरत विद्योगको औ<mark>र उपके</mark> कर्षकार्व । १५५ अनुकर में निर्दित आर्थिय विवाद में अंग्रेस सम्बद्ध है। जन्म के किस्सा स्थापका स्थापका है। किसी के किस (भंदर्भ १००५ : १ - २००३ यो एकार्यक कार्यो ४, यो कंसीय सास्तर का 🔃 र १५५८ र अस्त हुन्स नार

> १३६ मध्य-१९६८/४ - १८४५-- अहं इसर (सह)ी कात बाराल, डेस्ट अधिकारी

The Fields the 21st April, 3010

\$100 At 1 - In pursuance of Section 17 of the undustrial Physics av , 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government to any orbitalist the award (Ref. No. 129)

2000 staine Cennal to veneral to desira. Volume de la Court-2. Lieba now so shown in the Answers of the managed Dispare two seasily employed in a first or a the management of Amport Authority of in heart of the workman, eighth year received by the Control of the concm?3-4-1959

> \$P\$ (14) \$\$\$\$ (14) \$P\$ (14) NAMES BURRES OF A ST.

\$985.57 RM

CENTERS. DE MUR PARA ESCRIPTAMO THE SERVED AT COME A LEAD THE TOTAL THE BLAD WAY OFFINES

4, 31, 190 1, CZ25683

Same Back Man

in the solven of dispute and veloci-

Sant Shants Nevil Minoaga : General Societary Appen Employees Union. 1. V. C. House Ruff M de.

Grw Delhis 148 (cd.)

Y .

The Director. APTOTAL BURNESS - MARS. LCD. Proport. Rathaman. New Dolls

45 - 34V

This Contai Conservational Asiassi, in Contain Order No. 1-4 19 EATH TO FAMILY MERCHANISM AND THE REreferred the following makes and dispersion of the confor adjust to con-

- Masshar the concentration management of the Authorities of a New Definition of the control of durvatives inflightly. Stamps to Market and the market of Particles of the progress of the desired of the state storkeship samulation
- C. The system on of colors was for the colored on so Cambridge (C. C. Colores of Cambridge Lottle Colores and C. C. by the intra-parents of a court of 2 to 4 to 5 to 6 to 6 repeated with blue or only of the action of the When the take was Barrios arreas, the base of tringstared as defection in a wond filter of a Behnung 2507 Dergieren inn al 2001 anweren in war property leaders to Danger to the period of her animal the Control House and the difference of Control of longer smartshed in the contents of the last of

Meder dass encompraints cambox as a such except to pass how a trace award in the con-Accordingly, a not of the case and a subsection to and references to him to a 1900 him to provide the Government vide order No. L-11012/13/2003-IR(M) dated 28:06-063 stands disposed of accordingly. Parties will hear their own cost.

Dozed (9-00-2010

SATNAM SINGH, Presiding Officer. नहीं हिस्ती, 21 अप्रैस, 2010

ात का. 2012. — औरतींगरू विकाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का. १८) को कार का अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भारत देहीनियम कार्योशम विवीमक के अभ्यतिक के सम्बद्ध निर्णलको कीर उनमें कर्मणी के वीमक कार्योशम किर्मिक का अनुसंध में निर्दिष्ट औरतींगरू विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार ओर्शिक कार्योशम कार्योशमक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार ओर्शिक कार्योग्य स्थापन्त्रम न्यायालय-2, दिल्ली के पंचार कर्मण क्यायालय-2, दिल्ली के पंचार कर्मण क्यायालय-2, दिल्ली के पंचार कर्मण क्यायालय-2, दिल्ली के पंचार कर्मण कर्म

[च. एल-३७१12/32/2000-अर्ड आर (एन)] कमल वाखळ, डेस्ट अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 21st April, 2010

S. G. 1211 — In pursuance of Section 17 of the industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central decement larger levels publishes the award (Ref. No. 11/2003) on the Contral Covernment Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court II Dilly now as snown in the Annexore in the Industrial Visional both sean the employers in relation to the management of Wharat Petroleum Corp. Limited and the configuration which was received by the Contral visionary medial 1-12010.

[No. U-30012/31/2000-IR/M)] RAMAT BAKHRU, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL COVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TREE-MALICUM-LABOUR COUNT-H MARK ABDOOMA, DELIE-11832

1. P. p.s. 19/2003 Capal 2 - 2 2016

the fire more a of dispute permitted.

their Krishan Kumar and others.

Through [8, 8,8,3, and S.S.W. Employees Union

The wat I

3-24 Nelma Ekta Colony.

Sector VII R. K. Purani,

New Deini

... Workmen

Versus

The St. Station Medager,
M.A. Bharat Petretium Corporation f.td.,
SELAmport, Terminal II,
Estar Shahabat, Wohammaipan,
Lee Oello ... Management

AWARD

The Peutral Government, Ministry of Labour vide Custer No. 1-30012/32/2000-180 W) dated 24-1-2003 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whesher the action of the management of M/s. BPCL in terminating the services of S/Sh. Krishan Kumar S/o Sh. Nanag Ram, Moti kam S/o Sh. Lala Ram, Karan Singh S/o Sh. Bhagwan Singh, Surendra Kumar S/o Sh. Shivdhan and Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Munni Singh justified? If not, to what relief the workmen justified?"

2 The statement of claim was filed by the workmen. A visten statement to the same was filed by the management Thereafter, the workmen/claimants filed a rejoinder on 30-5-2006. The issues were settled and the case was fixed for evidence of the workmen. On 13-2-2008 the case was transferred from CGIT-cum-LC-1 to this Tribunal, i.e. CGITcom-LC-II. Terms were settled on 30-5-2006 and the case was fixed for workmen evidence. Before the evidence of the workmen could conclude the parties started negotiating the matter for final settlement. Ultimately, the negotiations materialized and the parties entered into a settlement. The terms of settlement catered into between the parties were recorded and the same was filed in the court at the time of recording of the settlement. As per the settlement, the viorkmen did not claim reinstatement in service and instead they accepted a sum of Rs. 25 000 (Rupees twenty five thousand only) each as full and final settlement of all their claims including the claim for reinstatement in service, back wages, gratuity, move encashment and other standory and non-standory cous-According to the workmen, they have now left absolutely no dispute with the management. The compromise entered into between the parties was accepted and the present LD. No. 11/2003 stands disposed of as fully satisfied.

Parties will bear their own cost.

Dated: 25-2-2010 SATNAM SINGH, Presiding Officer नई दिल्ली ३) अप्रैल, 2016

्या, आ. 1213. — श्रीद्यांगिक विवाद अधिनेया, 1947 (1917 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केताय सरकार भारतीय विमानपत्तन प्राधिकत्य के प्रबंधतंत्र के सरकार नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकार्ग के बीक, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट श्रीक्षोगिक विवाद में कंन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्याणन्तय-2, दिस्ती के चंनाट (संदर्भ रोख्या 112/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केदीय सरकार का 21-4-2016 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-110) 1/8/2003- आई अंत्र (एम)] कारत कारत होस्क होस्क ऑधकारी

New Delhi, the 21stApril, 2010

S.O. 1213.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 112/2003) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court-2, Delhi now as shown in the Annexure in the

Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Air Ports Authority of India and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 23-4-2030.

> [No. Latter 18/2003-IR(25)] KAMAI, BANTRU, Dock Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL CONTRINENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-COM-LABOUR COURT-II NARRARDOOMA, DELHI-110632

I. D. No. 117/2003

Oato i 19-4-2010

In the marter of dispute between ;

Shri Peu e Lal, S/o Sori Bhoori Singh and 15 Others, Through: Apport Employees Union (Regal), 2 V. P. House, Kafi Marg, New Polli-110 001

.. Workmen

Versus

Airport Anthority of India, Through: General Manager (Personnel) Falare Airport, New Della-118 037

. Management

AWARD

The Central Government, Ministry of Labour vide Order Sid (21) 511/8/2003-IR(xt) dated 29-7-2003 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

Whether the action of the management of Airport Authority of India, New Deihi in not regularizing the services of concerned 16 workmen (Shri Pyros Lal and 16 others) from the date of their initial approximates is just, fair and legal? If not, to what called the 16 workmen are critical to and from which one?

The vorcines have filed their statement of etains. Written statement has been filed by the management Rejurder was also filed by the workmen on 27-7-2004. However, none has appeared from the side of the workmen for a very long time. In fact, over since the case was transferred from CGI from LCI to CGIT-cum-LCI vide order dated 11/2-1008, the workmen have never appeared in this court by a cyclent that the workmen are no longer interested in the natione of this reference. In these circumstances, there is no way out except to pass a no dispute award in this case, which is passed accordingly. The reference sent by the Central Government stands disposed of accordingly.

Dated: 19-3-2010 SATNAM SINGH, Presiding Officer

महें बिल्ली, ३१ औस, २०००

का, आ, 12 कि -- औद्योगक विवाद शिक्षिणमा, 1947 (१००० का १६) की धारा ११ में अपूम्पण में, केंग्रॉम संकार पार्यात विभागपान प्राधिकरण के प्रावंधकीय के सम्बद्ध गिर्धावको और उनको बार्मकारों को बीच, अपूर्वम में निर्धाय औद्योगक विकास में १००१ पर्यात अधिकीयक विकास में १००१ एकार अधिकीयक अधिकार अधिकार प्राप्त करता है १००२ (अनेर्य सरमा ४४ ११५८) की प्रकाशित करता है अ कर्मकार महास्था स्थाप करता है अधिकार स्थाप स्

「新一郎」 (新日本) 新年 (第6 元) (44 年) 海で河 中海子 (カル) (44年) 827

New Sed of a Harapolicotte

5.0. 1213. In regulation of Receive of Strong Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (13 of 1947) and Course Concernment bereby published the award (1947) for an attention of the Central Concernment to describ Transmit (1966) to Central. Deling for the scale of in the amoretic modified industrial Disput to the scanner of the management of concern Authority of more and the working which we consider the Central University of 1947-1940.

PARTS FOR A TOO BUILDING KANSAL BAKBERT FRANKLIS (BAKBERT)

CONLORD

CENTRAL COVERANTENT INDUSTRIES TRIBUNAL CUM-LAHOUR COORDIN BARKAROOOSTA, DELHE HERE

I. D. 140 58 2003

exted 4 3-29ph

in the monter of dispute between. Shri Brahm Prabachana 13 Others C of The President Delhi Mesdoor Yangethan (Regd., Ptot No. 1, Udosin Mandir Aram Sogh, Pahra Graif No. World.)

Someone

77. 1500

The Charmon Airport Authority of Lat a Rejiv Garaitá Bhawan New Dellá 1 (2) (7)

Vibragonus.

ANNARO

The Contral Consequences, Majores of Labour case Chida No. Let (01) 07/2008-He/Me dated to 03/09 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Critique for adjudication.

"Whether the real is of the management of a Ali Solar Dalbi is not regularizing the services of Solar often Prakash and 15 others (that enclosed) completed through Mrs I inited retrigeration instantances. (I not Mrs MEC brigances conding is justified?) If not a what relief the workstoon are entitled?

I. The statement of claim was filed by the workmen in August 2005. Written statement to the same was filed by the management in February 2006. When the case was tixed for fising of documents and consideration or framing of issues, this case was anosferred to this Tribunal from COTF-cum-Lobour Court-from 18-2-2008. In fact, ever since the case was transferred from COTF-cum-LO-1 to COTF-cum-LO-1 to February 2008, none has appeared from the side of the workmen, it is evident that the workmen are no longer interested in the outcome of this reference.

Under those circumstances, there is no way our except to pass a no-dispute award in this case. Accordingly, a no-dispute award is passed in this case and reference 1.D. No. 88/2003 sent by the Central Government vide order No. I.-11011/2/2003-IR (M) dated 4-6-2003 stands disposed of accordingly. Parties will bear their own cost.

Dated: 19-2-2010 — SATNAM SINGH, Fresiding Officer भई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल. 2010

कर, अ.स. 1215.— कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 341 की धारा-1 की उप धरान-(3) द्वार प्रदत्त शांकायों का प्रयोग करते हुए, वंज्येय संस्कार ग्लादद्वारा 1 पई, 2010 का उस रागीख़ के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याप-4 (44 व 45 धारा के सियाय जो पहले से उब्रुत्त हो चूकी है) अध्याय-5 और 6 [धारा-76 की उप धरान-(1) और धारा-77, 78, 79 और 81 के सियाय जो पहले ही प्रमुत्त की जा चूकी हैं] के उपनंध विध्याय बंगाल राज्य के निम्मलिखिंग क्षत्रों में प्रमृत होंगे, अर्थाद :

ैबपंयान जिला में थाना वर्धवान के अंतर्गत फांकोरपूर (जे. एल. सं-25), बर्धवान (जे. एल. सं-30), आलमगंज (जे. एल. सं-32), मिरलाबा (जे. एल. सं-32), मिरलाबा (जे. एल. सं-32), मिरलाबा (जे. एल. सं-33), जगतनेट (जे. एल. सं-34), बालीडांग (जे. एल. सं-36), पाताजला (जे. एल. सं-36), पाताजला (जे. एल. सं-36), पाताजला (जे. एल. सं-36), सांखरीपुजूर (जे. एल. सं-36), गोड़ा (जे. एल. सं-40), गोड़ा (जे. एल. सं-40), गोड़ा (जे. एल. सं-41), जांडा लवे मगला (जे. एल. सं-42), जामचंतांडिएर (जे. एल. सं-41), जांडा लवे मगला (जे. एल. सं-75), कानाईनाध गाल (जे. एल. सं-77), जोंर गंगांलनगर (जे. एल. सं-76), जेलिसा (जे. एल. सं-77), जोंर गंगांलनगर (जे. एल. सं-77), के मीजा वं सेचों को सिम्मालित जहाँ

[संख्या १८-३४०१३/१५/२०१० -एस.एग.-1] एस. डी. अंतियर, अवर शास्विव

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2010

S.O. 1215.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st May, 2010 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already bren brought into force) and Chapter 1 and Vi [except sub-section (i) of Section 76 and Sections

77, 78-79 and 81 which have already been brought into force] of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of West Bengal namely.....

"Areas comprising mouzas of Fankirpor (J. No. 25), Burdwan (JI. No. 30), (Alangunge (JI. No. 31), Berypur (JI. No. 32), Mirchhaba (JI. No. 33), Jagathech (JI. No. 34), Balidanga (JI. No. 35), Bailykhaje Anwarbe (JI. No. 36), Bhatchhala (JI. No. 37), Shankharipukor (JI. No. 38), Radhanagar (JI. No. 39), Baburhug (JI. No. 40), Goda (JI. No. 41), Bahn Sarba Mangala (JI. No. 42), Banchandaipur (JI. No. 74), Ichhiabad (JI. No. 75), Kanainath Shal (JI. No. 76), Alisha (JI. No. 77), and Gopal Nagar (JI. No. 78) under P. S. Burdwan in the district of Burdwan."

TNo. S-38013/15/2010-SS.I] S. D. XAVIFR, Under Serv.

ाई दिल्ली, 2तं अप्रैल, 2010

का, आ, 1216, — कर्मचारी गज्य वीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) को धरा-1 की उप धारा-(3) द्वारा प्रवत्त सिक्टमें का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एत्वद्वार । मई, 2010 का उस वारीख के रूप में नियत करनी हैं, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय-4 (44 ज 45 धारा के सिक्टम जो पहले से प्रवृत्त हो चुकी हैं) अध्याय-5 और ६ [धारा-76 की उप धारा-(1) और धारा-77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिक्टम जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की वा चुकी हैं] तो उपवंध आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य के निम्नारतिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात् :

"आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य के महबूबनगर जिले में कल्बसुर्से (चा) के महजिल मण्डल के उरुकोण्डापेट के शोगा में स्थित सभी क्षेत्र।"

> [संख्या पस-38013/17/2010-एम.एस.-;] एस. डो. जंबियर, अवा सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th April. 2010

S.O. 1216.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st May, 2010 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter V and VI [except sub-section (i) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force] of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Andhra Pradesh namely:—

"All the areas falling within the limits of Urukondapet in Madjil Mandal, Kalwakurthy (TQ) in Mahaboobnagar District of Andhra Pradesh."

> [No. S-38013/17/2010-SS.I] S. D. XAVIER, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2010

का. अर. 1217,—कर्मणरी राज्य बीमा ऑधितियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा-। की उप धारा-(3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों

्रा दर्भ अस्ति स्थितिया और सीतापुर क्षेत्री को हीत्पपुर जेल्याच अंगा असी प्राप्ते राजस्य आही सीव वर्गी, अस्मिर रुद्धान को प्राप्तकार प्रमुक्ती, और मानवारी प्रोप्त को अतिर्वेत सामान को प्राप्त का सामासूची, स्थापकाची एक सी, आमेरीकोप नहेंद्र भाषाति कर प्राप्तिकारी

> ् पंछ्या एस-३७५(१/16/2010-एस.एस.न.) एस् व्हे व्हेब्बर, अवर सचित्र

2 4 36, the 26th April, 2019.

subsection as a needed to the Employees' State Instrument of the Unitopies of the Employees' State Instrument of the Unitopies of the Instrument Government hereby and the the Ist May, 2010 as the date on which the providing of the pair IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter V and VI compassions section (f) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 18. In and 81 which have already been brought into force) of diseased on shall come into force to the following areas in the State of Assam namely:

the control of the Perebat (Assam side). Tepesia and some process calenthe revenue village Born, Ambhet, Se calent et the attain N. C. in Sonapur Monza and texture to the age Kamarkuchi, Kamarkuchi N. C., Antongogunad Amerigog N. C. in Panbari Monza.

[No. S-38013-16/2010 SSJ] S. D. MAVNER, Under Secy.

प्य हिल्ली, २० अप्रेस. २०१०

	ग्रह्म ग्रह्म	थाना	अंचल	জিলা
म्.	得しては	ਸੰ	कार्यामध	
ini	Andrew Comment	(5)	(4)	(3)
	STORE OF THE STORE	[]	पतरात्	हजारीकार
2.		1.2	वनसन्	हर्ज्यसेवस
3.	wate.	1.1	परस्तू	हजारीबार

	र्श्वरूप च		2º	200 Dec
	C. C.	1, .		. 4 27 3
£1	संस		, for 3	The Resident
2.	र्वश्रम	% . . •	1000	
8	an Lind		7 T. 1	trans m
i.	Tat 8777774	10%	Open Control	11 Table 2 1 1 1 1
ļu.	स्टम्सूडस्	st.	43-4-11-7	#1000
1 1	(H/47)	23	र भुत्राषु	Traitme.
)1.	特别等期	45	なお複	y with a co
15.	ल्ल ्ल	50	च ग्राह्	walian.
	namentus saks. Without transaction of the articles	1 mags.	engaminage	t proportion

New Oalth, the 27th April, 2015

us, the with the second

S.C. (1486-10 even so at the power of the cub-section (3) of Section 1 or the Rambey of Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1548) the Central Convertional hereby appoints the latest work for the Gordon of the previous of the previous for the section of the previous of the previous for the temporal form which have already been beorgan total temporal form. Yes 1877, 73, 79 and 81 which have inteady been force in a continuous force in the sub-Act shall our place force in a continuous force in the Store of the sub-been in the kilones.

Silver	Name of Revenue Village	No. No. Vicar Urana	Clarie of Claric Office	Derme t	
1.	OASAM	()	Present Control	BANK STORY	
***	PALANI	; -	· 政策多数性。	The growing that	
3.	UCCHARIN	11	PARRAIL	$\{(i,j,j,j), (i,j,j)\}$	
i.	SALVA	1,5	PATRAIL	ACCES 14 November 1	
ъ.	KA: IYA	16	PATENTO	WALLS NO	
Ó.	KOTOH	13	FORKATO	14.22 80544	
7.	SAKITU	21	PATRATE	PARAMERACE:	
33,	PAFRATU	**, *	RETURNE	Party Contract	
9	JAINAGAR	2:-	PAIRALU	GRADING CAR	
10	BALKUDE	A X	PAIRATE	$(\Omega_{ij}^{\rm op}(x))(x)_{ij}^{\rm op}(x)_{ij}^{\rm op}(x)$	
: 1	LABAGA	7.5	$-0.54 R A M_{\odot}$	The state of the s	
77.	MATICANI	A H	9418470	BANAGOO .	
13.	IADDI	1/3	PURAIL	Company of the Compan	
	or and the street of the street and the street of the stre		No.8 Whoteler's S. S. NAVI B. Chickens		